Monitoring internal subject review (Periodic Subject Review) falls under Academic Standards Committee’s remit as part of its overall responsibility of assuring and enhancing the quality of the University’s taught educational provision and the maintenance of standards.

Each year ASC receives the following reports relating to the PSR process:
1. Reports of Reviews held in the session, (containing recommended actions arising from the Review.
2. Six month Responses to Recommendations – a standard report on progress with actions/ recommendations.
3. Updates on Progress with Recommendations – ad hoc reports, normally requested by the Panel Convener or ASC, where updates are considered necessary after the first normal six-month Responses.
4. Annual overview of recommendations – compiled by the Senate Office.
5. Annual overview of good practice and key strengths identified in Reviews – compiled by the Senate Office.

To spread the workload in reviewing these reports, academic members of ASC are allocated a number of Subjects/Schools for which they are asked to read full Review reports and six month Responses (as per 1 and 2 above). ASC members usually work in pairs. Update reports (3 above) are not specifically allocated. Guidance on the process of reviewing these reports will be provided at the time. Overview reports (4 and 5 above) are now incorporated in the Annual Report to the Scottish Funding Council which is submitted to the October meeting of ASC and should be considered by all committee members.

Allocation for 2021-22

The following allocation of ASC members to PSR reports is proposed for 2021-22. Where possible, there is continuity between previous review of full reports and subsequent responses.

Full Review Reports 2021-22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Expected Date of Submission to ASC</th>
<th>Reviewers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic &amp; Digital Development (PGCAP and MEd)</td>
<td>27 May 2022</td>
<td>Ann Gow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School of Chemistry</td>
<td>27 May 2022</td>
<td>Wendy Anderson (vice Paul Castro) Joe Gray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theatre, Film &amp; TV Studies and Centre for Cultural Policy Research</td>
<td>27 May 2022</td>
<td>Donald Ballance Angus Ferguson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ASC reviewers will receive the draft report at an earlier stage in the process than previously, so will be advised in due course of relevant dates.
The Role of the ASC Reviewer

In receiving PSR reports ASC's task is to identify 'issues or recommendations requiring action in other areas of the University and monitoring responses to actions or recommending further action as necessary'. ASC Reviewers should therefore check reports for any issues or recommendations (typically those which will enhance the quality of the University’s taught provision) which would relate to other areas of the University and therefore may need wider dissemination.

Six month Responses and Update reports should be considered in order to confirm the PSR Panel Convener's view that there have been appropriate responses to the recommendations or whether further action or updates are necessary (this will usually have been identified by the Convener before the report is submitted to ASC).