

PAGE\PARK

Gilmorehill Campus Development Framework

APPENDIX 2 Consultation Report

June 2014

www.glasgow.ac.uk

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

STAGE 1 CONSULTATION	8
 Introduction Public Open Event & Exhibition Response Findings & Key Themes Findings & Key Themes Summary Appendix (Booklet) Appendix (Byres Road Improvement Group Consultation) 	8 10 14 22 36 38 42
STAGE 2 CONSULTATION	44
Introduction Public Open Event & Exhibition Community Workshop Response Consultation Review Key Findings Stage 1 & Stage 2 Consultation Key Findings Campus Development Framework Overall Consultation Themes Appendix (Consultation Booklet) Appendix (Feedback)	44 46 50 52 62 64 66 68 74
STAGE 3 CONSULTATION	76
Introduction Public Open Event & Exhibition Response Consultation Review Key Findings Appendix (Consultation Booklet) 	76 78 82 90 92

- Response
- Consultation Review Key Findings Appendix (Consultation Booklet) •

Dowanhill

Hyndland/Partickhill

1010

ALC: COMPANY

University of Gl

Dumbarton Road

North

Yorkhill

Hillhead

Park / Woodlands

Kelvingrove Park

Kelvin Way

asgow

The University of Glasgow : Gilmorehill campus estate boundary

INTRODUCTION

Background

The University of Glasgow has a unique opportunity to re-shape its main campus as a result of acquiring the Western Infirmary site adjacent to the Gilmorehill campus. The future shape of the campus will be influenced by evolving needs of the University as it delivers its strategic plan to increase its global standing as a world leading research-intensive university. The Campus Development Framework is a forward looking initiative which forms part of a broader strategic Estates Strategy. The Estates Strategy is shaped by the University's organisational structure and by our vision for the future. It is owned by the University and agreed by Court. It is one of the University's key, strategic documents and it explains how the University will finance the investment. The Estates Strategy is a long-term blueprint for how the University of Glasgow will organise its current and future buildings. The strategy also outlines the technical infrastructure and services that will be provided for our buildings.

The Development Framework is a strategic planning instruction manual that explains how the Estates Strategy is to be delivered. It translates the Estates Strategy vision into a publicly recognised plan. Approved by the City Council, it is also the checking mechanism that will ensure that every component of the Estates Strategy complies with an approved statutory planning document, and is in line with the wider aspirations of the city of Glasgow. The Development Framework will provide us with the confidence that once strategic decisions have been taken they can be delivered over time as part of an agreed bigger picture.

Together, the Estates Strategy and Campus Development Framework inform and guide future development and place it in the broader context of the neighbourhood and the city.

Process & Timescales



Engagement Process

As part of the evolution of the Estates Strategy & Development Framework, a first stage of a wide consultation programme was initiated between September 2012 and March 2013 both internally within the University central organisation, and also with the wider student, staff and neighbouring community. This is the first part of a three part consultation process, the stages broadly being as follows;

Stage 1. Informing the vision Stage 2. Seeking views on the vision and campus analysis Stage 3. Feeding back on the draft Campus Development Framework and Estates Strategy The second stage consultation process was held between September and October 2013 and aims:

- to provide opportunity for further engagement and interaction with stakeholders, and
- to seek the views and reach agreement on key place making ideas which will be incorporated in the final Campus Development Framework

The third and final stage engagement was carried out between April and May 2014 with the aim to:

- provide information about the consultations already undertaken and the feedback received to date,
- provide information on how this feedback was incorporated into the evolution of the Campus Development Framework, and
- seek views on the developed principles

STAGE 1 CONSULTATION

Introduction

The following section records the contribution of a large number of the public respondents as part of the Stage 1 Consultation Process.

The internal dialogue with University key stakeholder groups was initiated by means of individual workshops where key comments were recorded. The results of this dialogue are recorded and analysed in a separate document. The wider student, staff and neighbouring community consultation was undertaken through public open events in the form of a staffed exhibition and an opportunity to fill in a feedback questionnaire, together with a workshop with the Byres Road Improvement Group. This section focuses on the open public consultation process.

The first event was held in the University Welcome Point (Wed 20 Feb) and the second event was held off campus in the Hillhead Library (Mon 25 Feb). These events were advertised widely through adverts in local and regional press, by direct invites to key stakeholders, invites to all staff, student and alumni and through an awareness campaign in conjunction with local civic and community groups. During each event exhibition panels were on display and members of the project team were on hand to talk to interested parties. A consultation leaflet incorporating a questionnaire was handed out to encourage participants to provide written feedback.

The overall turn out to both open events was good with up to 20 people turning up at any one time during peak hours. Several hundred attended in total. Attendance was variable out with peak hours however the exhibition was on display for 3 weeks. The busiest periods of attendance were from 11:30 - 14:30, 16:00 - 17:30 and 19:00 - 20:00. A total of 137 written responses were received at and following the events.

For each question asked in the questionnaire, the various comments were grouped to get a sense of common themes. These broad themes have in turn informed the shaping of the core vision which will be used to develop the Campus Development Framework.

This section records the process and outcome of the Stage 1 consultation and engagement process.

University of Glasgow Campus Development Framework Stage 1 Consultations Undertaken

Internal University Consultation

Senior Management Group (19th November 2012, Main Building)
Support Management (5th December 2012, Grosvenor Hilton)
College Management (14th December 2012, 16/18/25th January 2013)
College of Science & Engineering (14th December 2012, Level 5 Sir Alwyn Williams)
College of Arts (16th January 2013, Room 204, 6 University Gardens)
Project Team (7th December 2012, Offices of Page \ Park Architects)
Disability Infrastructure Working Group (25th February 2013)

External University Consultation

Byres Road Improvement Group chaired by Friends of Glasgow West (25th January 2013, Western Baths Club) Public Open Events & Exhibition (20th & 25th February 2013, University of Glasgow)



Stage 1 Consultation Public Open Event & Exhibition

20th February 2013, Welcome Point 25th February 2013, Hillhead Library



The exhibition panels outlined the key issues being addressed by the Campus Development Framework. A number of key aspects were explored namely:

- why and who is shaping the framework
- addressing the local and wider community
- how the University should connect to its neighbourhood
- the future fabric built and landscape of the university
- the sociable setting for University and community life





Stage 1 Consultation Public Open Event & Exhibition

At each open public event in the University and in Hillhead Public Library, participants were invited to fill out a questionnaire aimed at gauging their opinions of the current and future campus. Whilst not all attendees chose to provide written comments the overall response of attendees was positive. Campus Vision consultation leaflets were available from the Welcome Point, Estates & Buildings reception, Hillhead Library and at various reception points across the Garscube estate in Bearsden. This leaflet was also made available online where further background information, including a statement from the Principal is available.

Two sets of questions were asked:

- the first looked for qualitative responses to broad campus issues
- the second for a value scoring of priorities relating to the use and perception of the campus



























Stage 1 Consultation *Response*

Public Consultation Response

The University Corporate Communications team led the media awareness campaign and reported that strong, positive media coverage was achieved in response to the press release on the acquisition of the Western Infirmary site in February. The press launch preceded the open events in order to advertise the events to encourage wide participation.

In terms of feedback the total responses received stands at 137 individual written responses. This equates to 107 paper questionnaire responses + 30 email responses. The approximate breakdown of responses in terms of who participated:

Student	= 57
Teaching/Research staff	= 21
Support Staff	= 28
Alumni	= 5+
Local community	= 16
(inc. two local Councillors)	
Local Businesses	= 3
Not specified	= 7

Questionnaire



Gilmorehill Campus Vision Stage 1 Consultation February 2013

Question 1: What do you think are the essential campus facilities that it should provide to achieve these goals?

The response of over 125 comments can be broken down into three broad areas.

- a desire for improved research, teaching, study and socialising space
- high quality building design
- adoption of sustainable and in particular greening of the campus strategies

Question 2: What do you think the University should do to be more welcoming and provide a feeling of openness?

This response focussed on broader university issues but highlighted in particular;

- the importance of external space in the perception of the campus
- the need to prioritise social space

Question 3: Is the open space in and around the campus important to your experience? What are the qualities and character that enhance your experience of the campus?

There was an overwhelming response in favour of the importance of the external space of the campus highlighting its priority in the eyes of university users of the campus. The significance of buildings (new and old) and maintenance was noted.

Review

Question 4: How should the University be connected with other parts of Glasgow and other University campus?

The response identified the importance of public transport and various modes to and from the campus and in particular the lack of connectivity between campuses.

Concern for pedestrian and cycle provision was highlighted as well as parking issues.

Question 5: What in your view is the one thing we should do to make sure that we leave as great a legacy as the previous strategic move in 1870?

A number of responses emerged;

- the need to conceptualise the future campus in relation to the University Vision
- work with the heritage in creating new buildings
- create and support external space
- focus on sustainable approaches
- think of the campus as an experience
- link to the community

Question 6: What campus or estate issues are important to you?

A broad range of issues were highlighted;

- the quality of the campus experience
- student issues
- old and new buildings and adaptability
- space between buildings and greening
- traffic management

and the largest response regarding;

• sustainability

1 = Not Important; 5 = Very Important	1	2	3	4	5]
Openness of the University	2	5	22	31	55	75%
University feels part of the community	1	7	25	39	41	71%
Open space	1	5	16	23	67	80%
Trees and access to green space	2	1	10	22	79	89%
Access and linkages to cultural hubs	4	7	33	36	32	61%
Safe environment	2	5	6	25	74	88%
Buildings of character	2	6	15	30	59	79%
Sufficient social space	3	5	13	42	49	81%
Sufficient group working space	1	11	20	40	38	71%
Car parking	43	23	24	12	11	20%
Secure Cycle Parking	13	15	30	27	29	49%
Environmental Sustainability	3	4	7	31	69	88%
Catering facilities	3	13	29	37	30	60%
Directional signage & way finding	2	12	28	34	36	63%

Total

Review

Question 7 & 8

Over 110 consultees responded to a number of generic 'scored' campus questions. We have assessed anything above a 4 as being a measure of importance (4 = important, 5 very important).

The scoring gives a broad sense of priorities. The highest scoring questions above 85% were for:

- trees and access to green space
- safe environment
- environmental sustainability

These were closely followed with scores of around 80% support for:

- open space
- buildings of character
- sufficient social space

Scoring highly with around 70% were issues of:

- openness of the University
- University feels part of the community
- sufficient group working space

At the other end of the scale, the lowest priority with only 20% support was:

• car parking

Given the large support for trees and access to open space and environmental sustainability, this should not be seen as surprising.

Drawing conclusions from the Public Consultation (in order of emphasis of respondents) suggests the following influences on the proposed Framework Vision.

- 1. The setting of the campus, quality open space and green space are deemed very important or essential in the consultation responses the emphasis on this issue is seen in both qualitative and scored responses. This is supported by a desire for pedestrianisation of key areas of campus with associated traffic management and reduction of parking.
- 2. Sustainability issues in the consultation responses are deemed very important a parallel issue to the open space assessment.
- 3. Quality of existing historic buildings such as the main building are very much admired and new buildings should be high quality and sensitive to these heritage assets. Flexibility of accommodation and types of space are considered important. Spaces need to be adaptable to accommodate large groups as well as smaller break out areas.
- 4. Consultation responses have identified a need for a more accessible and open campus which encourages use by visitors and adjacent communities with out-of-hours activity.
- 5. Social interaction spaces of varying types are deemed very important both internal and external. Complementary uses such as good campus conference facilities are desired. The need for a blend of modern flexible teaching spaces, seminar space and large lecture halls with good accessibility and in central locations.
- 6. Consistent and effective way-finding and signposting are considered important for students and visitors, linked to key modes of access by foot, cycle and public transport.

Review



- Create flexible spaces that respond to identified and changing needs
- **Provide** clear connectivity within and to the University

Stage 1 Consultation Findings & Key Themes



Summary

The campus development

framework must.....

Enhance **The setting of the campus**

The setting of the campus, quality open space and green space are deemed very important or essential in the consultation responses - the emphasis on this issue is seen in both qualitative and scored responses. This is supported by a desire for pedestrianisation of key areas of campus with associated traffic management and reduction of parking.



So much focus is placed on buildings in the design of universities - it is important to realise that for students, staff and the wider community the setting is so crucial. Perhaps we should not be surprised by this, people do not work all the time and the setting is where we arrive, meet, relax - all part of the University experience. A by product of that concern is the need to reallocate external space needs - as respondents noted - more pedestrianisation, less parking and more quality, useable green space.







The City led the way in pedestrianisation, creating significant new public settings to enhance the historic built environment.

Top left : Gilbert Scott building main gate perceived as main entrance to the University **Bottom left** : Gilbert Scott building dominates the Gilmorehill skyline and is seen from various points around West End **Top** : Formal setting of Gilbert Scott building quadrangles is one of the many settings seen around campus









Ensure A sustainable future

Sustainability issues in the consultation responses are deemed very important - a parallel issue to the open space assessment.



We are all concerned about our impact on the world's resources. Clearly this is high on the agenda of nearly everyone. It has many facets which will inform the campus development;

- by the promotion of green space, inevitably putting pressure on the car
- by using 'green' strategies for ventilation and heating buildings rather than depending on carbon dependent resources
- by exploring site wide strategies that reduce the resources needed to serve the community
- by ensuring buildings are efficient and able to accommodate changing needs
- by encouraging walking and cycling

Top left : Sustainable modes of transport can be further encouraged on campus **Bottom left** : University buildings currently operate at varying energy performance levels (L to R -Stevenson, Fraser & Joseph Black buildings) **Top** : Open spaces with different qualities on campus (L to R - Library, Lilybank Gardens, Fraser and Stevenson buildings)



Natural ventilation strategies are now being explored on many buildings as well as greening landscapes









Secure

Current and future built heritage

Quality of existing historic buildings such as the main building are very much admired and new buildings should be high quality and sensitive to these heritage assets. Flexibility of accommodation and types of space are considered important. Spaces need to be adaptable to accommodate large groups as well as smaller break out areas.









There is no question the University has one of the great iconic architectural symbols on the Gilmorehill in the Gilbert Scott building. Clustered around it is a range of interesting historic buildings. This historic core will drive the way forward;

- protect the important heritage
- carefully blend the new into that heritage
- let the new buildings and settings be of the highest quality to inform the heritage of tomorrow

Top left : The University inherits many buildings of significant history and heritage (Gilmorehill Halls, Pearce Lodge) Bottom left : Modern buildings interspersed within the historic setting (L to R - Library, Cardiovascular / Biomedical research buildings) Top : Modern buildings amongst historical buildings (L to R - Gilbert Scott building, Boyd Orr, Cardiovascular / Biomedical research and Wolfson medical school buildings)



An 'Ivy League' model is Duke University North Carolina. Heritage and new sit side by side on the hill overlooking a wonderful park - so many similarities to University of Glasgow.











Embed Activities and uses that promote a sense of

openness

Consultation responses have identified a need for a more accessible and open campus which encourages use by visitors and adjacent communities with out-of-hours activity.



There is a sense that in separating out where we live and work, that our city settings seem to 'die' at night. With more people living again in our city centre, life is returning to these settings. More people at night means it feels safer. There is an opportunity to encourage greater use of the campus by;

- creating external spaces which encourage year round activity
- increasing community use of buildings
- encourage uses which compliment the 'west end' as a cultural, leisure and learning destination

Top left : The campus is relatively permeable and connects with adjacent communities (Hillhead Street) **Bottom left** : Quality spaces should be created to complement popular locations on campus (L to R -Oakfield Avenue, Wolfson medical school, Hillhead Street) **Top** : Safe, pleasant and quality environments on campus encourage participation and responsible use through sense of ownership (L to R - Fraser building, Library and Hillhead Street)



Cities are becoming open more hours.







Create Flexible spaces that respond to identified and changing needs

Social interaction spaces of varying types are deemed very important both internal and external. Complimentary uses such as good campus conference facilities are desired. The need for a blend of modern flexible teaching spaces, seminar spaces and large lecture halls with good accessibility and in central locations.



For a while buildings were seen only as meeting specific functions. The result was dull and unresponsive environments. The need for functional spaces remains but married with supporting space to meet the social side of University life - in fact what traditional architecture provided. We need to look no further than the Gilmorehill building - generous cloister, gardens, stairs, lobbies supporting the life beyond function.

Top left, Top : The Fraser building is an excellent example of the University's forward thinking in creating flexible and adaptable spaces on campus **Bottom left**: External spaces on campus offer flexibility for different activities to take place (L to R - Gilbert Scott setting, Fraser building, Gilbert Scott building quadrangles)







Provide Clear connectivity within and to the University

Consistent and effective way-finding and signposting are considered important for students and visitors, linked to key modes of access by foot, cycle and public transport.



Finding your way about is so important. The challenge is the necessary information for the car and the pedestrian are so different - it leads to a plethora of information and overload. By relocating and/or managing vehicles, clearer pedestrian areas allows us to refocus the way finding - firstly avoiding bumping into cars, secondly revealing buildings and finally joining the campus together and linking these routes into the surrounding neighbourhood and beyond.

Top left : Conflict between roads infrastructure and open space diminishes quality of places on campus (GUU building)

Bottom left : Conflict between roads infrastructure and open space diminishes quality of places on campus (L to R - University Avenue/University Place, Oakfield Avenue, Mathematics car park) **Top :** A mixture of different signage on campus on one hand creates interest but can be confusing (L to R -

Kelvin building, street signage)



Create clever pedestrian routes then create a memorable setting.









Stage 1 Consultation Findings & Key Themes Summary



Enhance the setting of the campus



Ensure a sustainable future



Secure current and future built heritage







Create flexible spaces that respond to identified and changing needs



Provide clear connectivity within and to the University






























Stage 1 Consultation Appendix Booklet





The Principal's statement

The campus redevelopment will create a lasting legacy for future generations of students, academics and local people in the west end of Glasgow.

I am convinced that the a as significant a development in the on-point group of the University as the Hocostion to Gümorehil hom the oby contra was in 1670, it will allow us a unique coportunity to provide modern, fill or in keeping with Galagow's status all a work leading reastarch-intensive university

As own; the social experience will be at the freet of all bez well do, and the compose well-well-owned will ploy is at focus on read, our statuters were and read, our statuters were and read objective the social and accessible to the weller construction.

Work will now faight to a campus strategy involving the building of a devicing ment termsolch that will novel estimate one-utation with walk. Buildreits cool resoftment independent termsolch with Grapper Cool resoftment independent termsolch with Grapper Coll Councel, NHI Brander Graegons and Dyoen me Sociation Errangings and college My protectiones and the most appropriate way to develop the stat.

I cannot emphasias anough how emportant your vews and roput will be. The campus, redevelopment is conserving that will be

many years to complete and will oracle a matrix trajecy to state a matrix trajecy to during membros of statements, passareness and local deopte at the west and of Dangbox Warki atorty to unaverse atort the source for the something material of the values Magnet in the something statement can be found on the values and to found on the values and the found on the values and the found on the values and water called and the values glasges at us?

Professor Arton Muscatell Principal & Voa Charcellor



Background

We have begun to talk widely about what the new University plan might look like.

The current university compose at Composition is approximately 25 acries and completes 300 buildings. University Annois, an adopted hyphesis, divides the campus. There is a survivel proup of buildings to the campus inserts of pay, quality of accontendation and feesas for purpose.

These had been for many years an amotion that the University regits acquire additionel land additioned to the main campus. This amotion can be realised involution indevelopment of the Western Informery hospital alls.

The land to currently occupied by Greater Globgow and Diyde Health Board, they will retrain in bosupation until at the earliest p015.

The adoptivition of this land is a calculate too the University to reave the bing term incurrentees of Obrecentil and to align the provisions on the camput with the University a strategic plant and tuber developments in the provision of Higher Rev pation.

A team, led by PagePers accordings, taat been according to build a Development Framework it addated by Galagow Cety Council, this would then provide the context animoti Abuse pranning applications and development include table piece. The project will be led intern the University by the Estates & Buildings Description.



Opportunities and strategic goals

To help us on our way we are seeking your views.

The list timp is to build a comprehensive entance strangy for the campus which supports the velon of the University, se outined in Glasgow 2020, and ensures the star the delargy of the strategy in a timely and economic way. The entance strategy will ensure

- There is a learning legacy and future generatories of students, solublences and the residence of the West End and City of Glasgow all benefit how the Insegment.
- The investment in the campus will be bound on supporting the University's Olargow 2020 potal vision with the Socie on Internationalisation, societate in earthment the subcert segmence, with an infestimation as well as sublings that can support this strategic goal
- A modern compute is meaned which reflects the inheritative and the vision of the University toneent looking, outing edge in meanth unitie respecting and emailoring the character interches in the tradeol compute and buildings.
- The investment provides a computerwheth is sustainable transcally, anivolntentally and as part of the continuely of the West End, Galagow City and Scotland.



Questionnaire

Carerry facilities

Oversion 5 The Principal asys. This is all significant a development in the bin-going along all the Development as the relocation for Germanish Soon that coly certain in 1877. • What in your we're all he one thing we should do to make suits that we leave as great a legacy as the previous strategic move in 1870? What campus or estate secures are important to you? Cuestion 7 • How important are the following to you? t = Net important, 5 = Vwy important Converse in the University University Net safe of the University University Nees part of the end Open Exactly Rease and access to great approx Auceres and Heapper to outure rube Splits analysinger Buildings of sharedbe Subcent score spece Subcent group working specie Cerpeting Becare Cable Paning Environmental bastactuationy

Disactions sugraps & way include Ouestion 8

Which of the following best describes you?

Student
Member of teaching or research staft
Member of teaching or research staft
Member of teaching or research staft





Stage 1 Consultation

Appendix Byres Road Improvement Group Consultation (chaired by Friends of Glasgow West) 25th January 2013, Western Baths Club

Participants

Ann Laird Kevin Murray Michael Dale Fiona Campbell Fraser Makeham Eric Kay David Alcock Iain Wotherspoon Jennifer Russell David Page

Feedback

The following transcript outlines the key discussion points which resulted from an intensive focus group with the Byres Road Improvement Group which is chaired by the Friends of Glasgow West.

Key ideas

WORKING WITH THE COMMUNITY

Take cognisance of the City Council Glasgow West Conservation Area Appraisal which has wide support within the community. Whilst the conservation area boundary is to the north of the university, consideration should be all around its edges. Attention was drawn to the redevelopment around Argyle Street with all the associated retail and commercial activity. An extension of this is conceiving the university in relationship to the number of stops an area is on the underground. In that respect Govan on the other side of the river is only two stops away.

As a manifestation of this, the West End group have supported the splendid publication of walking tours written by Gordon Urqhuart, around the adjoining neighbourhoods. A proposal was suggested for the publication of a similar one for the University as a means to promoting accessibility of the community and visitors.

There will be support for positive inter-relations between the community and the University. But there could be improvements. For example the community have been thwarted in their actions to bring university accommodation into use for such as the West End Festival. Tacit support at the highest level has been watered down by high rents for spaces and a sense of an apparent lack of willing to support community initiatives. Can something be done about this? Is there a case for better utilisation of the open space on campus for community use as well as buildings e.g. use of temporary marquees etc for festivals or events.

Above all the University and the community should build on the idea of ' being good neighbours'. Might there be principles of a 'good neighbour agreement'. A manifesto for mutual compatibility.

KEY NOTIONS AND INSPIRATIONS

One of the qualities of the West End is its 'interesting character' and capacity to surprise – ie maintain the character of the west end and not create development which is too sterile losing that distinctive quality.

Quality of public realm important – wider pavements of quality surfacing, better signage strategy to encourage pedestrian activity, linking public realm spaces into the main town centre (Byres Road)

It is very important that future university development does not damage the fragile retail and commercial economy of Byres Road, Great Western Road and Gibson Street. Uses should be considered which 'augment' the existing infrastructure and not displace it by drawing student activity away. For example an 'hotel' would be a welcome contribution.

Support remains for improved links to Kelvingrove and through the campus towards other areas of interest – one suggested extension of this wider reach was the its extension as far as crossing the river to Govan.

Take into account and map the location of student housing, existing and proposed together with 'housing of multiple occupancy' which have proved to be a problem neighbour and for which moves are in place to prevent any further growth. Where might that take place.

Parking issues on campus and a concern that parking proposals do not displace parking problems onto neighbourhood streets. Resident Parking zones can tackle this in part but it is crucial to maintain public parking for the commercial centres. Promotion and increase in cycle facilities should be part of the approach.

Thought could be given to a dual catch all designation of the University and west end – as an area of local and national significance. There is a quality of the grid city where a part can be scaled to represent the whole. In microcosm the west end and its university can be said to loosely represent that idea. City marketing potential of international scale when you consider attractions of the University, Kelvingrove, Botanic Gardens, Transport Museum, Hydro Arena/SECC but which are all local amenities too.

Would the University be a good incubator for the Urban Lab Smart City funding?

STAGE 2 CONSULTATION

Stage 2 Consultation Introduction

Background

The Second Stage Consultation process for the University's Campus Development Framework is the second of a three stage engagement process held internally within the University central organisation, and also with the wider student, staff and neighbouring community. The first stage (conducted in February 2013) sought views on a wide range of University related issues. Stage 2 engagement aims:

- To provide opportunity for further engagement and interaction with stakeholders.
- To seek the views and reach agreement on key place making ideas which will be incorporated in the final Campus Development Framework

The first stage resulted in the publication of a Vision Statement. This statement identified 6 key themes that were agreed should be used to inform and guide the evolution of the Estates Strategy & Development Framework. These are listed in order of significance:

- 1. Enhance the setting of the campus
- 2. Ensure a sustainable future
- Secure current & future built heritage
- 4. Embed activities & uses that promote a sense of openness
- 5. Create flexible spaces that respond to changing needs
- 6. Provide clear connectivity within & to the campus

Informed by this Stage 1 engagement, as well as by detailed analysis carried out by the professional design team, this second stage of engagement now starts to outline some emerging ideas that could start to shape the Campus Development Framework and Estate Strategy.

Awareness Campaign

Various methods were used to make students, staff, local residents and the general public aware of the Stage 2 Consultation process and how they could contribute their comments. They include:

- Public Notice Advertisements in Herald 28th September and Sunday Herald 29th September
- Invites to all staff and students
- News articles in 'Campus News'
- Social media updates through SRC and official university feeds

University of Glasgow Campus Development Framework Stage 1 Consultations Undertaken

Internal University Consultation

Campus Conversations (27th September 2013, University of Glasgow)

External University Consultation

Community Workshop (23rd October 2013, University of Glasgow)

Public Open Event & Exhibition (2nd, 7th & 10th October 2013, University of Glasgow)

Engagement Process

- Exhibition of informative material in the John McIntyre Welcome Point October 1 – November 8 2013
- Consultation brochure with questionnaire – available from key social points, reception desks and in the John McIntyre Welcome Point
- Open events for staff, students and members of the local community to speak directly to team members
- Staff event 'Campus Conversation' 27th September
- E-survey emailed to all staff and students
- Focus Group workshops for community groups

This section records the process and outcomes.



Stage 2 Consultation Public Open Event & Exhibition

2nd, 7th & 10th October 2013, Welcome Point



The exhibition panels outlined the key issues being addressed by the Campus Development Framework. A number of key aspects were explored namely:

- update of where the University is in developing the CDF
- summary of Stage 1 engagement and outcomes
- the setting for the University within its surrounding context
- approach and arrival experience to the University
- connectivity and openness of the University within and outwith the campus
- sustainability

The exhibition material can be viewed online at the following address : www.glasgow.ac.uk/campusdevelopment



University of Glasgow









Establish a new University moder quarter larving with the Dity



University of Glasgow



Stage 2 Consultation Public Open Event & Exhibition

At the open public event held at the Welcome Point (John McIntrye building), participants were invited to fill out a questionnaire aimed at gauging opinions on the emerging vision for the CDF. The overall response of attendees was positive. Campus Vision consultation leaflets were available from the Welcome Point, Estates & Buildings reception and at various other locations on campus. The questionnaire was also made available online with further background information including the full version of the Stage 1 Consultation Process and Summary report. Two sets of questions were asked:

- the first looked for responses from a series of multiple choice questions relating to broad campus issues
- the second for a value scoring of priorities relating to the use and perception of the campus

Questionnaire

University of Glasgow

Gilmorehill Campus Vision Stage 2 Consultation October 2013

14

Questionnaire





Stage 2 Consultation Community Workshop

23rd October 2013, Wolfson Building

The Community Workshop was held with the aim of keeping key members of the West End community abreast of the work carried out so far and help the University understand community views on emerging ideas within the Campus Development Framework and its wider relationship. Attendees included local elected members, a representative of each local community council, Friends of Glasgow West, members of the Byres Road Improvement Group, Glasgow City Council, University staff and students.

The event was held in a structured but informal session of information and open-ended discussion, through a sequence of presentations following:

- a background of the CDF and Campus Vision initiative
- an update on the West End community context and recent community initiatives
- a recap of the main outcomes and conclusions from the Stage 1 consultations outlining the 6 key themes and their significance
- emerging ideas outlined in the Stage 2 consultation
- identifying the next steps for the CDF

There was general support and encouragement for the joined up thinking, continued engagement and iterative process of the CDF as well as for the emerging ideas. A healthy discussion took place with key strategic issues emerging namely:

- importance of an outward looking vision which enhances overall West End
- significance of University Avenues as a key strategic route
- importance of green transport strategies
- need to enhance setting of Gilbert Scott building especially along southern terrace as a strategic West End destination
- policies and supporting strategies to encourage active travel and reduce car dependency
- strategies to address parking displacement from campus
- security on campus especially at night
- importance of implementation of carbon management plan
- exploit topography of the area (especially Western Infirmary site) to create improved access for all
- character of University Place
- create strong linkages across east/ west and north/south through campus

More detailed issues, which would be outwith the scope of the CDF were also noted:

- greater student involvement in sustainability and community links e.g. GUEST
- building design issues which whilst important will be developed in future when detail is known



Stage 2 Consultation Response

Public Consultation Response

The University Corporate Communications team led the media awareness campaign through press releases, invites to staff and students, news articles published in the "Campus News' and social media updates through the SRC and official University feeds.

In terms of feedback the total responses received by the deadline of 8th November stands at 1898 individual responses. This equates to 83 paper questionnaire responses + 1804 online responses + 11 written feedback. These are made up of staff, students, alumnus, local community and businesses.

1735 people chose to answer question 10 'Which best describes you?' 152 people chose to skip this question. Please note those who fall into more than one category were only allowed to select one. The breakdown for this question is as follows :



(spreads of the consultation booklet are included in the Appendices section of this section)

Questionnaire



Q1 : It is considered that the setting of the original historic University buildings would benefit from enhanced links with Kelvingrove Park. What is your view?

The majority of responses Agree with the statement. A total of 1,885 responses can be broken down into the following.



It can be seen that an overwhelming majority of repondees advocate that improving links between the campus and Kelvingrove Park would enhance the setting of the historic University buildings. The CDF will identify how this can be achieved through high level strategies to improve and enhance the historic setting of the campus.

Q2 : It is considered that the existing arrangement of University Avenue acts as a perceived barrier to the setting of the campus as an extension to the parkland. Improvements such as reducing the impact of traffic, widening pavements and creating new green spaces could integrate this key route into the campus. What is your view?

The majority of responses Agree with the statement. A total of 1,836 responses can be broken down into the following.



An overwhelming majority of respondees agree that improving the setting of University Avenue by reducing the negative impact of traffic and improving the quality of open space could help integrate Kelvingrove Park better with the rest of the campus. This will be reflected in the CDF through outlining improvement strategies to help deliver public realm improvements.



Questionnaire Review

Q3 : It is considered that relocating car parking away from Professors Square and from around the Main Building is fundamental to transform these spaces into functional social and civic space to enhance the historic heart of the campus. What is your view?

The majority of responses Agree with the statement. A total of 1,836 responses can be broken down into the following.



It can be seen that the majority of responded agree that freeing up the spaces around Professors Square and Main Building from car parking is key to improving the setting around the historic part of the campus. This will be reflected in the CDF through a campus wide parking strategy with a view to create improved public realm on campus. The CDF will seek to balance the desire to enhance and exploit the historic core whilst managing people movement by encouraging active travel and options for travel choice. The provision of necessary parking could be provided in more discreet and sympathetic solutions.

Q4 : It is considered that in order to successfully integrate the Western Infirmary site to the campus, and the surrounding communities, the strong street pattern of Partick, Dowanhill and Hillhead should be extended and continued where possible in any proposed new urban form. What is your view?

The majority of responses are Neutral to the statement although a significant number also Agree with the statement. A total of 1,805 responses can be broken down into the following.



A majority of respondees agreed that the strong urban pattern of Partick, Dowanhill and Hillhead should be extended into the Western Infirmary site of the campus to better integrate the surrounding communities with the campus. However, a significant number of respondees also did not have a view on the statement. What is clear from this is that the CDF needs to better communicate the benefits that the strategy of continuing the historic street pattern where possible will bring to the West End community and the University.



Q5 : It is considered that by improving permeability around the campus and increasing activity on the Western Infirmary site, important local town centres such as Byres Road will benefit from increased footfall, which may help boost local trade. What is your view?

The majority of responses Agree with the statement. A total of 1,789 responses can be broken down into the following.



An overwhelming majority of respondees find it important that making the campus more permeable and activating the Western Infirmary site will have a positive impact on trade along Byres Road. This will be identified in the CDF through strategies of creating new or improving existing connections between key destinations within campus and the West End, supported by strategies to activate the ground floor level of buildings where appropriate.

Q6 : The creation of an enhanced formal civic arrival point from Dumbarton Road/Argyle Street is being considered in addition to the existing arrival points across the campus. This arrival point will potentially provide alternative service access into the Campus and may help reduce traffic on University Avenue. What is your view?

The majority of responses Agree with the statement. A total of 1,777 responses can be broken down into the following.



An overwhelming majority of respondees agree that creating an additional formal civic arrival point from Dumbarton Road/Argyle Street may help reduce traffic generated along University Avenue by providing alternative service access into the campus. The CDF will show strategies of how this could be achieved which in turn will allow for an improved civic and open space setting along University Avenue.



Questionnaire Review

Q7 : It is considered that the historic main entrance on University Avenue needs to be reviewed to give pedestrians priority over vehicles in order to enhance the sense of arrival. What is your view?

The majority of responses are roughly split between Strongly Agreeing and Agreeing with the statement. A total of 1,773 responses can be broken down into the following.



The majority of respondees find it important that the sense of arrival to the campus at the historic main arrival point on University Avenue is reviewed to give priority to pedestrians over vehicles. The CDF will identify how improving the arrival experience along University Avenue could be achieved through a combination of traffic management and open space strategies.

Q8 : It is considered that the prominence that we are giving to the sense of openness and permeability across the campus will give 'breathing space' in the form of quality civic and green spaces to support Glasgow's image as the 'dear green place'. What is your view?

The majority of responses Agree with the statement. A total of 1,761 responses can be broken down into the following.



An overwhelming majority of respondees agree that emphasising the sense of openness and permeability across the campus will give 'breathing space' allowing for better quality civic and green spaces across campus. This will be reflected in the CDF through a campus wide open and green space strategies.





		Very important	(no label)	(no label)	(no label)	Not important	Total	Average Rating
A	Openness of the University	39.15%	45.07%	12.41%	2.03%	1.33%		
		675	777	214	35	23	1,724	4.19
B	University feels part of the community	35.68%	43.82%	14.43%	3.93%	2.14%		
		618	759	250	68	37	1,732	4.07
С	Open space	38.67%	47.23%	11.30%	1.98%	0.82%		
		664	811	194	34	14	1,717	4.21
D	Trees and access to green space	52.98%	35.18%	8.72%	1.96%	1.16%		
		917	609	151	34	20	1,731	4.37
E	Access and linkages to cultural hubs	30.45%	47.24%	17.55%	3.37%	1.39%		
		524	813	302	58	24	1,721	4.02
F	Safe environment	73.58%	21.39%	3.87%	0.81%	0.35%		
		1,273	370	67	14	6	1,730	4.67
G	Buildings of character	59.55%	31.91%	6.92%	1.10%	0.52%		
		1,032	553	120	19	9	1,733	4.49
Н	Sufficient social space	40.18%	44.63%	12.76%	1.73%	0.69%		
		696	773	221	30	12	1,732	4.22
Ι	Sufficient group working space	40.95%	38.28%	15.71%	4.07%	0.99%		
		704	658	270	70	17	1,719	4.14
J	Carparking	16.29%	17.56%	24.49%	19.41%	22.24%		
5		282	304	424	336	385	1,731	2.86
K	Secure cycle parking	27.09%	30.22%	23.03%	9.63%	10.03%		
		467	521	397	166	173	1,724	3.55
L	Implementing green energy provision	36.48%	34.34%	19.46%	6.02%	3.71%		
		630	593	336	104	64	1,727	3.94
М	Promoting effective carbon management	36.63%	34.32%	19.27%	5.44%	4.34%		
	- 0	633	593	333	94	75	1,728	3.93
N	Catering facilities	35.69%	43.01%	15.90%	3.95%	1.45%		
	-	615	741	274	68	25	1,723	4.08
0	Directional signage & way finding	45.31%	37.91%	12.96%	2.66%	1.16%		
		783	655	224	46	20	1,728	4.24

Questionnaire Review

Q9 : How important are the following to you?

A total of 1,738 consultees responded to a number of generic 'scored' campus questions. We have assessed anything above a 4 as being a measure of importance (4 = important, 5 very important).

The scoring gives a broad sense of priorities. The highest scoring questions with an average rating of 4 or above were for (in order or priority):

- Safe environment (4.67)
- Buildings of character (4.49)
- Trees and access to green space (4.37)
- Directional signage & way finding (4.24)
- Sufficient social space (4.22)
- Open space (4.21)
- Openness of the University (4.19)
- Sufficient group working space (4.14)
- Catering facilities (4.08)
- University feels part of the community (4.07)
- Access and linkages to cultural hubs (4.02)

These were closely followed with scores with an average rating between 3 and 4 for (in order or priority):

- Secure cycle parking (3.55)
- Implementing green energy provision (3.94)
- Promoting effective carbon management (3.93)

At the other end of the scale, the lowest priority with an average rating of between 2 and 3 was:

• car parking (2.86)

An overwhelming majority of respondees find creating a safe environment as important. This is followed by creating buildings of character on campus.

Campus greening, signage / wayfinding, creating adequate social and open space are also considered important.

It was also clear that making the University feel open and part of the community with access to the immediate cultural hubs were deemed important.

Implementing green energy and effective carbon management across the campus followed in the order rating.

Car parking received the lowest rating. Although this is not surprising giving the large support for green and open space, it should be recognised that the significant majority of the feedback was from students who will have a lower dependency on private transport.

The CDF will address these issues through a combination of strategies looking at urban design, public realm and open space, transport and movement as well as sustainability and carbon management.

Written response

A total of 11 individual written response and verbal feedback during open events were provided to the Stage 2 Consultation were received from staff/student/alumni/local residents, in the form of letters, emails and notes taken by the Design Team during the open exhibition.

The points made can be grouped into 7 subheadings:

- 1. Sustainability
- 2. Travel
- 3. Parking
- 4. Access
- 5. Security
- 6. Building
- 7. Estate Strategy

A mixture of high level strategic issues as well as detailed suggestions were offered. It is worth noting that for the purposes of the CDF, detailed comments (although still important) are issues which can only be addressed at a later stage of the process. Comments relating to strategic issues are more relevant at this stage which will help reinforce the vision of the emerging CDF. The key points riased are listed below. (A list of all comments are included in the Appendices section).

Sustainability

The following comments are issues which will be covered in the CDF as principles / guidelines

- Increase green space areas on campus with good quality habitat which can also be used as a teaching resource and benefit mental well-being of staff/students/local residents
- Implement green energy options where possible (including choice of energy supplier or contractors)
- The following comments are considered as valid points and will be taken on board at a more detailed stage of the design
- Engage with student group GUEST (Glasgow University Environmental Sustainability Team)
- Promote use of electric vehicles on campus
- Create Environmental Hub on campus as a centre for environmental societies and activities
- Provide multiple recycling facility locations in all buildings
- Provide good insulation in buildings with energy saving measures for heating and lighting

The following comments are noted and accepted

- Engage with health professors (Good places for better health)
- Greenspace contributes to good research
- The CDF could be used as a long term template for good sustainable practice, providing benefits to the local community, Glasgow and Scotland.

Travel

The following comments are issues which will be covered in the CDF as principles / guidelines

- Improve public transport link to and from the campus
- Resolve conflict between car/pedestrian/cycle around James Watt and Pearce Lodge buildings
- Work with GCC on common goals for encouraging and facilitating more pedestrian and cycle friendly streets.
- The following comments are considered as valid points and will be taken on board at a more detailed stage of the design
- Engage with GCC car sharing scheme (Co-operative city)
- Identify walking and cycling champions
- Create dedicated cycle lanes along University Avenue
- Improve number of secure cycle parking
- Remove handrail, narrow Hunterian steps and create dedicated cycle lane along Hillhead Street
- Reconfigure Kelvinway / University Avenue junction to give better visibility between pedestrians/cyclists/cars

Written Review

Parking

The following comments are issues which will be covered in the CDF as principles / guidelines

- Encourage shift away from car dependency through promoting cycling, reduction in parking spaces and implement parking payment system for staff and students
- Number of parking spaces on campus should be lower than currently offered
- Parking should be co-located and at the periphery
- The following comment is considered as valid and will be taken on board at a more detailed stage of the design
- · Consideration for provision of disabled parking across campus when addressing parking issues

Access

The following comments are issues which will be covered in the CDF as principles / guidelines

- University Avenue and new Dumbarton Road entrance could offer different types of entrances
- More emphasis on East and North of campus. Gibson Street area should have 'gateway' status. Bank Street well used but access and public realm should be improved.

The following comments are considered as valid points and will be taken on board at a more detailed stage of the design

- Make better use of ID cards to access buildings which will help break down physical barriers across campus
- Access for pedestrian and cyclist along Oakfield Avenue only
- 2 way access for cyclists along Oakfield Avenue and Gibson Street

The following comment is noted and accepted

• Encourage walking as a form of meditation which encourages thought

Security

The following comment is considered as valid and will be taken on board at a more detailed stage of the design

• Different methods of dealing with security

Building

The following comments are issues which will be covered in the CDF as principles / guidelines

- Take cognisance of skyline with new buildings
- Create a new iconic building should be a long term Glaswegian landmark for the Western site, classical and beautiful, fit for purpose, and incorporate Hunterian

The following comments are considered as valid points and will be taken on board at a more detailed stage of the design

- Create well planned working spaces
- Co-locating central services in one building with shared facilities
- Integrate swift/bat boxes / bee nests into buildings where possible
- Green roofs on buildings where possible
- Consideration for student accommodation with disabled access (mature students)

Estate Strategy

The following comments are considered as valid points and will be taken on board at a more detailed stage of the design

- consider returning university accommodation in tenements back for residential use when released
- 'Round shape & botanical atmosphere' A more collaborative and interdisciplinary working atmosphere between different disciplines(possible co-location) with greening playing a significant role in the plans
- Improve relationship between central services and colleges

The following comments are noted and accepted

- Image and visual cues important for international students who feel disappointed to have chosen the University based on images of iconic buildings but accommodated in nondescript buildings at the periphery.
- A memorial for those who were treated at the Western Infirmary

Stage 2 Consultation Consultation Review Key Findings

Drawing conclusions from the Public Consultation (in order of emphasis of respondents) suggests the following influences on the proposed Framework Vision.

- 1. There is a strong correlation between the aspiration for a sense of openness in the campus and the associated sense of safety. There maybe a perception that the closed nature of the campus boundary dissuades people moving through it providing passive security. A more open attitude to the urban plan might promote a greater sense of wellbeing.
- 2. Related to these points there is an overwhelming support for enhanced pedestrian entrances along University Avenue and from Byres Road. They should frame the approach to the buildings and their landscape settings.
- 3. Improvements might contribute to the enhancement of the environment in particular of University Avenue and connections into the surrounding neighbourhoods.
- 4. The green landscape agenda remains high in people's minds with a desire for landscape improvement, attractive open space and in particular good connections to Kelvingrove Park.
- 5. Buildings remain an important contributor to the University character and the relationship with the neighbourhood. Building and the setting of the building are key in creating and connecting the social and cultural environments supporting University and community life.
- 6. The sustainability agenda remains high in people's aspirations with a strong desire for pedestrian as opposed to vehicular focus, emphasis on cycle parking rather than vehicular and overall promotion of a green agenda.

Review

In summary, the following statements represent the key issues for the wider constituency of the University and will be used as a tool in shaping the future Vision.

- Open and safe environment
- Defined entrances and gateways into the campus
- Enhanced pedestrian movement arteries
- Promote connections to Kelvingrove Park
- Characterful buildings and settings as a social focus
- Sustainability agenda

Stage 2 Consultation Stage 1 & Stage 2 Consultation Key Findings

It is worth noting how the Stage 2 Consultation findings compare with the key themes which emerged out of the Stage 1 Consultation.

STAGE 1 CONSULTATION KEY THEMES



STAGE 2 CONSULTATION KEY THEMES



There are a number of interrelated themes between the Stage 1 and 2 Consultations with one new theme emerging from the Stage 2 Consultation process. These are all highlighted above. Overall, the findings of the Stage 2 Consultation are focussed on issues relating to the creation of more open, social, safe and connected neighbourhood environment, reinforced by a strong character of buildings all embracing a sustainable agenda. It can be said that these reflect and reinforce the Stage 1 themes and will be used to inform the future policies and guidelines within the CDF.

Stage 2 Consultation Campus Development Framework Overall Consultation Themes

We have looked to combine the findings of the Stage 1 & 2 consultations into an overall definitive list of key themes to be taken forward into the final Campus Development Framework. This list seeks to reflect all of the themes identified, as well as placing them in an overall order of significance and importance.





Ensure a sustainable future embracing a clear green agenda



Stage 2 Consultation Appendix Consultation Booklet





The Principal's statement

The University of Glasgow is on the threshold of an exciting new era of development and growth. It is vital for us that the people of Glasgow join us every step of the way forward.

The year of 2014 is going to be hugely significant for Socialand. The paciple of Socialand will vote in an independence referendum. The oby of Qiaegow will heat the Commonwealth Games. Socialand will be the versue for the Hyder Cup and incusands of visitors are expected to arrive fair the 2014 Heamecontendu. Drict of 2014 is uping

Hameconing.

We are pressing alread with an engagement stategy to relation how are networking the alter. We have been hugdry incominged by the ansoure of interest from staff and prepare who live in this part of the only.

It will be a formative year for the University of Glategow too. Wa are embashing on a journey to reshaking on a campas and as a meuit, a large part of the West End of our of w out city

Earlier in 2013 were completing the branches of ownerships of the latest part of the Western Informative alter resulting. In the earlies Soutoen access now being powerso by the University Our task now, working with our community of stat

and students: and with our heighbourn in the West End and the wider only of Galagow, is to start stanning what this how a myour will look like and how it with meet the needs of all to stakeholders.

This bookies will left you more about our intertions and how you can make a combolitor and pet your avers heard four can about service memory of themas have emerged incriment account of them hom the technologies in New received so fail.

The University of the Nature will be very different to the one built by our handbears in the tBTOs, when the current.

magnitizent Gancenii Guidings were designed by Sr Gibort Scott Today we wiscome students from all over the workt in large numbers: In the future many more self engine with an through web-based searning, and caleboards on stress. Que goods measurch patheres in the academic and business whet will want to be part of this new canpous 100. and cert Gim

Thesis and very secting times for the University and for Gaugos and Scotants have accepting instance to ongopt with us through our consultation programme and to shapes in what will be prother historic chapter in the stores of the University of this story of the University of Giangow

2

Taking the estate forward

This is a unique opportunity for the University to create a campus for the future. We asked for your early thoughts; we now want to continue our discussion with you strategy: A particular locus is solving all space and shall fature restanch and teaching spaces should look ites. We will share our throughts in due course.

We are also doing deta

In January the Linkersity of Galopse stands a countiliation process which devicements of our Cerropea. The responses we nocknot, from statl, students and the water public, are being used to help us shape our Estates Estategy. in January the University

We are also doing detailed work on developing proposals for the Campus intrastructure, to make such that new buildings how this services and facilities that will meet everyone's future beets. Now we are reporting back Now we aim include that and also making sure that we have understood the responses we have received. We have used this feedback to help shape the Campus nd. What does it mean in Union

What open a many students processor will have a lean monest in binking about moving to new or parturps more appropriate locations. We are not yet at Estate Strategy We continue to work on 4 number of other areas of the

the staget of allocating space to specific uses but those our treams have understand the bigger picture. We will be selering opticions and advice during 2014.

Over the react low months we all continue to develop the Extension Bradley and Campute Development Francework proposals. The view you escrete today will influence the Peake engage with the read table of computation, a weak does influence car thereing.

Ann Alen Ann Allen Director, Estates, & Buildings

What we are doing

The future shape of the campus will be influenced by the evolving needs of the University, as a world leading research-intensive university. But what could this look like?

In February 2013 a first. In February 2010 a www. round of comultation was undertaken held refermilly within the University central organisation, and also with the wider student, staff and neighbouring community

This truit stage of a three stage engagement process sought verse on a wide range of University related spaces within the broader context of the immediate context of the immediate mitthourhood and water

int liters The teachack in the secoldon receives from this first stage of engagement resulted in the publication of the Campus Development Framework Vision Statement.

These key themes, in order of importance, any 2. Ensure a sustance busy busy tentage 4. Embod activities and use busy tentage 5. Clease fields spaces the importens 6. Provide clear contractivity and anound the campus Campus 6. Provide clear contractivity and anound the campus campus 6. Provide clear contractivity 6. Provide clear contrac

The first stage of our consultation sought views on a wide range of University related issues. Six key themes emerged that are now informing our ideas.



This statement identified six wy thereas that we agained pude the evolution of the Estates Strategy and Cancou Development Phanework. These size thereas, in order of



Setting The campus in a park setting

Reinforcing and celebrating the original University historic buildings and setting has been identified as a priority.

The University on the HEC, storag on a high plateau, echoes Park Discus opposite, and anchors the University in the wonderful park eating of Rollingtow. Links between the park and the University are, however, very weak.

Could the park link more easily with the University? Is there a clue as to how this historic plateau could evolve?

edge of the park where the landscape wraps around the building on all sides. The carricula is an extended park setting The character of this right least hearts patients, is already very green, We should perhaps conclea the area tackarentally as an extension of the park, and develop the saturdiahed tackdocape quality in this area on the setting of Kelvingove Art Gallery to the southern Key points Course a pediastrian isourant public mains • Reduce the perceived barrier porceived Avenue • Improve their to the park.



The campus in a city setting

The current city urban setting of the northern and western edges of the University could influence how the campus integrates with the city on the lower plateau on the western edge of the campus. integrates with the sity on the lower platelies.

At the turn of the 20th century, the University grave to the superior a "tower plateau" Lacking all overall matterplan valon, the development was an opportunatio needby to based evolution, resulting in based evolution, resulting in a way benee urban plan with WebLon a Yover planou Lacking an overall masterplan vision, the development wate necessarial check-based violation, resulting in a very dense unknown plan wate nacrow streads and informat ocurtyards. How should this area, now webninding across the Western

Key points • Extend urban setting based on simple attents and squares • Create permeable edges to connect with Bytes peech

5

Pead. • Establish a new University Imodern quarter' linking with the City.



6

Approach and arrival Approaches

The plan for the future shape of the University needs to respond to an understanding of how people approach the campus and how that approach is then marked by a sense of arrival.

The campus and respfoourhood is sandwiched between the main east west city connectors of Great Wastie Road Sturrbered 1 on biologistic Sturrbered 1 on biologistic Sturrbered 1 on Boster (2), and the living Street (2), and the living Road (0) and Kelvin Way (4). Together these from the composition found / Myrle Street (2), and the living Road (0) and Kelvin Way (4).

University Avenue / University Place which based the compute, and act as the key 'spine' of the University. Key pol/88 Mark key gateway points' of approach and amail Consider the visual impact of the approaches to key landmark buildings



Arrival and main gateways

Formal civic settings are essential in defining a clear sense of arrival at any institution.

Formal arrival spaces define Formal arrival spaces derive entrance, congregatori and meeting, as well as information provision and costrol. These spaces are crucial to achieve focus for the University camput.

Building Inumbered 1 on the degram below). With the population of the Western Informary site, ashael hom Dumberone Road (2) needs to be considered equally with Drivenally Avenue.

Litviensity Avenue, hostoncally is the main conduit of annual, with the main gate leading to the rear of the Glober Scott building by the John Michtyre

its keely joan' satting, and the new tower planeau gateway becoming a modern urban square. However, both could be designed to make them attractive to pedestrans and to lessen the impact of vehicles.

Key points • Improve the feature; main Gabiwity: + Estybish a new mode





Connectivity and openness East-west links

With the extension of the campus across the Western Infirmary site, further east-west links are needed to connect the campus with Byres Road and Dumbarton Road.

211

University Avenue and University Place form the central oast-west arterial spine through the campus.

8

Existing buildings on the campus (humbered 1 on the diagram below) make it difficult to establish a clear mode through the middle of trute through the middle of the new file. route through the micane of the plan. However opportunity would be create a second hear route on the south

edge of the site (2), lenaring the new southern gateway stong and up the escarpment edge could be pedestrian looked. rate southern patrixely along and up the escarpment edge to connect with the main building south temace Effectively this southern spine mimors the University Avenue corean spine (2), having the two main edges of the body of the campus with clear whereas of movement and index campo



ζ (

Open spaces and uses

Creating a network of routes and spaces to give a feeling of openness and accessibility will be key to making the campus a place people will want to enjoy.

lahd west

A network of Imienci

en and so The numbers and southers boundaries of the campus will be insed through a series of tares, squares, gardens and quadranges, creating a network of nules and spaces permoting openness and encessfully.

This internet permeability If extend beyond the boundaries of the campus to link into the surrounding renormal to the north. a conveyer structure of or space and quality public its of open Holes

9

- Key points Enfance the existing open space network on the heatric higher pakeau. Establish a clinar open space network and heatry on the lower memous
- philogu



10

Sustainability

A cornerstone of the Campus Development Framework is ensuring that sustainability is at the forefront of the University's approach to managing its existing estate and future development at various levels.

One of the clear messages from the first round of consultation was the need to adopt sustainable strategies for both the buildings and the Open spaces open spaces.

The following gives an indication of the University's main approaches in creating a sustainable campus...

Encouraging different modes of travel Students, staff and visitors are

Students, staff and visitors and already using various models of transport to and from the University. Encouraging this through policy and provision would help in creating better open spaces on campus

Campus-wide building

assessment Retaining and reusing existing buildings can be an important part of the sustainability

strategy. An assessment of the current building stock gives the University an understanding of the impact the buildings rave, including these which are original to the University's brand and heritaps, planning, fitness for purpose, cathon impact, importance to the University strategic plan, cost impact -and market value.

Ensuring sustainable new building provision The University will set the bar high in ensuring that build buildings achieve a high level BREEAM (building Research BREEAM (building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method) rating.

Implementing green energy across the campus Managing energy more efficiently and using more sustainable design will help

the University reduce its carbon footprint. For instance we could use combined heat and power systems (CHPs).

Promoting effective carbon Promoting effective carbon management Carbon management is a comensione of sustainable computitivelypment and the University has been actively working to monitor, manage working to monitor, manage and reduce its carbon emissions for a number of vears.

Working with the City to tie in with wilder sustainability strategies The University is having discussions with the City Council and other partness to make sure our campus development ties in with wider sustainability strategies.

What next?

The October 2013 exhibition is part of a three stage consultation process, designed to get detailed feedback from everyone who has a stake in the development of the campus and the surrounding area.

 Stage 1 Informing the vision. Carried out in February 2013. 2013. Stage 2 The current stage is seeking views on the emerging ideas. Stage 3 Will feed back on our draft Car our draft Campus Development Frame and Estates Strategy

Feedback of Stage 1 Jun-Jul 2013 • Key common themes emerg • Prixety issue • Strengths & weaknesses

Emerging Outline Vis

Process & Time

Stage 1 Engagement Peb 2013 Informing the Visio and gaining an understanding of how the compus is corpolived.

Stage 1

The responses received during our current consultation will be collated and surmarised and published in due course. This will public together feedback from viailors to the enhibition events, completed questionnaires and internal University workshops.

The deadline for returning questionnaires is Friday 8 November. The consultatio available online at www. gliatgow.ac.uk/abcout/ campundevelopment/ consultation.

Stage 2 Engagement Sep-Oct 2013 • Interpretation o the emerging Vision • Campus Analys outcomes Feedback of Stage 2 Nov-Dec 2013 - Key changes - Final Vision - Strategy outp wicomes fow ideas could anatom the compus th uph

The teachards including affect. The teachards included from this process will then be incorporated into the emerging plan. A final stage of angagement is planned for Match 2014, when the driat Campus Development framework will be displayed for final community hou will engage in the process again at that time. Thereafter, the submitted to Glasgow City Council for approval.

	Stage 3
	Engagement
	Feb-Mar 2014
	 Presentation of the
	Final Draft Campus
ute	Development
rage	Framework
ď	 Presentation of
	the Draft Estates
	Strategy
	co any g

Estate Strategy Q&A

Over-the months extensive consultation has taken place with students, staff and members of the wider community. Here are some of the most common questions asked together with responses.

Is the University planning to grow? In 2013 the University was home to 23,000 undergraduate and postgraduate students and employed 6000 statt, which makes us one of the largest universities in the UK. Our main aim is to improve the quality of the student experience and to enhance our leading standards.

12

How long will it take to finish the development? This is not known yet, investment made will be about continuous improvement rather than a single, clearly defined building project. The University will be careful to ensure that incremental change provides wide bornelfas for the University and its statil, students, West End community and the oby of Glasgow. The University is committed to minimizing isouption and making sure people are well informed about what is happening.

We've heard that there is going to be a new University entrance of Dumbarion Road. Does this mean that the old entrance is going to be mowed? There is of ocurse an existing entrance of Dumbarion Road and we are gathering views during this consultation process about how we might enhance that entrance and make it a formal, olvic antival point.

What is going to happen to parking on

Cone aspect of the teachack from the first stage consultation was about the need to remove vehicles from sensitive parts of the campus. We have a lot of vehicle movemen -----

and many of our statt depend on cars and need somewhere to park them. We know that patterns of Isavel and transport use are changing. We know the change will continue as we all start to tackle the challenges of semove cars from the cangeus and the many green areas of the neighbourhood. More sustainable forms of transport will become some popular. But there is no intention to make life difficult for people who, for one reason or another, have to use their cars.

anomer, have so use mer care. Are the University owned tenement / tenace buildings in Hillinead poing to be sold? Anyone waiking in the pleasant, leady streets of the West End will be struck by the fine buildings. Over many generations the University of Glasgow has acquired mark of these in Gimorehill to provide much needed teaching and office space. But they are openaive to maintain, not suited to their current, office use and ill-explored for the digital technology on which we all depend. As part of the estate strating we will be considering what in the most appropriate use for these properties and ill me University should retain ownership.

Is the Boyd Orr Building going to be

Is the boyd on toxicult pass many challenges and is expensive to maintain, especially in terms of heating during the writer. However it also can provide great space: this can be seen in the top foor laboratories which have recently been refurbished. Thankdully, new

technology offers us all sorts of innovative ways of improving existing buildings: a good example is the transformation we have performed on the University Library lowers.

penormed on the University Lorary lowers. How is the University going to become more sustainable? The University has a carbon management plan and through this we are continuously sustainable, from turning down healing levels and inproving insulation to continued more sustainable outures in to fully any licetiv whiches which you will now see on campus. There are current including more sustainable edularity provision and using more sustainable design will help to include the University's carbon foctprint. It is advance of the larger scale projects.

advance of the larger scale projects. When is the Western Infirmary going to close? In 2002, NHS Greater Clasgova announced the results of a three year consultation, the Greater Clasgova's Acute Services Review, whenin they outlined a £700 milion modernitation plan to Clasgova's hospitals. As part of the Western site in 2015 and transfer to the New South Clasgova's hospitals. As part of the Western site in 2015 and transfer to the New South Clasgova's hospitals. As part of the Western site in 2015 and transfer to the New South Clasgova's hospitals. NesGotC and University of Clasgova to sagree the phasing of the Western Infirmary site by no later than early 2017. Once all NHS services have moved of the site k will be redeveloped by the University of Clasgov.

What is going to happen to the Western Infirmary buildings when the hospital closes? The University has been working very closely with NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde to

develop an in-depth survey of the site so we understand what buildings and facilities countrelly exist, what their history is and – of course – if they have any special historical significance. This work allow extends to the build infrastructure such as water and power pipelines and what the original geology of the site was like. It is far too early to predict what use will be made of the site, except to say their a sensible use of the space will be visit and at careful consideration of the built and matter to its a very green part of the West End. This is a very green part of the West End. This the is Glassgow, and we will want to protect and enhance that green element.

is the University going to build new student

Is the University going to build new student residences? The University of Glasgow is focusing its student accommodation neources on improving studends and consoldating the existing supply of flats and backsts mither than enderity approved Pesidential Existent Stategy, the University does net envisage developing or acquising any additional residential accommodation in the medium term. The University also remains committed male source by the balance right between student residential accommodation and the needed of local communities.

Further Q&As can be found at w.glasgow.ac.uk/campu

15

Your views are important

Consultation respon deadline is Friday, 8 November 2013.

Please participate by giving us your thoughts on the emerging ideas for the future of the campus.

Feedback previously gathered from Stage 1 in the process helped to shape the vision for the estates strategy

14

and participate in this Presso participale in the Stage 2 of the process by giving us your thoughts on the enverging ideas for the future of the campus by answering the campus by the quastionnaire opposite. Answers to the questions can be lited in at the consultation events and posted in the designated comments box. ernatively responses to be emailed to estates strategy@glasgow.at.uk ix posted to: Estates & Buildings Butany Gate University Avenue Glasgow G12 800



Questionnaire

 Question 1
 It is considered that the setting of the original heteric University buildings would benefit from enhanced links with Kelvingcose flask. What is your view?

 Treporters (based to the appropriate bod)
 The original heteric to the appropriate bod?

 I is considered to the appropriate bod?
 The original heteric to the appropriate bod?

 Question 2
 It is considered that the existing amangement of University Avenue acts as a perceived baser to the existing of the campute as an extension to the pandand, hippowerners such as educing the imposed of tartic, widening pavements and creating reve green spaces could integrate this hey note into the campute. What is your view?

 Response disease but the appropriate bot introduction the imposed into the campute. What is your view?

 Response disease but the appropriate bot introduction the introduction of the introduction of the introduction of the integrate introduction of the integrate.

Question 4 It is somialized that in order to successfully integrate the western informary site to the campus and the sumunding communities the strong street pattern of Particli, Dowarth and Helmed Involvation examined and continued where possible in any proposed new urban form. What is your view? Question 4 artill

Arcon one. In this is you repropriate body Response (please tok the appropriate bod) Distoryly agree Distance Diministration this. Distances Distoryly disagree

Question 6 It is considered that by improving permeability around the campus and increasing activity on the Western Infirmary Site, important local town centres such as Byres Road will banefit from increased football, which may help boost local trade. What is your view? Question 6

Nessone (please for the appropriate too)

Intercome (please for th

Question 7 The creation of an enhanced formal over animal point from Dumbarton Roads/Rog/le Street is being considered in addition to the earling animal points across the campus. This animal point will potentially provide alarmonistic service access into the Campus and may take reduce traffic on Diversity Avenue. What is your view?

Neconse (please for the appropriate bio)


Stage 2 Consultation Appendix Feedback

Campus Development Framework

Stage 2: Community Workshop

Wednesday 23rd October, Wolfson Medical School, Seminar Room 2, 15:00 – 17:00

Participants:

Friends of Glasgow West + Dowanhill, Kelvinside & Hyndland Community Council	Ann Laird	
Friends of Glasgow West	Iain Wotherspoon	
Gibson Street, Otago Lane and Westbank Quadrant Group	Eric Kay	
Academy of Urbanisim (Kevin Murray Associates) & Visiting Professor at UoG	Prof Kevin Murray	
Glasgow City Council, DRS	Fiona Campbell	
Glasgow City Council, DRS	Peter Hall	
Hillhead Community Council	Charlotte Noble	
Glasgow City Council	Councillor Kenny McLean	
Yorkhill and Kelvingrove Community Council	John Gerrard	
Post Graduate Student, Urban Studies	Jonny Willett	
Post Graduate Student, Urban Studies	Matt Gamboa	
Post Graduate Student, Urban Studies	Erin Tierney	
Post Graduate Student, Urban Studies	Monica Forde	
Four Acres Trust / Cottiers	David Robertson	
Glasgow City Council	Councillor Ken Andrew	
Glasgow City Council	Councillor Martha Wardrop	
Scottish Allotments and Garden Society	Judy Wilkinson	
Friends of Glasgow West	David Alcock	
Government	Ann McKechin MP representative	

Campus Development Framework Team members:

Jennifer Russell – UoG David Page – Page\Park Kamil Shah – Page\Park Brian Muir – Muir Smith Evans Key feedback points: -

- University Avenue is a critical through route and as such movement across the city should be a key consideration this key route cannot be seen in isolation of University
- West end particularly Byres Road corridor suffers from very poor air quality and a reduction in car usage would assist improving air quality. Green transport vital
- Agreement that south front terrace is an extraordinary view which should be enhanced and exploited for the benefit of the city, tourism, encouraging walking etc
- Interest in measures to encourage active travel and implementation of policies to encourage shifts in car dependency west end well connected but improvements could be made to number and quality of cycling storage, walking routes, bus facilities etc
- Whilst there was a majority of support for removing parking from historic core of campus there is a concern on displacement parking what are the practical measures which can reduce or prevent exacerbating parking on residential streets?
- Safety of campus at night is a key issue if campus becomes too open-good lighting, security of streets, buildings and routes are vital for university
- Views of Gilbert Scott building should be safeguarded
- General support for the outward looking approach of the studies and development framework initiative looking at west end and city as a whole is supported
- Involve the students more including the Glasgow University Environmental Student Group GUEST who are keen on bio-diversity, sustainability and working with the community
- Implementation of the Carbon management plan is vital
- Cycle routes must be secure with safe places to store bikes
- Topography of campus and idea of creating a series of terraces could be a real asset and defining feature of the area if done well
- Upper and Lower plateau seen as interesting ideas with huge potential
- Legibility of University Place will be key practicalities of servicing, residents/customer parking and access need to be included in plans
- Support for creating strong linkages across east/west of campus to support north/south links
- Encouragement and support for joined up thinking local initiatives such as Byres Road improvements can be mutually beneficial and University is vital in helping west end continue to thrive
- Strong support for continued engagement and iterative process whereby people can feed in ideas as thinking progresses community appreciate involvement from the outset and ability to influence in stages rather than against a final decision.

STAGE 3 CONSULTATION

Stage 3 Consultation Introduction

Background

The final stage of the consultation process for the University's Campus Development Framework is the culmination of a three stage engagement process held internally within the University central organisation, and also with the wider student, staff and neighbouring community. The first stage (conducted in February 2013) sought views on a wide range of University related issues, with the Stage 2 engagement (conducted in October 2013) seeking views and reaching agreement on key place-making issues. The Stage 2 Consultation resulted in the publication of a Developing a Vision Statement. This statement compared the Stage 1 and 2 key findings and themes, resulting in a combined set of core themes used to inform and shape the Campus Development Framework and Estate Strategy :

- 1. Enhance the setting and safety of the campus, with clear social focus points
- 2. Define entrances and gateways into the campus
- Provide clear, open connectivity within and to the University, and with Kelvingrove Park
- 4. Embed activities and uses that promote a sense of openness and safety
- 5. Secure current and future building character and heritage
- 6. Create flexible spaces that respond to identified and changing needs
- 7. Ensure a sustainable future embracing a clear green agenda

Awareness Campaign

Different ways were used to inform and make students, staff, local residents and the general public aware of the Stage 3 Consultation process and how they could contribute their comments. They included:

- Adverts in the local Evening Times inviting interested parties to attend an exhibition or look at material online
- Email invites to Local Community Councils, local amenity groups, elected members and key community representatives
- 'All staff' newsletters
- 'All staff & student' emails
- University website updates
- Stage 3 information leaflet
- Stage 3 survey

University of Glasgow Campus Development Framework Stage 3 Consultations Undertaken

University and External Consultation

A public open exhibition was in place in the John McIntyre Welcome Point between 31 March - 2 May 2014. A Stage 3 Engagement leaflet was produced to accompany the exhibition, and this was made widely available across the campus at key social buildings as well as online. This leaflet contained a survey inviting feedback to the evolved principles.

Staff and students were also asked to participate in the Stage 3 survey through email invites using an online 'Survey Monkey' portal.

Engagement Process

- Exhibition of informative material in the John McIntyre Welcome Point 31st March – 2nd May 2014
- Consultation leaflet with questionnaire – available from key social points, reception desks and in the John McIntyre Welcome Point

This section records the process and outcomes.



Stage 3 Consultation Public Open Event & Exhibition

31st March - 2nd May 2014, Welcome Point

The Stage 3 exhibition panels outlined the key issues being addressed by the Campus Development Framework. A number of key aspects were explored namely:

- update of where the University is in developing the CDF
- summary of Stage 1 & 2 combined Key Themes
- overarching Vision Principles of the CDF
- Core Urban Design Components
 within the CDF
- Urban design Supporting Principles under specific themes of Urban Form, Landscape & Open Space, Transport & Movement, Infrastructure & Sustainability, Heritage, Uses & Adaptability, Design Excellence and Community Integration

The exhibition material was available to view online at the following address : www.glasgow.ac.uk/campusdevelopment



University of Glasgow

University of Glasgow

Landscape & Open Space



University of Glasgow University of Glasgow Vision Principles What we are doing Consultation Outcomes Stage 1 & 2 Con Enhance the setting and safety of the campus, with clear social focus points -13 Define entrances into the campus. ti^{tada} Provide clear, open connectivity within and to the University, and with Kelvingrove Park. Embed activities and uses that promote a sense of openness and safety. current and future ng character and heritag 血 4 Create flexible spaces that respond to identified and changing needs. 6-A a sustainable futur cing a clear green a

University of Glasgow

Infracture of the second secon









<image><image><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header>





Stage 3 Consultation Public Open Event & Exhibition

31st March - 2nd May 2014, Welcome Point

The Stage 3 exhibition panels identified a possible vision for the future of the Gimorehill campus; developed and evolved through the various consultations from the beginning of the Campus Development Framework process.

At the open public event, and through an online 'Survey Monkey' portal, participants were invited to fill out a questionnaire aimed at gauging opinions on the proposed Campus Development Framework. The overall response of attendees was positive. Campus Vision consultation leaflets were available from the Welcome Point, Estates & Buildings reception and at various other locations on campus and provided an extended commentary of the exhibition panels. The questionnaire was also made available online with further background information including the full version of the Stage 1 & 2 Consultation Process and Summary report.

Two sets of questions were asked:

- the first looked for level of support for various broad proposals within the Campus Development Framework
- the second asked ways in which the University could consult respondees in the future

The exhibition and survey material was available to view online at the following address :

www.glasgow.ac.uk/campusdevelopment





For further information, visit our webs

Stage 3 Consultation Response

Public Consultation Response

The University Corporate Communications team led the media awareness campaign through press releases, invites to staff and students, news articles published in the "Campus News' and social media updates through the SRC and official University feeds.

In terms of feedback the total responses received by the deadline of 2nd May 2014 stands at circa 3,660 individual online responses, with 9 written feedback. These are made up of staff, students, local community, visitors and other respondents.

3,273 people chose to answer question 6 'Are you:...'. 388 people chose to skip this question. The breakdown for this question is as follows :

Student	= 1,109
Staff	= 917
University visitor	= 53
West End resident	= 341
Other*	= 1,200



(* 'Others' were predominantly alumnus, ex-staff, visiting academics and local businesses)

Questionnaire



In previous consultations you told us the following :

You said that it was important to enhance the setting and safety of the campus. You also said you would like to see more recognisable entrances and gateways.

Q1 : In response we are aiming to create two open and accessible civic entrances that give priority to pedestrians and that create a real sense of entrance and welcome on to the campus. How strongly do you support these proposals?

The majority of responses Strongly Support the statement. A total of 3,661 responses can be broken down into the following.





It can be seen that an overwhelming majority of repondees advocate the principle within the CDF to create two open and accessible civic entrances to the campus, giving priority to pedestrians and creating a real sense of entrance and welcome.

Q2: In response [to the above] we are proposing that key areas along University Avenue are remodelled so that traffic is better managed, wider pavements and crossing points are created for pedestrians, more green landscaping is used in street design and that high quality materials are used. How strongly do you support these proposals?

The majority of responses Strongly Support the statement. A total of 3,661 responses can be broken down into the following.



An overwhelming majority of respondees strongly support a remodelled University Avenue through better traffic management, widening of pavements and crossing points, improved landscape and use of high quality materials.



Questionnaire Review

In previous consultations you told us the following :

You strongly agreed that the campus should provide clear, open connectivity with active spaces. You also emphasized the need for the Gilmorehill campus to be unified and for it to be open to the West End community.

Q3 : In response we intend to evolve a network of open space that seeks to enhance current movement routes and create new links across the campus which unify and connect the campus into the city. This will include improving links through University Place and open up new and improved routes to Church Street and Byres Road. How strongly do you support these proposals?

The majority of responses Strongly Support the statement. A total of 3,604 responses can be broken down into the following.



It can be seen that the majority of respondees support the strategy within the CDF to evolve a network of open spaces connecting the campus to the wider city, including the improvement of University Avenue and improving routes to Church Street and Byres Road.



Q4 : In response [to the above] we have developed concepts that respond to the existing urban landscape and the physical shape of the campus. Key principles of the Campus Development Framework will seek to improve the setting of heritage assets, achieve design excellence and create a 'sense of place'. How strongly do you support this approach?

The majority of responses Strongly Support the statement. A total of 3,491 responses can be broken down into the following.



A majority of respondees support the ambition of the CDF to improve the setting of its heritage assets, to achieve design excellence in its developments and to create a 'sense of place'.



In previous consultations you told us the following :

You said that it was important that we developed a campus that was sustainable and embraced a clear green agenda.

Q5 : In response sustainability and the green agenda has been a primary consideration throughout the Campus Development Framework with particular influence on infrastructure, design and the environment. How strongly do you support this approach?

The majority of responses Strongly Support the statement. A total of 3,483 responses can be broken down into the following.



It can be seen that an overwhelming majority of repondees advocate the emphasis of the sustainability and green agenda within the CDF playing an influential role in addressing infrastructure, design and environment issues.



Q6 : Are you: Please tick the appropriate box(es)

The majority of respondees were either students or did not identify themselves under any of the main categories provided. A total of 3,273 responses can be broken down into the following.



Questionnaire Review

Q7 : If you are a student or a member of staff are you: Please tick the appropriate box.

The majority of respondees were from Glasgow. However it is worth noting that there was a strong number of international respondents and those from another part of Scotland. A total of 2,293 responses can be broken down into the following.



Q8 : How would you prefer to be consulted? Please order your response from 1 to 5 (1 = most preferred; 5 = least preferred)

Form the responses given, Electronic Survey was the most preferred method of consultation whilst 'other social media' was the least preferred method. A total of 3,370 responses can be broken down into the following average ratings.

Through presentations and 'campus conversations' = 3.09 Through exhibitions and paper questionnaires = 3.07 Through an electronic survey (such as SurveyMonkey) direct to your email address = 4.52 Through Facebook = 2.47 Through other social media = 1.98



Written response

A total of 9 individual written responses were provided to the Stage 3 Consultation, received in the form of emails.

The points made can be grouped under the following CDF Urban Design Topics:

- 1. Community Integration
- 2. Heritage, Uses & Adaptability
- 3. Design Excellence
- 4. Transport & Movement

There were also comments relating to :

5. Engagement methodology and publicity

A mixture of high level strategic issues as well as detailed suggestions were offered. It is worth noting that for the purposes of the CDF, detailed comments (although still important) are issues which can only be addressed at a later stage and is beyond the scope of the current process. Comments relating to strategic issues are more relevant at this stage which will help reinforce the vision of the emerging CDF. Key points raised are listed below.

Community Integration

The following comment is considered as valid points and will be taken on board at a more detailed stage of the design

• Provision of dedicated interfaith prayer facilities on campus

The following comment is noted and accepted

• More presentations and exhibitions should be carried out when considering future development

Heritage, Uses & Adaptability

The following comment is noted and accepted

The marvellous urban environment surrounding the Gilmorehill campus should be an inviolable part of the ambiance of the University

Written Review

Design Excellence

The following comment is considered as valid points and will be taken on board at a more detailed stage of the design

 Avoid open-plan offices in future designs, as they create difficulties in conducting one-to-one meetings with students, being focused at carrying our research/academic/ writing work, and can lead to staff being less productive

The following comment is considered as valid and will be taken on board at a more detailed stage of the design

• Develop iconic world-class buildings in creating a lasting legacy echoing the achievements of Gilbert Scott

Transport & Movement

The following comments are considered as valid points and will be taken on board at a more detailed stage of the design

- Parking provision to be improved on campus bearing in mind that it should not create problems elsewhere in adjacent areas
- Location of pedestrian crossings should be mindful of potential noise pollution and proximity to office spaces

Engagement methodology and publicity

The following comment are noted

- Reconsider language within survey so as to avoid potential predetermination of likely answers
- Presentations do not offer enough information for immediate residences
- More media exposure to inform wider audience of the development and giving more opportunity to comment

Stage 3 Consultation Consultation Review Key Findings

Drawing conclusions from the Public Consultation (in order of emphasis of respondents) suggests the following :

- 1. There is an overwhelming support for the creation of two open and accessible civic entrances to the campus, giving priority to pedestrians and creating a real sense of entrance and welcome.
- 2. There is also very strong support for a remodelled University Avenue through better traffic management, widening of pavements and crossing points, improved landscape and use of high quality materials.
- 3. There is strong support for the CDF to evolve a network of open spaces connecting the campus to the wider city, including the improvement of University Avenue and improving routes to Church Street and Byres Road.
- 4. There is also strong support for the strategies within the CDF to improve the setting of its heritage assets, to achieve design excellence in its developments and to create a 'sense of place'.
- 5. Finally, there is good support for the CDF playing an influential role in addressing infrastructure, design and environment issues in emphasising the sustainability and green agenda

Review

In conclusion, the results of the Stage 3 Consultation provides support and validation of the vision set within the Campus Development Framework :



Stage 3 Consultation Appendix Consultation Booklet





The Principal's statement

The University of Glasgow has started upon an exciting and historic journey to redevelop its West End campus following the acquisition of the site of the Western Infirmary.

This is the third occasion within the space of a year when the University of Glasgow has embarked on a round of public engagement on its plans for the historic Gilmorehill Campus.

Gilmoreniii Campus. A year ago we were able to announce that we had reached agreement with NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde to acquire the fourteen acre site currently occupied by the Western Initimary in an area bounded by Dumbarton Road, Church Street and University Place. This Donaldshill site has alwaves Road, Church Streat and University Place. This Donaldshill site has always been integral to the University and was bought in the 1860s at the same time as Gilbert Scott was starting work on his masterpiece, the main building on the adjacent site.

We now have the opportunity for a carefully planned and integrated expansion of our campus by up to 25 per cent. Our Campus Development Framework, developed in partnership with Page / Park Architects, will provide strategic guidance development in the West End of Glasgow. of Glasgow.

of Glasgow. Residents' and traders' groups in the West End have already welcomed both our acquisition of the hospital sile and also our detailed consultation and engagement with our neighbours with and beautiful part of the city. Like us, they can see what extraordinary opportunities well-planned development can ofter.

This booklet will give you more information about the consultations we have already undertaken and the very interesting feedback we have received to date. Most of those who have offered their views share our hope that we can improve and enhance the existing, majestic 19th century more importantly, safeguard the beautiful green spaces generations to come.

It will be a long, but exciting journey, and one I look forward to sharing with you.



Professor Anton Muscatelli ncipal & Vice Cha

Developing the Campus Vision

We are reporting back on the Campus Development Framework following extensive engagement. We want to make sure that we've interpreted your feedback correctly. At the same time as the Campus Development Framework has been developed we have of course worked on all the other aspects of the Estate Strategy and hope to be able to put final proposals to the University Court in October. We will be consulting with you ou our draft proposals during summer 2014.

During 2013 we asked for During 2013 we asked for your views on how we should develop the campus to make sure we made the most of the very unique opportunity that the University has.

We are now in the final We are now in the final stage of engagement with regards to the Campus Development Framework. It is your opportunity to review the conclusions that we have drawn and how we have applied this to the shape of the campus.

We have developed the We have developed the framework through listening to the feedback from both consultation stages; developing a detailed understanding of the site conditions both opportunities and risks; from surveys such as the staff and student travel survey and finally from ensuring we have used the

pertise of the professional design team who have worked with the University on this important piece of work. As the ideas have developed

As the ideas have developed we have come back and tested our thoughts with you. In the stage 2 consultation we had over 1900 responses. I am extremely grateful to everyone who has taken time to complete a questionnaite or survey and provided balanced and well considered responses.

Thank you for the time you have given to date and I hope you will be able to give time to future consultations as your opinions do shape the way we are thinking with regards

Ann Allen Director, Estates & Buildings

to the campus.

Ann Alun

We have now entered into we have now entered into the last stage of engagement where we are reporting back on the final Campus back on the final campus Development Framework. Following this stage the framework will be put to the University Court and if we receive their support we will then submit to the City Council for their approval.

What we are doing

The Campus Development Framework has been informed by two stages of open public consultation. In this third and final stage we outline the proposals and invite your feedback.

Framework that you see

The evolution of the Campus Development Framework (CDF) has to date been informed by two stages of public consultation.

public consumation: The first stage sought views on a wide range of University related issues, whilst the second stage fed back the emerging vision and campus analysis, which together informed the development of the CPE composals. the CDF proposals.

today. The findings of the Stage 1 & 2 consultation process resulted in the publication of the Campus Development This list, placed in an overall order of significance and importance, is shown below.

This third and final stage Framework Vision Statements This third and tithal stage of engagement, outlines the proposed Campus Development Framework (informed by the Stage 1 an 2 engagement), identifying Vision Key Principles and Framework Vision Statements (Informing & Developing the Vision), which are available on-line at www.glasgow. ac.uk/campusdevelopment/ consultation. Combining the Stage 1 & 2 findings resulted in a definitive list of 'key themes' that were then used to inform the final Campus Development Framework that vull see Vision Key Principles and Core Components and Supporting Principles under theme headings.

We are asking for your feedback to these proposals, to ensure that we have interpreted what you have said to us in the first two stages of consultation in an

appropriate way.

and





The Vision

The proposed Campus Development Framework is based on a clear and defined vision, expressed through a number of key principles and core components.

Key to the success of development frameworks complexity, is to ensure that which undergrading the plan which undergrading the principles, delivered through the realisation of a number of core components. The Vision essentially is a

number of core component: The Vision essentially is a narrative of place, coming the historic evolution of the campus, informed by conversation, consultation and analysis, leading to campus could evolve in response to identified opportunities and needs.

The Vision for the University of Glasgow at Gilmorehill is expressed in the following section and has at its heart the following four key

- Establish clear and memorable civic entrances to the campus (off University Avenue and Dumbarton Road) with clear avial connections through the campus
 Focus east/west predestrian circulation along University Avenue / Place and a new southern core roule linking the southern entrance and Gilbert Socit south frontage. heart the following loan key principles: Recognise, respect and respond to the parkland gardenesque quality on the historic upper plateau and extend the city urban quality across the lower elongut
- quality across the lower plateau. Develop a unified coherent and rich assembly of spaces within the campus defined by distinctive buildings connected at the edges into the existing urban fabric of the city.

Opposite page Impression of a new 'global entrance' off Dumbarton Road.



olic realm and arrival setting h along Hillhead Street). low: Impression of an improved pu ong University Avenue (looking sou



Urban design topics **Urban Form**

The Gilmorehill campus is a unique place. The current setting, however, is compromised by the dominance of cars, a lack of quality open space, and, in certain areas, poor buildings. Enhancing the setting of the campus was a clear priority identified in consultations. Key tonics: relation to Lihapa

Key topics relating to Urban Form considered in the Campus Development Framework are: • approach & views • categories

gateways
edges & key frontages
opportunities and areas of

massing & scale
materials.

Defining the 'gateways' to the campus will help to orientate and welcome people to the campus. Key buildings should be designed to offer attractive, interesting and busy ground floors where the public will be passing by. There is an opportunity to reshape the Western Infirmary site, based on principles of

Approach and views into the campus are significant as a means of navigating. It is important that key University landmarks (e.g. Gilbert Scott Building) are enhanced and views to them maintained. streets and open spaces connected into the West End neighbourhood. This will bring positive change to the West End community and University. University. The massing and scale of new development across new development across the campus, needs to respect adjacent buildings, tout equally, proposals for inspiring buildings at key corners and urban galeways needs consideration.

Identifying a familiar and consistent palette of materials for new development is a vital tool to define character areas within the campus.

h

The supporting principles relating to Urban Form

- Views to key University landmarks to be maintained (and opened up) on approach routes to and within the campus setting.
- 2. Create clear and defined urban gateways into the campus.
- Create defined, pleasant, safe and accessible urban edges to development within and around the campus, incorporating ground floor activity at key locations. Opportunities for change identified in the CDF to be tested against spatial and operational needs and economic parameters once defined.

T AC

- Massing and scale of buildings should respect the existing scale of the surrounding neighbourhood, but also appropriately define key spaces, corners and gateway points. Area masterplans to establish a coordinated strategy of robust materials for use in building development, that embraces both historic and contemporary design and which meet sustainability requirements.



Urban design topics Landscape & Open Space

The University is defined by the iconic image of the Gilbert Scott building and tower however the campus is sometimes confusing to find your way around.

The Campus Development Framework has been evolved around a structure of spaces (not buildings) to address (not buildings) to address this issue. This creates a framework for movement, infrastructure and enduring spaces that will grow in value as buildings are added.

Key topics relating to Landscape and Open Space considered in the Campus Development Framework are:

Key

Ô

10

focal open space and activity nodes
 opportunities and areas of change
 space hierarchy
 purpose and use of space materials and sustainable drainage.

drainage. There are a number of existing 'hubs of activity' across the campus – for both social and teaching and learning. The opportunity exists to create quality public exists to create quality public areas associated with these focal points, improving their functionality as gathering and meeting places.

An aim of the Campus Development Framework is to create open spaces with differing characteristics and scales. There should be a clear hierarchy and clarity of use, creating 'enclosed spaces', basing through spaces', basing through and 'evice spaces'. To improve the character and

To improve the character and setting, a defined material palette should be established. The materials and detailed design of open spaces needs to incorporate the latest thinking in sustainable urban drainage systems.

- The supporting principles relating to Landscape & Open S
- Establish quality open spaces at existing focal activity points across the campus, and place high use activities within new development to activate key new open spaces.
- Create a legible network of landscape and open spaces across the campus, each with differing characteristics and scale.
- Open spaces across the campus to respond to the hierarchy and relationship of components established in the CDF Vision.
- Landscape and open spaces across the campus should be designed to respond to and provide for differing uses.

A campus strategy for public realm materials incorporating Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems to be developed ahead of area masterplan development, embracing both historic and contemporary design to enhance the overall setting of the campus.



Urban design topics Transport & Movement

The campus is in a very accessible location in Glasgow's West End with a number of walking, cycling, public transport and vehicle routes serving the campus.

The routes have both a strategic and local role in accommodating high travel demands by all transport users in the University, community and further afield.

Continuarity and jurner aneid. Key topics relating to Transport and Movement considered in the Campus Development Framework are: travel choice / mode share movement hierarchy e connectivity

campus sately
 minimising conflicts.
 The University will continue
to promote non-car
travel initiatives as well as
prioritising padestrian and
cycle movement. The parking
management strategy will
also be reviewed.
 The street pattern across the
a high level of permeability
and any improvements to
walking coutes will deliver
an enhanced solution
and promote safety and
connectivity.

The most recent travel survey indicated that walking and

cycling are the most popular way for staff and students to travel to Gilmorehill, with public transport second. With this in mind, the University will support new and improved connectivity strategies. Campus safety (especiality at night) is a key concern for staff and students. The University will review strategies for lighting, campus security measures, activating open spaces and ground floor levels of buildings.

floor levels of buildings. The University will also review traffic movement strategies on campus (e.g. parking and servicing) to minimise conflict with pedestrians and cyclists.



<section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Urban design topics Infrastructure & Sustainability

The development of a unified campus which integrates the Western Intimary site ofters a great opportunity to review the existing campus infrastructure, to create a strategy which improves efficiency, addresses carbon management and campus growth and change.

campus grown and charge Key topics relating to Infrastructure and Sustainability considered in the Campus Development Framework are:

Below: Potential Central H Key

Ň

14

 energy and power The fu carbon management and sustainability green infrastructure such as sustainable urban drainage. sustainable

Sustainable urban drainage in the provision of heat and power to all buildings to align with the University's carbon emissions. To this end, the University has committed to install the first phase of a campus wide system, and this will be extended across the Western Infirmary site.

The future development of the campus must embrace the University's Carbon Management Plan and apply the best practice principles of sustainable design.

sustainable design: The Campus Development Framework embodies sustainable principles of design, establishing highly walkable neighbourhoods, utilising efficient and sustainable methods of power generation and making buildings more efficient.







Urban design topics Heritage, Uses & Adaptability

The University understands the significance of the historic estate under its care while recognising that it must be adaptable to future needs.

The University 2012 Estate Conservation Strategy acts as the guiding document in relation to conservation and change in the historic environment. The Campus Development Framework DPMO05816 embrane urv proposals embrace and respond to this docum

Key topics relating to Heritage, Uses and Adaptability in the Campus Development Framework are: • a campus-wide

conservation strategy
 use mapping

Key

Ō

16

flexibility for adaptation and re-use
future proofing. future proofing.
In order to meet the
University's strategic
goals, future development
goals, future development
will require the attention,
extension, adaptation and
possible demolition of
some buildings. Historic
buildings and settings can
buildings and settings
design and innovation.
The Waterow Information.

consideration of this site. The Campus Development Framework has evolved a series of development plots' that can respond flexibly to development needs as they arise, anchored on a set of clearly defined turban design principles and framework of open spaces. The re-use of existing The re-use of existing buildings has clear sustainability benefits, but assessed in terms of each building's contribution to the delivery of the Campus Vision and legacy. and legacy

Glasgow City Council and Historic Scotland, for a collaborative approach to the consideration of this site.

Below: Existing uses of the West End comm



The supporting principl

les relating to Herita

The Estates Conservation Strategy (2012) to be used to inform future projects and to guide conservation approach to development across the estate.

 Recognise the importance of the University's heritage assets through continued investment and where necessary appropriate adaptation, integration and reuse of historic fabric in redevelopment plans. Work in partnership with statutory bodies to realise the potential of historic elements on the Western site as constituent parts of a new urban vision for the area.

Consider locations of new uses to compliment and integrate with the existing neighbourhood, and to activate public spaces.

Recognise and respect the University's built heritage when con-development, and seek to enhance the existing qualities.

es & Ac

ng future

H

Urban design topics **Design Excellence**

A key theme identified in the public consultation was to 'secure current and future building character ind heritage'. The Campus Development Framework vision seeks to facilitate the delivery of tacilitate the use and enhance the urban and architectural legacy embodied in the estate.

Key topics relating to Design Excellence considered in the Campus Development Framework are:

ramework are design quality and legacy
 innovation and character accessibility
sustainability
public art.

public art.
 The iconic Gilbert Scott building and the most recent Wolfson Medical School building are excellent examples of how design quality and legacy can be achieved in differing styles and type of built form.

and type of built form. Innovation underpins the University's global vision of achieving excellence of achieving excellence in research and teaching. Built form and public open Built form and public open space needs to express this innovation, extending the character of the historic

campus into a new stage of the University's developmen that looks to the future. All aspects of design are to achieve the highest levels of accessibility and inclusivity as integral elements.

For building design, high levels of sustainability must be achieved. BREEAM ratings of 'excellent' should be maintained as a minimum for new-build development. The inclusion of public art throughout the campus will add to the character and sense of place and a campus-wide strategy for public art will be developed.





Urban design topics **Community Integration**

With the acquisition of With the acquisition of the Western Infirmary, the University for the first time has the potential to have a presence on Byres Road which provides a significant opportunity for wider benefits. the

opportunity for wheer deriverties. Key topics relating to Community Integration considered in the Campus Development Framework are: • connectivity and openness opportunities for shared benefits • portionation

benefits
participation
partnership.

Openness of the campus along with strong linkages

to and from the surrounding neighbourhoods are key aspects in establishing strong connectivity between the University and local community. community. The migration of the University campus westwards across the Western Infirmary site, combined with the commitment to ensure this edge is permeable and open, provides the potential for increased footfall at the southere med of Byres Road, of this end of Byres Road, Church Street.

The Campus Development Framework process has listened and responded to views of the local community in the evolution of the plans, and the University is committed to continue this dialogue through the next stages of the process. The University is open The University is open in principle to work in partnership with other bodies to evolve and assist in the implementation of projects on on-University owned land that would benefit both the local community and the University alike.

Below: Developing a wider interface strategy between the University and the City to improve community spaces. ommunity Western Community Space Eastern Gateway Southern Ň 20

The supporting principles relating to Community Integration

- Ensure that boundaries between the University and local neighbourhoods are open and welcoming and that physical connections are improved wherever possible. Consider opportunities and possibilities for shared community benefits in all development proposals.
- Continue open inclusive dialogue with community groups and representatives through the implementation stages of the CDF and Estates Strategy.
- Work in partnership with other public organisations and bodies to help in the holistic delivery of projects offering wider community, neighbourhood and area benefits.



Your views are important

Please participate by giving us your thoughts on the final Campus Development Framework.

Over the last 12 months we have sought your views on how we should develop the Gilmorehill Campus. In particular we have asked your views on what we should consider in the Campus Development Framework, which it approved by the City Council will become the planning framework for the future development. Over the last 12 months

We anticipate submitting the We anticipate submitting the draft Campus Development Framework to the Council this summer. In advance of this we will need University Court approval, but before we do this we want to check that we be correctly interpreted the general concepts and views that were gathered from the previous consultations.

We are not asking for new We are not asking to new thoughts at this stage, but want to test what we have already developed.

This is our final stage of engagement specifically on the Campus Development Framework – but it is not the Framework – but it is not the end of consultation on the wider Estate Strategy. Over the summer we will come back and test our thoughts with you on the final draft of that Estate Strategy. Next year we will come back again and seek views as we start to develop the more detailed master plans, including the design specific parts of the campus Please narticipate in this

Please participate in this Stage 3 of the process by giving us your thoughts on

the proposed framework for the future development of the campus by answering the questions in the questionnaire opposite opposite

Answers to the questions can Answers to the questions out be completed in paper format and posted in the designated comments box in the John McIntyre reception.

Alternatively responses car submitted as follows e supmitted as fo by email to: estates-strategy

estates-strategy @glasgow.ac.uk • by post to: Estates & Buildings Botany Gate University Avenue Glasgow G12 8QQ.

The consultation response adline date is Friday deadline date 2 May 2014.

Questionnaire

In previous consultations you told us the following:

You said that it was important to enhance the setting and safety of the campus. You also said you would like to see more recognisable entrances and gateways.

In response we are proposing:

- To create two open and accessible civic entrances that give priority to pedestrians and that create a real sense of entrance and welcome on to the campus. How strongly do you support these proposals? Please tick the appropriate box. Strongly support Support Accept Disagree Strongly disagree
- □ Strongly support □ support □ Nacept □ usagree □ strongly orsagree
 2. That key areas along University Avenue are remodeled so that traffic is better
 managed, wider pavements and crossing points are created for pedestrians, more
 green landscaping is used in street design, and high quality materials are used.
 How strongly do you support these proposals? Please tok the appropriate box.
 □ Strongly support □ Support □ Accept □ Disagree □ Strongly disagree

You strongly agreed that the campus should provide clear, open connectivity with active spaces. You also emphasized the need for the Gilmorehill campus to be unified and for it to be open to the West End community.

to be open to ute west End continuous.
3. In response we intend to evolve a network of open space that seeks to enhance current movement routes and create new links across the campus which unity and connect the campus into the city. This will include improving links through University Place and open up new and improved routes to Church Street and Byres Road. How strongly do you support these proposals? Please tick the appropriate box.
☐ Strongly support _ Support _ Accept _ Disagree _ Strongly disagree

You wanted the Campus Development Framework to respect the character and history rou warned the Campus Development Framework to respect the character and hist of the heritage at Gilmorehill. You also told us that new development should be well designed and enhance the overall character of the University.

 designed and ennance the overall character of the University.

 4. In response we have developed concepts that respond to the existing urban landscape and the physical shape of the campus. Key principles of the Campus Development Framework will seek to improve the setting of heritage assets, achieve design excellence and create a 'sense of place'.

 How strongly do you support this approach? Please tick the appropriate box.

 ☐ Strongly support ☐ Support ☐ Accept ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly disagree

You said that it was important that we developed a campus that was sustainable and embraced a clear green agenda.

In response sustainability and the green agenda has been a primary consideration throughout the Campus Development Framework with particular influence on infrastructure, transport, design and the environment.
 How strongly do you support this approach? Please tick the appropriate box.
 Strongly support Support Accept Disagree Strongly disagree

23

Further information on the Campus Development Framework is available at www.glasgow.ac.uk/campusdevelopment

Questionnaire

Finally, we would also like to understand if there are different ways that we could contact you for future consultations.

- 6. Are you: Please tick the appropriate box(es). a student? a member of staft? visitor to the University? resident in the West End? other? Please state 7. If you are a student or a member of staff are you: Please tick the appropriate box. from Glasgow? I from another part of Scotland? I from another part of the UK? International?

- 8. How would you prefer to be consulted? Please order your responses from 1 to 5 (1 = most preferred; 5 = least preferred) Please order your responses from 1 to 5 (1 = most prete Through presentations and campus conversations. Through exhibitions and paper questionnaires. Through Survey Monkey to your email address. Through Survey Monkey to your email address. Through other social media.

Thank you for your help.

24



Estates & Buildings Department University of Glasgow Botany Gate University Avenue Glasgow G12 8QQ Tel: +44 (0)141 330 6000 Email: estates-strategy@glasgow.ac.uk www.glasgow.ac.uk/campusdevelopment

PAGE\PARK Produced by the Estates & Buildings Department © University of Glasgow 2014

Estates & Buildings Department University of Glasgow Botany Gate University Avenue Glasgow G12 8QQ

Tel: +44 (0)141 330 0600 Email: estates-strategy@glasgow.ac.uk www.glasgow.ac.uk/campusdevelopment

The University of Glasgow, charity number SC004401



IAN WHITE

PAGE\PARK

20 James Morrison Street Glasgow G1 5PE

Tel: +44 (0)141 553 5440 Email: mail@pagepark.co.uk www.pagepark.co.uk