

Contracts & Supplier Relationship Management (C&SRM) Policy



Contracts & Supplier Relationship Management (C&SRM) Policy

1 of 31



Т	able of C	ontents	
1	INTRO	DUCTION	
2	PROC	IREMENT OFFICE ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	3
3	STAKE	HOLDER ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	3
		EHOLDERS RESPONSIBILITIES ON VALIDATION OF SUPPLIERS RETURNED KPIS	
4	RACI		5
5	CONT	ACTS & SUPPLIER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT	6
6	OVER	'IEW	6
7	CONT	ACTS & SUPPLIER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT PROCESS	7
8	SUPPL	IER SEGMENTATION METHODOLOGY	8
		D ON CONTRACT VALUE AND RISK	
		D ON SUSTAINABILITY RISK ASSESSMENT	
9		GING STRATEGIC, TACTICAL AND BASIC SUPPLIER GROUPS	
	9.2 TAC	CC&SRM ICALC&SRM	13
		TEGIC C&SRMRM DOCUMENTATION	
		ADIS CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY MONITORING PROGRAMME DOCUMENTATION	
10		RM CYCLE TIMELINE FOR ACTIVITIES	
		Stakeholder Feedback Form	
		STAKEHOLDER FEEDBACK FORM EVALUATION TEMPLATE	
11	SUPPL	IER KPIS	22
12	2 C&SRI	I MATURE MODEL	24
13	SUPPL	IER LEADER BOARD	26
14	SUPPL	IER MEETINGS	27
	14.1 STA	DARD AGENDA	27
15	5 CONT	ACT CHANGE AND EXIT MANAGEMENT	
		RACT CHANGE	
	-	Management	
16		CONSIDERATIONS OF C&SRM PROGRAMME	
	16.1.1 16.1.2	Contract Implementation Internal Stakeholder guidance	
	16.1.2	Supplier / Buyer Events	
17		DIX 1 CONTRACT CHANGE NOTE	
18		DIX 2 EXIT MANAGEMENT PLAN	
	10-011-I	Procurement Office - Confidential	
Re	lease Date: Jul	2023	Page 2



1 Introduction

The Procurement Office is responsible for the procurement of all Goods, Services and Works required from external suppliers in compliance with Legislation, Regulations and the Procurement Policy using responsible / sustainable Procurement principles. Sustainable Procurement is a process whereby the University meets its needs for Goods, Services and Works in a way that achieves value for money (VFM) on a whole life cost basis, generating benefit not only to the University, but also with consideration to Social, Economic and Environmental factors.

On completion of Contractual signature between the University and the Supplier it is strongly recommended that tailored KPIs are set up to measure and monitor the Supplier's obligations for the Goods, Services or Works as stipulate in the Agreement. The Procurement Office and the Stakeholders have key roles and responsibilities which are set out below.

2 Procurement Office Roles and Responsibilities

As part of our role, we will:

- Provide expert advice and guidance to all budget holders / stakeholders on all procurement matters.
- Ensure Contract & Supplier Relationship Management (C&SRM) is embedded in all tender requirements.
- Ensure Stakeholder Feedback is embedded in all tender requirements.
- Ensure that the standard C&SRM and Stakeholder Feedback templates are included in all Contractual Agreement where appropriate.
- Support all Stakeholders and Suppliers to develop tailored KPIs using the C&SRM templates.
- Support and provide guidance to Suppliers that are required to provide monthly KPIs.
- Annual refresh of the Marrakech toolkit.
- Annual refresh of the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) toolkit.
- Effective communication with all Suppliers identified as high risk through our sustainable Programme.
- Effective communication with EcoVadis, our Sustainability Partner.
- Support all Supplier questions in relation to our sustainable programme evaluated by EcoVadis.
- Effective management and communication of all Suppliers' EcoVadis sustainability rating with key stakeholders.
- Request improvement plans as required due to poor sustainability scores across any of the key pillars.
- Effective management and communication of all Suppliers listed on the Dun & Bradstreet portal.
- Support or facilitate monthly meetings with Suppliers and Stakeholders as required.
- Provide effective training and support to all Stakeholders on the C&SRM and Stakeholder Feedback template.
- Communicate to relevant Stakeholders any C&SRM reports received directly from Suppliers.

3 Stakeholder Roles and Responsibilities

Stakeholders are responsible for:

- Creating and implementing tailored KPIs with Suppliers for key projects within their responsibility.
 - Setting up meetings with Suppliers to jointly agree tailored KPIs from the vanilla C&SRM templates.



- Agree frequency of KPIs i.e. weekly, monthly, quarterly, annually.
- Request Suppliers to provide their KPI updates directly to them or designated person as per agreed frequency.
- All KPI updates received should be copied onto the relevant Category Manager for reference.
- Review and validate Supplier KPI data received as per agreed frequency.
- Seek guidance from Procurement as required.
- Participate in all Procurement training provided.
- Escalate poor Supplier performance as required.
- Provide Contract Performance reports to Project boards on a regular basis or as required.

3.1 Stakeholders Responsibilities on Validation of Suppliers returned KPIs.

- Check arithmetical input is correct.
- Ensure that the data inserted into the University excel tailored template is in alignment with the data held by the University for KPIs on Quality, Timescales, Quotes Supplied, Customer Service and Project Delivery.
- Expenditure data validation to be done using Agresso as the main source of expenditure data.
- Invoice accuracy data validation to be done by contacting Account Payment in the Finance Department to ensure Supplier data is correct.
- If the Supplier has an EcoVadis Sustainability rating, Procurement office to be contacted to validate their rating provided.
- In the event that the Contract or Framework Agreement has Service Credits or Pain/Gain clauses aligned to KPIs, it is the stakeholders responsible to ensure that failure to meet the agreed KPI targets, may trigger a Service Credit or Pain in monetary terms back to the University. This must be highlighted to the Contract/Framework Manager or Procurement to be discussed directly with the Supplier either during the next Supplier meeting or directly as required.
- Immediately highlight any misalignment with the data held by the University versus the data communicated by the Supplier to the Contracts/Framework Manager in Estate or Procurement for all other areas across the University.

3.2 Dispute Resolution

It is important to understand that all Contracts/Frameworks/Agreements have a validate dispute resolution clause in the event of a dispute arising. It is also important to note, if a dispute is not resolved by the stakeholder and Supplier managing that Contract, it must be escalated to senior representative and or Legal Services within the University. This approach will enable the stakeholder to continue to work collaboratively with the Supplier for continuity on delivery of the Services.

- Any dispute between the University and the Supplier arising out of or in connection with a Contract will in the first instance be resolved between the Stakeholder and Supplier. If the dispute is not resolved, it will be escalated to the University's senior representative and the Supplier's representative for resolution.
- A dispute resolution process in accordance with the NEC form of Contract is available should it be required and used as a last resort. The NEC form of Contract requires parties to act in a "spirit of mutual trust and co-operation." Disputes not resolved by the Contracts Surveyor and or the Contracts/Framework Manager/Supervisor monthly must be escalated to the Head of Frameworks and Contracts. Thereafter, the escalation process will be the Director of Facilities Services, the Director of Estates, and finally the Executive Director of Estates prior to the implementation of a Legal contractual dispute resolution.
- A dispute resolution process for all other Contracts/Frameworks/Agreements are clearly detailed in the individual Agreements.



R - Responsible (performs the activity)

A - Accountable (ultimately accountable)

C - Consulted (needs to feedback and contribute)

I - Informed (needs to be informed of the decision)

Task	Stakeholder	Estates Project Managers	Procurement	Senior Management
Contract & Supplier Relationship Management:				
C&SRM Policy Refresh Annually	1	I.	RA	1
C&SRM Toolkit	I.	I. I.	RA	L I
Stakeholder Feedback Toolkit	L I	I. I.	RA	L I
Guidance, Training and Support on C&SRM	I. I.	I. I.	RA	I. I.
Contract Change Note	I. I.	I. I.	RA	1
Creation of Tailored KPIs Agreed with Supplier	RA	RA	CI	CI
Stakeholder Feedback Form Evaluation	RA	RA	CI	I.
Supplier Action Plan	RA	RA	RC	I.
Performance improvement	RA	RA	RC	CI
Reporting of Supplier Poor Performance	RA	RA	RC	CI
<u>Meetings:</u> Supplier Daily/Weekly/Monthly Meetings Facilitate, Agenda, Minutes	RA	RA	I	I
Supplier Quarterly/Annual Meetings Facilitate, Agenda, Minutes	AC	RAC	RAC	I
Sustainability:				
Marrakech Toolkit Refresh Annually	1	1	RA	1
DEFRA Toolkit Refresh Annually	I. I.	I. I.	RA	I. I.
Supplier EcoVadis Scorecard Review Quarterly	I. I.	I. I.	RA	I. I.
Supplier EcoVadis Scorecard Reports Monthly	I. I.	I. I.	RA	I. I.
Request Supplier EcoVadis Rating Improvement	I.	I.	RA	I.
Plans Effective Communication with Suppliers identified as 'High Risk'	I.	I.	RA	I
Effective Communication with EcoVadis	I. I.	I. I.	RA	1
Effective Management and Communication of all Suppliers with Stakeholders	СІ	СІ	RA	I
Financial Management (Dun & Bradstreet Rating):				
Effective Management and Communication of all Suppliers listed on DNB Reports (Mthly)	сі	СІ	RA	I

PR10-011-I
Release Date: July 2023
Next Deview Deter July 2025

Next Review Date: July 2025

Controlled document unless printed or downloaded. This document is subject to document control procedure.

Author: Procurement Office



5 Contracts & Supplier Relationship Management

As part of the supply-chain risk management, the University has implemented its Contracts & Supplier Relationship Management Programme. This enables effective monitoring and management of strategic suppliers and critical contracts through an organized process. The purpose of Contracts & Supplier Relationship Management (C&SRM) is to:

- provide a formalised system of monitoring supplier performance against the contract requirements, monitor compliance to agreement terms, contract KPIs and SLAs
- ensure there is clarity of the roles and responsibilities by all parties relating to C&SRM
- achieve value added benefits and potential additional savings due to proactive contract and supplier management including added value performance measures, demand, cost, supply chain, efficiencies and effectiveness management
- provide a platform for development of initiatives/innovation, learning and knowledge transfer

6 Overview

The University uses a dual approach towards categorisation of high-risk suppliers and strategic contracts:

1) Supplier segmentation - based on contract value and supply chain category risk profile


2) Sustainability risk analysis - The University of Glasgow has adopted the following Supply Chain Code of Conduct document in alignment with the sustainable procurement programme led by Advanced Procurement for Universities and Colleges (APUC). Procurement has used the sustainability risk prioritization tool (Marrakech) which assigns a score for each risk attached to a category of spend. The high-risk categories are then investigated using the DEFRA analysis tool. Thereafter Suppliers identified within these categories are measured and monitored through the EcoVadis Corporate Social Responsibility Monitoring Programme. Sustainability is a part of the University's Procurement Policy and incorporated into all procurement and supply chain activities including tendering and contract procedures. Further information on the EcoVadis Programme can be found on the website https://ecovadis.com/

6 of 31



7 Contracts & Supplier Relationship Management Process

The chart below provides an overview of Contracts & Supplier Relationship Management Process followed by the University of Glasgow




Page 7



8 Supplier Segmentation Methodology

8.1 Based on Contract Value and Risk

Procurement uses the Kraljic Matrix methodology to assess suppliers based on cost impact and risk. Using the current Contracts Register as a basis for analysing spend activity, suppliers are assigned a low, medium or high rating based on both cost impact and risk. Feedback from stakeholders is obtained at this stage to validate the impact analysis considering the business area. From this review, suppliers can be classified as:



To ensure live mornitioring, the data from the University's Contract Register is used as a basis for classification. On the Contract Register, suppliers are rated in terms of cost impact and supply risk, e.g. This combined score then maps to strategic, tactical and basic

cost impact 💌	supply risk 💌	Combined score	Segmentation <
L	L	L-L	basic
L	Μ	L-M	basic
L	Н	L-H	tactical
M	L	M-L	basic
M	M	M-M	tactical
M	Н	M-H	strategic
Н	L	H-L	tactical
Н	M	H-M	strategic
Н	Н	H-H	strategic

Next Review Date: July 2025

Procurement Office - Confidential

Controlled document unless printed or downloaded. This document is subject to document control procedure.Author: Procurement OfficeApprover: Head of Procurement



8.2 Based on Sustainability Risk Assessment

This assessment is carried out and managed in three steps as outlined below:

Step 1 – Marrakech

The University's spend categories and sub-categories are assigned an initial sustainability score using a pre-prioritisation matrix against key sustainability criteria like Environmental, Social, Bribery and Modern Slavery risks.

	1=Low, 2=Medium, 3=High					Key:	>15 = Hig	hy Relev	/ant/risk,	>11 = Mediu	m Releva	nce/risk,	>9 = Low
		Relevance /Risk			Pre-Prioritisation								
Com Code	Commodity Code Description	Equality	Environmental / Sustainable	WEEE/ disposal	Social issues	Reputation	Health & Safety	Bribery Act Risk	Modern Slavery	Risk Score (Max = 21)	Priority 1 (>15)	Priority 2 (>11)	Priority 3 (<10)
) H12	Other and General Cleaning and Janitorial									0	0	0	1
1 <mark>J</mark>	Utilities										4	1	1
2 J1	Electricity Supply and Services	1	3	1	1	3	3	3	1	16	1	0	0
	Gas Supply and Services	1	3	1	1	3	3	3	1	16	1	0	0
	Oil Supply and Services	1	3	1	2	1	2	2	2	14	0	1	0
5 J4	Solid Fuel, supplies and services	1	3	1	1	2	3	3	2	16	1	0	0
5 J5	Water and Sewerage Services	1	3	1	1	3	3	3	1	16	1	0	0
7 J6	Other/General Utilities	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	0	0	1
3 <mark>K</mark>	Computer Supplies & Services										10	4	2
) K1	Printer Purchase and Maintenance	2	3	3	3	3	3	1	3	21	1	0	0
) К2	Printer Consumables, Toners, Ink, Ribbons etc	1	3	3	3	3	3	1	2	19	1	0	0
1 кз	Magnetic Media and Storage, Optical Media and Storage (Discs, CD Roms, Data Cartridoes)	1	3	2	2	1	1	1	2	13	n	1	0

PR10-011-I

Procurement Office - Confidential


Release Date: July 2023 Next Review Date: July 2025

Controlled document unless printed or downloaded. This document is subject to document control procedure. Author: Procurement Office Approver: Head of Procurement



Step 2 - DEFRA

Pre-prioritisation generates risk ratings for different categories and in the order of highest risks, sub-categories are further analysed using the DEFRA (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs) toolkit. This produces detailed graphs showing sustainability risk exposure in combination with economic spend.



 PR10-011-I
 Procurement Office - Confidential

 Release Date: July 2023
 Procurement Office - Confidential

 Next Review Date: July 2025
 Controlled document unless printed or downloaded. This document is subject to document control procedure.


 Author: Procurement Office
 Approver: Head of Procurement

Page 10



Step 3 – EcoVadis Sustainability Rating

The suppliers with contracts corresponding to the above high-risk categories from DEFRA model are evaluated for sustainability performance using the EcoVadis Corporate Social Responsibility Monitoring Programme. This is provided externally and independently by EcoVadis. The suppliers undergo a detailed assessment in environment, labour and human rights, ethics and sustainable procurement areas of their own supply chains. The results are published on the EcoVadis online portal, allowing clients such as the University to view, analyse and where required work on improvement areas jointly with the suppliers.



 PR10-011-I
 Procurement Office - Confidential

 Release Date: July 2023
 Procurement Office - Confidential

 Next Review Date: July 2025
 Controlled document unless printed or downloaded. This document is subject to document control procedure.

 Author: Procurement Office
 Approver: Head of Procurement

Page 11



Strengths and Improvement Areas

😥 Environment 🛈		Weight ••• •
Strengths (20) Improvement Areas (3)	360° Watch Findings (1)	
੍∰ Labor & Human Rights ①		Weight •••• 🔨 🔨
Strengths (18) Improvement Areas (8)	360° Watch Findings (4)	CAT
ৰ্কুৰু Ethics 🛈		Weight • • • • 🔺 🔨
Strengths (8) Improvement Areas (1)	360° Watch Findings (0)	
ৰ্চ্বুৰু Ethics 🛈		Weight • • • • •
Strengths (8) Improvement Areas (1)	360° Watch Findings (0)	



Managing strategic, tactical and basic supplier groups 9

C&SRM requirements form an important part of the procurement process, included in early stages such as tender strategy, supply market assessment and tender criteria setting. C&SRM templates and process are included in the tendering documentation and inside contractual agreements to ensure that suppliers are contracted to follow the process. It may be appropriate to include a copy of the KPI metrics, Stakeholder Feedback form and the Contract Change Note in the tender.

Basic C&SRM 9.1

Activity	What it entails	Why do it
Communicate contract implementation to internal Stakeholders	 Publication of agreement internally 	 Ensure awareness of and efficient operation of agreement
Ensure compliance to contractual requirements	 Intervention if supplier is not meeting contractual requirements 	 Quality Assurance – suppliers selected by UoG should deliver as per their agreement
Administration	 Update Contracts Register and ensure supplier is flagged correctly within Agresso Ensure suppliers have current and sufficient insurance Monitor D&B reports and highlight adverse trends/incidents 	 Quality Assurance – suppliers should have the H&S accreditation, insurance cover and financial strength that we require Governance – key information should be kept up to date to facilitate smooth operation of the framework Warn stakeholders of potential problems to give opportunity for them to take mitigating actions
Efficiency and Effectiveness	 Monitoring spend through monthly reports and capture efficiency through the monthly benefits reporting 	 Meet UoG efficiency targets
Sustainability	 Include and Identify risk rating through Marrakech pre-prioritisation scoring 	 Understanding and awareness of sustainability risks in relation to the contract

9.2 Tactical C&SRM

Activity	What it entails	Why do it
Post tender, communicate contract agreement to internal Stakeholders	 Publication of agreement internally Publication of supplier user guides 	 Ensure awareness of and efficient operation of agreement
Ensure compliance to contractual requirements	 Intervention if supplier is not meeting contractual requirements 	 Quality Assurance – suppliers selected by UoG should deliver as per their agreement

PR10-011-I

Procurement Office - Confidential

Release Date: July 2023

Next Review Date: July 2025

Controlled document unless printed or downloaded. This document is subject to document control procedure. Approver: Head of Procurement

Author: Procurement Office

Page 13



Activity	What it entails	Why do it
Administration	 Update Contracts Register and ensure supplier is flagged correctly within Agresso Ensure suppliers have current and sufficient insurance Monitor D&B reports and highlight adverse trends/incidents 	 Quality Assurance – suppliers should have the H&S accreditation, insurance cover and financial strength that we require Governance – key information should be kept up to date to facilitate smooth operation of the framework Warn stakeholders of potential problems to give opportunity for them to take mitigating actions
Efficiency and Effectiveness	 Monitoring spend through monthly reports and capture efficiency through the monthly benefits reporting 	 Meet UoG's savings targets
Supplier Performance Management	 Completion of feedback forms for leader board - quarterly Collection of KPIs from supplier and/or stakeholders - monthly Agree supplier improvement plan if supplier is in red zone Listing on supplier leader board Review meetings to be held as required 	 Assess performance of suppliers to ensure they are delivering required service Encourage suppliers to improve performance Inform category strategies, especially regarding options for extension of contracts and rationalisation of supply base Identify poorly performing suppliers and take appropriate corrective action Share information with stakeholders
Demand Forecasting	 Application of forecasting & planning data (where available) Engagement with stakeholders on future demand profiles Awareness of the impact of UoG's strategies and business trends on demand 	 De-risking supply by ensuring supply base has the capacity to meet UoG's requirements Opportunity to improve commercials Opportunity to rationalise supply base
Advice to stakeholders on framework operation and mini competitions	 Respond to ad hoc requests for support Proactive intervention when framework not operating in line with legislation/ UoG procedures Support up skilling of stakeholders in commercial application of the framework 	 Ensure compliance with framework terms Achieve best value from use of frameworks
Review of Procurement Outcome	 Assessment of whether the framework and suppliers selected are delivering all the outcomes expected Capture of opportunities to improve the procurement process next time around via the lessons learned mechanism Identify opportunities for immediate modification of frameworks 	 Continuous improvement in design of procurements Highlights opportunities to improve framework, either immediately or in next procurement
Sustainability	 Include and Identify risk rating through Marrakech pre- prioritisation scoring Conduct detail analysis using DEFRA methodology 	 Deeper understanding of sustainability risks in relation to the contract Feeds into the category strategies to identify mitigation plans

PR10-011-I

Procurement Office - Confidential

Release Date: July 2023

Next Review Date: July 2025

Controlled document unless printed or downloaded. This document is subject to document control procedure. Author: Procurement Office Approver: Head of Procurement Page 14



9.3 Strategic C&SRM

Activities marked * relate to value delivery. Activities marked ^ relate to risk management. Activities shaded # relate to both.

This list is indicative only. The benefits expected from a strategic C&SRM activity should be defined along with expected outputs and targets.

Activity	What it entails	Why do it
Market benchmarking *	 Comparison of framework pricing to market rates / indexations 	 Benchmarking clause in framework agreement Ensure still getting best market rate
Facilitate innovation *	 Work with supplier to review and implement innovative opportunities Engage with stakeholders to support evaluation and adoption of innovation Cost benefit analysis of innovation opportunities 	 Bring benefit from successful innovation to UoG quickly Feed ideas to stakeholders to inform their decisions/ strategies
Drive continuous improvement *	 Identification of opportunities for improvement and/or setting of improvement targets for supplier Action plans 	 Increase the value delivered by the supplier Align the cost and service level delivered to UoG's requirements over the lifetime of an agreement
Develop supplier *	 Proactive engagement with a supplier to improve/add specific aspects of service provision 	 Fill a gap in existing supply base Increase competition in supply base Reduce dependence on a single supplier
Advice on Framework T&Cs to stakeholders ^	 Preparing detailed guidance on the framework agreement Proactive and reactive support of stakeholders to ensure they understand the framework T&Cs 	 Support users of complex contracts Mitigate risk of detrimental application of framework T&Cs Reduce risk of contractual dispute
Supplier Audits ^	 Audits of supplier activity at their premises/sites Feedback of audit results to supplier and agreement of improvement targets/plan 	 Drive improved framework compliance Identify improvement opportunities in the way supplier carries out activities Quality Assurance
Dispute resolution ^	 Intervention in disputes between framework suppliers or between supplier and UoG stakeholder Informal arbitration to broker a resolution 	 Resolve issues to prevent any increase in detrimental impact Use client influence in supply chain to prevent detrimental exploitation of 2nd tier suppliers
Supplier risk management ^	 Identification of specific risks associated with a supplier Tracking of risk and impact indicators Collaborative assessment of risk level and potential mitigation activities 	 Business continuity Remove, reduce and mitigate risks to UoG Communicate risk to UoG stakeholders to inform their strategies and decisions

PR10-011-I

Procurement Office - Confidential

Release Date: July 2023

Next Review Date: July 2025

Controlled document unless printed or downloaded. This document is subject to document control procedure.

Author: Procurement Office

Approver: Head of Procurement

15 of 31



Activity	What it entails	Why do it
Realignment of contract in line with business change # Training of stakeholders #	 Negotiating and implementing amendments to service levels/costs in line with UoG changes Develop training material and identify stakeholders Roll out training 	 Maintain best value Ensure frameworks continue to meet business needs Ensure compliance with framework terms Achieve best value from use of frameworks
Market intelligence #	 Maintain training Research into market structure and trends Assessment of supplier's market position and strategy 	 Reduce risk from improper application of frameworks Advice to stakeholders to support de-risking (commercial and operational) Inform procurement strategies Keep close to market to anticipate any issues
Build relationships with suppliers #	 Regular meetings with a focussed agenda Action plans 	 Deliver additional value Explore opportunities to deliver additional value Secure 'preferred customer' status with supplier
Cross functional strategic supplier reviews #	 Quarterly meetings with senior supplier reps and stakeholders Focussed agenda covering opportunities for change and securing rebate commitment 	 Align senior stakeholders Reinforce relationships and commitment to deliver rebate Deliver additional value
Sustainability #	 Include and Identify risk rating through Marrakech pre- prioritisation scoring Conduct detail analysis using DEFRA methodology Suppliers would undergo full EcoVadis Sustainability Assessment 	 Deeper understanding of sustainability risks in relation to the contract Feeds into the category strategies to identify mitigation plans End to end risk management of sustainability risks Working closely with suppliers on improvement actions identified by the EcoVadis Corporate Social Sustainability Monitoring Programme



9.4 C&SRM Documentation

The checklist below is used as guidance for documentation required for the C&SRM process.

Document	Basic Level C&SRM	Tactical Level C&SRM	Strategic Level C&SRM
On-going Supplier Management			
Colleague Briefing Note	×	If needed	If needed
Stakeholder Feedback Form	×	\checkmark	\checkmark
Stakeholder Feedback Form – evaluation	×	\checkmark	\checkmark
KPI Template	basic	✓	✓
Supplier Development Plan	If needed	If needed	✓
Supplier Meeting Standard Agenda	If needed	✓	\checkmark
Supplier Meeting Standard Minutes	If needed	✓	✓
Supplier Action Plan	If needed	If needed	If needed
Contract Change Note	If needed	✓	✓
Contract Administration			
Category Management Strategy Template	×	✓	\checkmark
Savings Tracker	✓	✓ 	✓

9.5 EcoVadis Corporate Social Responsibility Monitoring Programme documentation

Document	Basic Level C&SRM	Tactical Level C&SRM	Strategic Level C&SRM
Marrakech pre-prioritisation	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
DEFRA Analysis	If needed	\checkmark	\checkmark
EcoVadis Invitation Letter to supplier on behalf of the University of Glasgow	If needed	If needed	✓
Supplier's acknowledgement via email	If needed	If needed	\checkmark
EcoVadis Rating report upon completion of assessment	If needed	If needed	✓

Procurement Office - Confidential


Author: Procurement Office



UJ Glasgow	18 of 31					
Supplier Improvement Action Plan by Category	If needed	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Manager. Included as part of C&SRM measurements						
where applicable.						

9.6 C&SRM Cycle Timeline for activities

Depending on criticality, complexity of the strategic C&SRM plan, a quarterly, bi-annual, annual relationship management cycle is planned by Procurement. An example of a 6 weekly cycle is shown below:





10 Stakeholder feedback

The key stakeholders involved in the process are as follows:

- **Contract users** uses the Goods, Services or Works available on the contract. This includes call-off users such as Purchasing Officers who may be involved in handling queries and complaints
- Procurement team Category Managers, Head of Procurement
- Finance deals with invoices, credit notes, etc

10.1 The Stakeholder Feedback Form

The survey format is shown below. Questions are based on balanced scorecard criteria of Cost, Delivery, Quality and Service.

Scoring Guidance:

- Excellent (5)
- Good (4)
- Acceptable (3)
- Poor (2)

PR10-011-I

- Very poor (unacceptable) (1)
- Question not applicable to the service provided OR not enough evidence to answer (n/a)

University of Glasgow	Stakeholder Feedback Form	Supplier:	xxxx
Question	Options	Your answer	Comments as applicable
On-time - Joes the supplier deliver goods or services to lead inmes that are suitable for your operational needs?	Excellent (5) Goad (4) Average (3) Poor (2) Unacceptable (1) Guastion not applicable to the service provided OR not enough evidence to answer (n/a)	5	
Performance - are the goods or services offered as defined within the framework specification?	• Excellent (5) • Good (4) • Average (3) • Poor (2) • Unacceptable (1) • Unacceptable (1) • Question not applicable to the service provided OR not enough evidence to answer (n/a)	4	
Health & Safety	• Excellent (5) • Goad (4) • Average (3) • Poor (2) • Unacceptable (1) • Unacceptable (1)	3	
improvement and innovation - does the supplier offer innovations or improvements to existing products / services to better suit the equirements of users?	• Excellent (5) • Goad (4) • Average (3) • Poor (2) • Unacceptable (1) • Unacceptable (1) • Unacceptable to the service provided OR not enough evidence to answer (n/a)	n/a	
Communication - now does the supplier manage communication and espond to enquiries (including complaints)?	 Excellent (5) Good (4) Average (3) Poor (2) Unacceptable (1) Question not applicable to the service provided OR not enough evidence to answer (n/a) 	1	
Do you enjoy working with this supplier?	Yes (5) No (0)	5	
Please feel free to input any additional comments that you may wish to make	Please note in the comments section	-	
Please indicate which of the following roles best describes your position in relation to this contract	End user Purchasing staff Technical staff Financial staff Other	Purchasing staff	
	Suppliers total score	18	
	Maximum score available Maximum score available (excluding n/a)	30 25	
	Percentage score	72%	

Procurement Office - Confidential




10.2 The Stakeholder Feedback Form evaluation template

20 of 31

The evaluation template to collate these responses and track them over time is shown below. Note the summary section at the bottom of the page.

Unive of Glas	gow Supplier Feedback Form	Collea	ague 1	Collea	ague 2	Colle	ague 3	Colle	ague 4	Colle	ague 5	Collea	ague 6
Section	Question	C1 Answer	C1 Commen ts 💌	C2 Answer	C2 Commen ts 💌	C3 Answer	C3 Commen ts 💌	C4 Answer	C4 Commen ts 💌	C5 Answer	C5 Commen ts 💌	C6 Answer	C6 Comments
Delivery	On-time - does the supplier deliver goods or services to lead times that are suitable for your operational needs?	10		14		12		14		20		20	
Quality	Performance - are the goods or services offered as defined within the framework specification?	6		6		6		6		6		6	
Quality	Health & Safety	10		14		14		20		20		20	
Service	Improvement and innovation - does the supplier offer innovations or improvements to existing products / services to better suit the requirements of users?	14		20		14		14		10		14	
Service	Communication - how does the supplier manage communication and respond to enquiries (including complaints)?	0		14		20		20		20		20	
Misc	Do you enjoy working with this supplier?	10		10		14		14		14		14	
Misc	Please feel free to input any additional comments that you may wish to make												
Misc	Please indicate which of the following roles best describes your position in relation to this contract												
	Suppliers total score	50		78		80		88		90		94	
	Maximum score available			110		110		110		110		110	
	Maximum score available (excluding n/a)	110		110		110		110		110		110	
	Percentage score	45%		71%		73%		80%		82%		85%	
Overall Average	73%												
Cost	70%	70%				Invoice acc	curacy - this	is asked o	f finance o	nly			
Delivery	75%	50%		70%		60%		70%		100%		100%	
Quality	56%	40%		50%		50%		65%		65%		65%	
Service	75%	35%		85%		85%		85%		75%		85%	
	20 = 100% 14 = 70% 10 = 50%												
	6 = 30% 0 = 0%												





This will auto populate the following graphs for more detailed analysis (example filtered on quality)

21 of 31



Suppliers are required to complete agreed set of metrics using management information on a monthly basis. This information generates a dashboard for performance levels achieved (example below).

Un of C	iversity Blasgow						Со	ntrad	:t & S	upplie	r Rela	tionsh	ip Ma	nage	ment	(C&S	SRM)		
С	Supplier Contract Reference PURCHXXXX]	Period FY XX-XX, UX Mar					Catego Manag Contra Manag	ler lot					
£18,000 £16,000 £12,000 £10,000 £8,000 £6,000 £4,000 £2,000 £-	E18,000 E16,000 E14,000 E12,000 E12,000 E12,000 E12,000 E12,000 E12,000 E12,000 E12,000 E12,000 E16,000 E12,000 E16					י אפו זובר	pend YTD: 16,000		KPI Summary					tion					
	KPISumm	nary		Au	g	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Average YTD	Target	In relation to target
KPI 1: KPI 2: KPI 3: KPI 4: KPI 5: KPI 6: KPI 6: KPI 8: KPI 9: KPI 10: KPI 11:	Data Acci Customer	couracy ility upplied e to Tim uracy r Servic elivery call Res	nescale: e olution	- R ≤⊘ ⊘	96% 95% 83% 90% ated 80% 97% 98% 100% 50% 80%	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not d Rated	1		Sa nfoi	រកោរ ការ)n		 95% 95% 95% 83% 90% 90%	98% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90%	****
	egorisation	Key Ø		ring			lier type		Ke	y s	coring		Inrela	tion to ta	rget				
	iceptional Excellent Good	000	Score 9 Score 8 Score 7	io- an	¢	Кеу	ic Alliance Partner ed Supplie	,	*		ow target		-		et target				
	Average ow Average Poor	() () ()	Score S Score 4 Score 1	10-40	6	Prob	ptable Stat ationary ationary	us											

RAG status is created in the following basis

- ≥70% Green
- 50-69% Amber
- ≤50% Red




This includes sustainability measurements such as EcoVadis rating, Community Benefits, BREEAM, EPC Rating and Carbon Footprint.

Example of Sustainability Measurements

of Glasgow	Contract & Supplier R Management (C			Sustainability									
Supplier	0												
Contract Reference	PURCHXXXX				stainability Rating, addit								
Period	FY XX-XX, QX	with the supplier. The	UoG E&B Ops Mar	hager and Category Mar	ager will validate the	e progress to t	hese targets	and commitm	nents.			Meets Requirement	
	MINIMUM RATING >45%	ENVIRONMENT	LABOUR & HUMAN RIGHTS	ETHICS	SUSTAINABLE	OVERALL			Sustain	ability Status			Needs Improvement
	University Target	>65%	>65%	>65%	>65%				Not Rated				Not Rated
	Supplier EcoVadis Rating												
	Next Review Date												
	Additonal Sustainability KPI's												
	Spend *	Aug	Sep	• Oct	* Nov *	Dec	- Jan	- Feb	* Mar	• Apr •	May	- Jun	- Jul
	Optimisation of Containers and												
	Collections												
	Recycling Target 75%												
	Landfill Diversion 100%												
	Carbon Management Target												
	Community Benefits	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul
	Graduate												
	Modern Apprenticeship												
	Work Placements												
	Charity Events												
	School Engagement												

Procurement supports the negotiation on agreed KPI metrics with the Supplier. The Supplier submits their KPIs on a monthly, Quarterly, 6 monthly basis (whichever is appropriate), this information ultimately feeds into the front dashboard (shown above). Procurement supports the validation of the Suppliers' spend and performance with stakeholders. In particular this is a joint working relationship between Procurement and Estates & Building Contract Manager.



12 C&SRM Mature Model

After initial C&SRM cycles, for example 12 months of measuring basic KPI metrics a review will be undertaken by Procurement with a view to include additional categories such as Customer Service, value added benefits and end user experience. The University's internal stakeholders will score these categories. The weightings given to KPI performance will be re-aligned to include stakeholder scores. A C&SRM front page will be used to pull together the Stakeholder Feedback and Supplier KPI information into one place.




Gl	versity asgow		S	Supplier Relationship Management						
	Cumplica	- vv	Contract Ref	erence PURCHXXXXX	Date	-				
lier	Supplier)	XY Z		Period	Category Manager	XXX				
ſ										
		Customer feedback score								
	Summary of Sc	ores	٢	41%	Ø 8	30%				
	Weighting			50%	50	50%				
	Overall Score				61%					
	Categorisation	Key	Scoring	Supplier type						
	Exceptional	0	Score 90-100%	Strategic Alliance						
	Excellent	0	Score 80 - 89%	Key Partner						
	Good	0	Score 70 - 79%	Preferred Supplier						
	Average		Score 50 - 69%	Min Acceptable Status						
	Below Average	0	Score 40 - 49%	Probationary						
	Delon Heelage									



A supplier leader board captures C&SRM performance metrics from various suppliers into one document which allows performance comparison and benchmarking, i.e. one supplier's performance in relation to other suppliers in the program. The leader board also shows changes from the most recent review. RAG status is per the KPI sheet and is designed to highlight improving / worsening performance. The leader board can be filtered on college to show suppliers performance for that business area

University of Glasgow			Sup	plier Pe	erfor	mance Bo	ard		
Supplier Name	University Department	Rank		ustomer Iback scor	e	KPI score	Current Combined Score	Change	Previous Combined Score
Supplier c	College of Arts	2	\otimes	41%	Ø	80%	61%		40%
Supplier a	College of Medical, Veterinary & Life Sciences	1		80%	0	50%	65%		57%
Supplier e	Estates and Buildings	3		90%	\otimes	25%	58%		60%
Supplier b	University Services	4	()	50%	3	60%	55%	\checkmark	60%
Supplierd	College of Arts	5		75%	8	0%	38%	-	n/a





As part of the C&SRM programme, Procurement, internal stakeholders and the supplier can jointly agree a frequency of performance review meetings required for the particular contractual relationship. As a guidance, the following points should be covered in the C&SRM meetings.

14.1 Standard Agenda

University of Glasgow	Supplier: [<mark>]</mark> Supplier Relationship Management Meeting						
Contract reference		Date of expiry (detail extensions available)					
Contract name		Date of Meeting					
Present	University of Glasgow: • Supplier: •						

- 1. Review of Minutes from previous meeting(s)
- 2. Review balanced score card and trends
- 2.1 Also any specific feedback received from users of goods / service
- 2.2 Contract performance against target / SLA / KPIs
- 3. General review of:
- 3.1 Opportunities
- 3.2 Development Areas
- 3.3 Key Achievements
- 3.4 Sustainability
- 3.5 Review of risks and Issues (incl. H&S)
- 3.6 Lessons learned
- 3.7 Benefits / efficiency Realisation (E.g. Community Benefits, sustainability)
- 3.8 Innovation
- 4. Feedback from supplier
- 4.1 Feedback from supplier re Contract management process
- 4.2 Supplier Business Review, with updates on new products / product developments, customer-affecting issues

Procurement Office - Confidential

- 5. Note action points (on the to do list e.g.)
- 6. AOB

PR10-011-I



15 Contract Change and Exit Management

15.1 Contract Change

Through the course of the C&SRM programme, actions may be identified that require changes to the contractual arrangement; this can be executed through Contract Change Note. It is included as a schedule in all standard University Agreements of Purchase (Appendix 1).

15.2 Exit Management

The decision to exit a contract could be based on a variety of reasons such as:

Breach of Contract (performance failure) Change to strategy impacting business requirements (new solution, contract no longer required) Natural expiry of contract Supplier liquidation Termination for convenience

The exit management process will be led by Procurement in discussion with end users and the supplier involved. The phases in this process can be outlined as: Analysing exit decision Analysis of impact to process, people, costs Assessment of actions required by either party Exit Report and sign off

The procurement team will have responsibility for ensuring that both parties are working towards the planned exit of the contract and the procurement process for securing subsequent supply arrangements if required.

The Exit Strategy involves a full review of the supplier's performance, including capturing of lessons learned. The final review and lessons learned should be clearly documented and communicated to appropriate stakeholders, as it may inform any subsequent procurement or tendering for similar commodities in the future. **(Appendix 2)**



16 Wider Considerations of C&SRM Programme

16.1.1 Contract Implementation

Contract Implementation consists of three distinct phases:

- 1. Migration facilitating the movement of the organisation to a new contract post 'go-live'.
- 2. Mobilisation the process of moving from contract award and migration to 'go-live' i.e. the point when a user can actually buy from the contract.
- 3. Communication ensuring all stakeholders are aware of the contract and what it involves.

Actions that should be considered to migrate to and mobilise a contract at this stage include:

16.1.2 Internal Stakeholder guidance

A contract user guide relevant to the relationship may be required to communicate and publicise the contract to inform end-users of its content, which can contain key information about the use of the contract including:

- contract objectives,
- details of the Goods, Services or Works available,
- prices,
- Supplier contact details,
- ordering and invoice process,
- returns/complaints/escalation process,
- Contracts & Supplier Relationship Management process.

16.1.3 Supplier / Buyer Events

Depending on the size, value and risk level involved with the contract, a useful way to raise awareness of the contract amongst end users is to organise a Supplier / Buyer 'launch' event to give stakeholders the opportunity to meet the supplier and present details of the contract and what it affords. This is also an opportunity to distribute information packs/buyers guides. Where a large number of users are affected, it may be useful to publish a news item via communication channels.



17 Appendix 1 Contract Change Note

ji U	niversity Glasgow		Supplie	er:[xxxx]						
🛒 of	Glasgow	C	Contract	Change Note						
Supplier Nan	ne:									
Contract Ref										
Contract Des	scription:									
Form of Con	tract:									
Originator (i.	e. the University or	Contractor):								
Title of chang	ge:									
Date change	first proposed:									
The Contractor and the University entered into a Contract for the provision of the above mentioned goods / service dated [xxxx] and now wish to amend that Contract;										
Reason for p	roposed Change:									
Full details o	f proposed change:									
Details of like	ely impact (if any) o	f proposed change	on other aspe	ects of the Contract:						
Effect of not	implementing the c	hange:								
Timetable of	the proposed chan	ge:								
				mended as set out below:						
				here – to include the explicit changes						
			e, i.e. Clause/S	Schedule/paragraph number, required						
	and insertions etc									
			conditions of	the Contract inclusive of any previous						
	all remain in full for									
	nd on behalf of the	Supplier		nd on behalf of University of Glasgow						
Signature:			Signature:							
Name:			Name:							
Title:			Title:							
Date:			Date:							

Controlled document unless printed or downloaded. This document is subject to document control procedure.Author: Procurement OfficeApprover: Head of Procurement



18 Appendix 2 Exit Management Plan

🎁 U	niversity Glasgow		Supplie	er:[xxxx]					
VLEVEERE VIE	Glasgow	E	xit Mana	agement Plan					
Supplier Nan	ne:								
Contract Ref									
Contract Des									
Form of Cont	tract:								
Originator (i.e	e. the University or	Contractor):							
Title of chang	ge:								
Date change	first proposed:								
		sity entered into a	Contract for t	he provision of the above-mentioned					
	ce dated [xxxx] and								
Reason for p	roposed Exit:								
-									
Full details of	f proposed Exit:								
Details of like	ely impact:								
1.	mpact to People								
2. I	mpact to Process								
3. I	mpact to Cost								
4. E	Business Continuity	1							
Timetable of	the proposed chan	ge:							
IT IS AGREE	D that with effect fr	rom [date] the Cont	ract shall be a	amended as set out below:					
 [Details of 	of the to include the	explicit actions red	quired in order	to effect the exit					
 All other 									
agreed previously.									
Signed for ar	nd on behalf of the	Supplier	Signed for a	nd on behalf of University of Glasgow					
Signature:			Signature:						
Ŭ			Ŭ						
Name:			Name:						

Title:

Date:

Title:

Date:

Controlled document unless printed or downloaded. This document is subject to document control procedure. Author: Procurement Office Approver: Head of Procurement

