- ✓ Assessement criteria are weighted
- Comment that blind review, with any reviewer with a conflict of interest replaced by another panel member
- Scores are added up and normalised for the number of reviewers (either 3 or 4, but mostly 4).
- Almost everyone asked for the full amount (£25k)

- **Competitive process, where excellence is funded.**
- The application, although short, needs referenced as one would submit to a RC
- ✓ In weaker applications, the aims may not be sufficiently explicitly aligned with the purpose of the network (statistics to address the challenges in living with environmental change).
- ☑ EPSRC-funded network → more emphasis is expected on the aims synergistic with EPSRC aims, namely here the need for statistical analysis. Environmental science alone is not strongly aligned.
- In weaker applications, excellence can be poorly conveyed e.g. the statistical development needed and / or the novelty of the statistical tools applied are not clear
- If the research need arises from on-going or recently completed research it should be <u>very clear</u> why this need is new and independent of the other activity, including why it cannot be carried out in the other activity.