

Academic Promotion Criteria Professorial Zone Descriptors Academic Clinician

The Academic Clinician career track is an optional pathway for roles with a significant clinical practice component, to encompass medical, dental and veterinary academic clinicians (contractual and pay differences remain). A “significant” clinical practice component shall normally mean 5 Programmed Activities or 50% of normal working time assigned to clinical service activity. Clinical staff continue to have access to the other academic career tracks as appropriate. While a common set of criteria apply for the granting of Professorial Academic Clinician status, the Professorial Zones are, for pay determination reasons, to be applied only for veterinary clinicians.

Assessment in each of the four zones will draw on the following illustrative statements. It is assumed that a Professor at Level 2 has many of the attributes of 1, and one at Level 3 has the attributes of 1, and 2, etc.

It is expected that for promotion to any Zone, a professor would normally be able to demonstrate meeting the majority of the criteria outlined across the range of academic activity in the College zone descriptors. It is recognised that distinction in all of the areas detailed in the zone descriptor will very rarely be achieved. Professors will be placed into the appropriate zone normally on the basis of “best” fit with the criteria outlined across the range of academic activity in the relevant College zone descriptor and ultimately to the zone to which there is an overall preponderance of conformance.

In our professoriate we especially wish to recognise both excellence of outcome, and collegiality towards peers.

All professors, at every level, are expected to demonstrate significant collegiality and to lead both actively and by example with respect to School and College research, teaching and administrative responsibilities. For the avoidance of any doubt, it is a normal expectation that all professorial staff make a significant contribution to UG/PG teaching. Examples can include submitting and marking exam papers within deadlines, giving lectures as assigned and evidence of course development that has benefited the student experience. Administrative responsibilities may include caring for the welfare of relevant research groups of their School and mentoring younger colleagues. Professors at all levels should contribute to public engagement, and to external activity which benefits the national and international standing of the University.

It is expected that candidates will demonstrate collegiality in each qualifying dimension. Collegiality is a threshold criterion and as such, may be a deciding factor in a promotion case.

ZONE 1: The normal entry level for those appointed to the Professoriate. Working at this level indicates an international reputation, reflected by a range and balance of the activities listed below, as appropriate to the opportunities and expectations of the discipline.

ZONE 2: The level for Professors with considerable academic distinction, and with ongoing excellent performance. Working at this level indicates an established international reputation for academic leadership, reflected by a range of the activities listed below as appropriate to the opportunities and expectations of the discipline.

ZONE 3: The level for Professors of excellent academic distinction internationally. Working at this level requires evidence of an internationally leading reputation for shaping the relevant field of study, reflected by a range of the activities listed below, as appropriate to the opportunities and expectations of the discipline.

ZONE 4: The level for Professors of the highest academic distinction. Working at this level requires evidence of international recognition as one of a group of world leaders in the relevant field of study, reflected by a range of the activities listed below, as appropriate to the opportunities and expectations of the discipline.

	ZONE 1	ZONE 2 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 3 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 4 (building on the previous zone)
SECTION A: CLINICAL SERVICE	<p>Excellence is demonstrated by providing evidence of how the reported activities deliver and enhance clinical care, including contributing to clinical service innovation, effectiveness and efficiency.</p> <p>Collegiality is demonstrated, for example, by collaborating across service areas and team to support other individuals and teams to deliver care and clinical service outcomes and innovation, and to facilitate broad pan-service developments.</p>			
	<p>Leadership of a team delivering both substantial and sustained growth of contribution. Assumes direct engagement with clients as well as leadership of a clinical service or activity.</p> <p>A sustained track record in applying clinical knowledge to improve human or animal care and professional standards through interaction with private, public and cultural sectors. (For example, development of new services, products or innovative approaches to patient care, diagnostics or population medicine).</p> <p>Application of knowledge that significantly influences the practice of medicine, dentistry or veterinary medicine, public health, the health and welfare of people or animals in the wider community, public policy or community engagement.</p> <p>Strong links with regulatory and advisory bodies allied to the profession and/or service in support of human or animal charities and welfare organisations.</p> <p>Significant leadership and management responsibilities shaping the future of clinical activity.</p>	<p>Leadership of large clinical team generating sufficient revenue to allow sustained growth and investment in this or an allied area of activity. Assumes direct engagement with clinical service.</p> <p>Major role within regulatory and advisory bodies allied to the profession and/or human or animal charities / welfare / agricultural organisations.</p>	<p>Successful hospital level leadership defined by sustainable growth in income and contribution delivering investment in services and the highest quality clinical teaching.</p>	<p>Successful hospital level leadership resulting in significant new income streams through the provision of new services and/or significant growth of existing services over the previous four-year period (average per annum increase of turnover >20%).</p>
<p>*NB: This criteria area is used only where clinical work is performed directly for the University. Where clinical work is performed for the NHS or other third party under an honorary contract the volume of activity expected in the other areas will be reduced accordingly.</p>				

SECTION B: RESEARCH & SCHOLARSHIP	ZONE 1	ZONE 2 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 3 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 4 (building on the previous zone)
	<p>In demonstrating performance in the criteria for award generation, applicants should ensure that they also mention how they have demonstrated collegiality and excellence.</p> <p>Excellence is reflected not only in the volume of funding but also in the prestige of funder or scheme (e.g. fellowships, highly selective schemes).</p> <p>Collegiality is exemplified by leading research funding initiatives that have generated income in the support of research by others (e.g. including less senior academics as co-investigators), and large-scale institutional bids.</p>			
Output	<p>A sustained record of scholarly output over career to date, which constitutes a substantial contribution to knowledge and patient care at an international level.</p> <p>To include 4 outputs in the most recent 6 year period which attracted significant international citations at levels appropriate to the discipline and the body of work has demonstrably influenced professional practice.</p>	<p>Outstanding (6 year) publication output that results in demonstrable change to clinical practice or knowledge internationally, taking into account the dimensions of the field.</p> <p>Research outputs commensurate with internationally acknowledged leader in the field.</p> <p>Regularly publishing in the highest quality journals in the field.</p>	<p>A sustained record of publication resulting in an outstanding body of world-leading scholarly output which has become an essential point of reference for the discipline.</p>	<p>A sustained record of publication that has significant impact extending beyond the discipline such that at least 4 of the outputs in the previous six years meet the REF criteria for 4*.</p>
Award Generation	<p>Research income in excess of the Russell Group median for the discipline group.</p>	<p>Research income in excess of the Russell Group upper quartile for the discipline.</p>	<p>A current grant portfolio (as Principal Investigator) with a significant RCUK or EU component and/or other commercial/charity income, sufficient to support a sizeable research team.</p>	<p>A current grant portfolio that supports a sizeable research team and has influenced the development and growth of satellite teams.</p>
Supervision	<p>Leading the development and enhancement of PGR and/or resident training (vet track). Principal responsibility for at least 2 students/residents (vet track) and track record (over last 6 years) of successful outcomes including non-UK students. Training (vet track). Principal responsibility for at least 2 students/residents (vet track) and track record (over last 6 years) of successful outcomes including non-UK students.</p>	<p>A very significant and sustained track record of successful PhD and/or resident supervision (vet track) over recent 6 year period. With average supervisory load of 3 or greater students.</p>	<p>Leading significant growth at School level of PhD and/or residents (vet track) through new external funding sources.</p>	<p>Leading transformational change to PhD and/or resident (vet track) training at a national level that enhances postgraduate training in the UK or internationally.</p>

SECTION C: IMPACT	ZONE 1	ZONE 2 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 3 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 4 (building on the previous zone)				
<p>In demonstrating performance in the impact criteria, applicants should ensure that they also mention how they have demonstrated collegiality and excellence.</p> <p>Excellence is demonstrated by providing rigorous evidence of the change that research has made outside academia.</p> <p>Collegiality is demonstrated, for example, by collaborating on impact-generating activities, or sharing external contacts/impact partners with colleagues.</p> <p>The case for impact can address any dimension of impact beyond academia: economical (including spin-outs), societal, cultural, or policy- or health-related.</p>								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="277 400 732 1396"> <p>To be currently making a key contribution to an impact outcome that is being written up and suitable for return to a future REF-type exercise, and that is evidenced such that it is likely to be graded at minimum 3* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be evidenced in a similar way to a REF Impact Case Study:</p> <p>Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity.</p> </td> <td data-bbox="732 400 1180 1396"> <p>To be currently leading an Impact Case Study that is suitable for return to REF2021 and that has been formally assessed through internal and/or external peer assessment as being likely to be graded at minimum 3* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be evidenced in a similar way to a REF Impact Case Study:</p> <p>Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity.</p> </td> <td data-bbox="1180 400 1632 1396"> <p>i. To have led or have made a key contribution to an impact outcome that was or could have been returned as an Impact Case Study to REF2014 and that was demonstrably likely to have been graded at 4* quality;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>ii. To be currently leading a REF-eligible Impact Case Study for REF2021 that has been written up and that has been formally assessed through internal and/or external peer assessment as being likely to be graded at minimum 3* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Evidenced in the same way as a REF Impact Case Study. - Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity. - Contain, within the case study itself, the details of the lead or key contributing role of the staff member. </td> <td data-bbox="1632 400 2089 1396"> <p>i. To have led an Impact outcome that was, or could have been, returned as an Impact Case Study to REF2014 and that was demonstrably likely to have been graded at 4* quality;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>ii. To be currently leading a REF-eligible Impact Case Study for REF2021 that has been written up and that has been formally assessed through internal and/or external peer assessment as being likely to be graded 4* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Evidenced in the same way as a REF Impact Case Study. - Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity. - Contain, within the case study itself, the details of the lead role of the staff member. </td> </tr> </table>					<p>To be currently making a key contribution to an impact outcome that is being written up and suitable for return to a future REF-type exercise, and that is evidenced such that it is likely to be graded at minimum 3* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be evidenced in a similar way to a REF Impact Case Study:</p> <p>Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity.</p>	<p>To be currently leading an Impact Case Study that is suitable for return to REF2021 and that has been formally assessed through internal and/or external peer assessment as being likely to be graded at minimum 3* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be evidenced in a similar way to a REF Impact Case Study:</p> <p>Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity.</p>	<p>i. To have led or have made a key contribution to an impact outcome that was or could have been returned as an Impact Case Study to REF2014 and that was demonstrably likely to have been graded at 4* quality;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>ii. To be currently leading a REF-eligible Impact Case Study for REF2021 that has been written up and that has been formally assessed through internal and/or external peer assessment as being likely to be graded at minimum 3* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Evidenced in the same way as a REF Impact Case Study. - Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity. - Contain, within the case study itself, the details of the lead or key contributing role of the staff member. 	<p>i. To have led an Impact outcome that was, or could have been, returned as an Impact Case Study to REF2014 and that was demonstrably likely to have been graded at 4* quality;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>ii. To be currently leading a REF-eligible Impact Case Study for REF2021 that has been written up and that has been formally assessed through internal and/or external peer assessment as being likely to be graded 4* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Evidenced in the same way as a REF Impact Case Study. - Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity. - Contain, within the case study itself, the details of the lead role of the staff member.
<p>To be currently making a key contribution to an impact outcome that is being written up and suitable for return to a future REF-type exercise, and that is evidenced such that it is likely to be graded at minimum 3* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be evidenced in a similar way to a REF Impact Case Study:</p> <p>Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity.</p>	<p>To be currently leading an Impact Case Study that is suitable for return to REF2021 and that has been formally assessed through internal and/or external peer assessment as being likely to be graded at minimum 3* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be evidenced in a similar way to a REF Impact Case Study:</p> <p>Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity.</p>	<p>i. To have led or have made a key contribution to an impact outcome that was or could have been returned as an Impact Case Study to REF2014 and that was demonstrably likely to have been graded at 4* quality;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>ii. To be currently leading a REF-eligible Impact Case Study for REF2021 that has been written up and that has been formally assessed through internal and/or external peer assessment as being likely to be graded at minimum 3* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Evidenced in the same way as a REF Impact Case Study. - Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity. - Contain, within the case study itself, the details of the lead or key contributing role of the staff member. 	<p>i. To have led an Impact outcome that was, or could have been, returned as an Impact Case Study to REF2014 and that was demonstrably likely to have been graded at 4* quality;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>ii. To be currently leading a REF-eligible Impact Case Study for REF2021 that has been written up and that has been formally assessed through internal and/or external peer assessment as being likely to be graded 4* quality.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>Impact outcomes, whether they are included in a REF case study or not, must be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Evidenced in the same way as a REF Impact Case Study. - Contain evidence that focuses on the impact outcome itself, as opposed to impact-generating activity. - Contain, within the case study itself, the details of the lead role of the staff member. 					

SECTION D: LEARNING & TEACHING PRACTICE	ZONE 1	ZONE 2 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 3 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 4 (building on the previous zone)
	<p>In demonstrating performance in the criteria for learning and teaching practice, applicants should ensure that they also mention how they have demonstrated collegiality and excellence.</p> <p>Excellence is demonstrated through substantial achievement as a practitioner, leader and manager of teaching that influences practice within or across disciplines.</p> <p>Collegiality is exemplified by collaboration with and support for colleagues in the development of approaches to and delivery of teaching.</p>			
	<p>Substantial and sustained track record of excellence in contributions to curriculum development, course design and other initiatives that advance the University's ability to excel in its teaching and learning mandate. This may be evidenced by;</p> <p>Use of student feedback to evaluate and develop teaching and assessment at subject level; development of new teaching materials or methodologies influenced by pedagogy of the subject; development of successful teaching collaborations across disciplinary boundaries; development and effective use of technology enhanced learning, teaching and assessment; external examining; enhancement of support for student transitions or vulnerable groups; non-traditional forms of learning (such as work-based learning and assessment, student enterprise, inter-disciplinary learning).</p> <p>Distinctive and significant contribution to a major initiative in the growth of UofG PGT numbers resulting in new income streams.</p> <p>Membership of subject review panels at other universities.</p> <p>Candidates should note any other achievements that contribute to evidence of a sustained contribution to teaching excellence including external recognition.</p>	<p>Major role in national and/or international educational agencies with responsibilities for higher education policy and curriculum development.</p> <p>Evidence of influencing or effecting positive changes in teaching practice within the discipline nationally.</p> <p>Leading a major initiative in the growth of UG or PGT numbers resulting in new income streams.</p> <p>Membership of education or training committees of professional institutions.</p> <p>Sustained contribution to University level learning and teaching committees.</p> <p>Leadership of significant L&T or related initiatives at School/University level addressing key strategic priorities (e.g. retention, graduate attributes, improving assessment, etc.).</p>	<p>Extensive experience of advising governmental and non-governmental agencies on educational policy.</p> <p>Evidence of influencing or effecting positive changes in teaching practice within the discipline at international level.</p> <p>Track record of participation in major governmental education advisory bodies or agencies with remits covering the education sector as a whole.</p>	<p>Significant L&T or related innovation that has impacted on Higher Education practice globally.</p> <p>Track record of leadership of the development of educational policy at international levels.</p>

SECTION E: LEADERSHIP MANAGEMENT & ENGAGEMENT	ZONE 1	ZONE 2 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 3 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 4 (building on the previous zone)
	<p>In demonstrating performance in the criteria for leadership, management and engagement, applicants should ensure that they also mention how they have demonstrated collegiality and excellence.</p> <p>Excellence is demonstrated, for example, by delivering on the performance objectives (KPIs) of the unit/group.</p> <p>Collegiality is, for example, reflected in support provided for the training/coaching/mentorship of colleagues, and in the leadership of College-level or Institution-level initiatives.</p>			
	<p>Leadership role in managing and supporting strategic initiatives at Subject, School/RI and/or College levels.</p> <p>Membership of appointment, assessment or advisory committees at other HEIs.</p> <p>Significant contribution to the University's international profile through development of partnerships in education, research and clinical practice, or through sustained track record of external engagement.</p> <p>Evidence of agenda-setting in research and clinical innovation through, e.g. participation/advisory role in professional, government or industrial bodies, or through effective links with the user community (e.g. through advisory and clinical bodies).</p> <p>Evidence of effective links with research funders, e.g. through membership of Research Council peer-review panel or equivalent roles.</p> <p>Sustained collaboration with external partners, evidenced by contract licence, consultancy, income or other formal collaborative agreement, including protecting the IP of research activities, spin-out formation, or involvement in social enterprise or NGO.</p> <p>Member of organising committee of a national conference of >100 participants attracted to, and held in, Glasgow (not necessarily on-campus).</p>	<p>Significant leadership and management responsibilities for shaping the future of the relevant Institute/School/College including clinical services.</p> <p>Appointment as external assessor for professional positions in other HEIs.</p> <p>Leadership of a major cross-University initiative in research, teaching, impact, clinical service, entrepreneurship and/or growth of international partnerships.</p> <p>Significant contribution to the leadership of activities and outcomes of a UofG strategic research/impact initiative (e.g. Research Beacon, research centre, innovation districts/enterprise zones).</p> <p>Evidenced role as an international opinion leader in the field, e.g. through being key advisor to national or international academic, clinical or industrial/enterprise communities, with evidence of influence.</p> <p>Member of organising committee of an international conference of >100 participants attracted to, and held in, Glasgow (not necessarily on-campus).</p>	<p>Member of a UKRI policy team (or equivalent, including major trusts and foundations).</p> <p>Leadership of the development of policy at national level.</p> <p>REF panellist and/or equivalent major public service to research.</p> <p>Accountability and sustained success (as measured by e.g. KPIs) in the implementation of long-term strategic developments at School, Institute, College or University levels with significant internal/external impact.</p> <p>Sustained track record of having major role in regulatory, advisory and/or professional bodies allied to practitioners and service providers in any relevant sectors.</p> <p>Leadership of the innovation agenda e.g. by creating opportunities offered by the innovation districts/enterprise zones at both local and national level.</p> <p>Member of organising committee of major international conference (of >1,000 participants) attracted to, and held in, Glasgow (not necessarily on-campus).</p>	<p>Member of a UKRI Council (or equivalent).</p> <p>Leadership of the development of policy at international levels.</p> <p>REF panel chair and/or equivalent major public service to research.</p> <p>Key advisor to international non-academic agencies or communities, including companies, governments, public sector bodies, and cultural organisations.</p> <p>Chair of organising committee of major international conference (of >1,000 participants) attracted to, and held in, Glasgow (not necessarily on-campus).</p>

SECTION F: ESTEEM	ZONE 1	ZONE 2 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 3 (building on the previous zone)	ZONE 4 (building on the previous zone)
	<p>In demonstrating performance in the esteem criteria, applicants should ensure that they also mention how they have demonstrated collegiality and excellence.</p> <p>Excellence is intrinsically reflected in the peer-reviewed recognition of quality by an external body.</p> <p>Collegiality is exemplified by the support of colleagues (especially those who are at earlier career stages) through prize/medal nominations, or facilitating the appointment of colleagues to external bodies (e.g. societies and committees).</p>			
	<p>Fellowship of subject-specific society.</p> <p>Track record of invited talks at international conferences and/or UK HEIs.</p> <p>Reviewer for international research bodies.</p> <p>Visiting UK academic appointments.</p>	<p>Committee chairpersonship within subject-specific society.</p> <p>A track record of plenary/keynote talks at major international conferences.</p> <p>Membership of editorial board of leading journal(s) or book series.</p> <p>Membership of education or training committees of professional societies and/or public bodies.</p> <p>Visiting international academic appointments.</p>	<p>Elected to Fellowship of major non-subject-specific society, e.g. Royal Society of Edinburgh or equivalent.</p> <p>Delivery of distinguished named lectures/lecture series.</p> <p>Winner of medals/prizes from national bodies.</p> <p>Presidency of major subject-specific scholarly society.</p> <p>Chair of editorial board of leading journal(s) or book series.</p>	<p>Fellowship of the major national Academy e.g. British Academy, Royal Society, or equivalent international academy.</p> <p>Winner of academic medals/prizes from international bodies.</p> <p>Presidency of major scholarly society with significant international profile.</p>