

Empowering students through a school-based, embedded approach to learning development support

Deborah O'Neill, Dr Jane McKay, Dr Stephanie McKendry, Dr Lina Petrakieva

Learning Development Centre, School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University

Deborah.Oneill@gcu.ac.uk

Glasgow Caledonian University

- Formed in 1993 with merger of Glasgow Polytechnic and Queen's College, Glasgow.
- 5th largest in Scotland in terms of student recruitment.
- Student population of over 17,000
- 3 Academic Schools

Student demographics (full time, undergraduate population 2010/2011)



Devoived	Isupport	Central support			
Pros	Cons	Pros	Cons		
Linked to curriculum	Potential silo behaviourDifficult to see the bigger picture; missing opportunities for collaborationAny others?	Cross-pollination of ideas Broader perspective allows for dissemination of good practice Graduate attributes enhancement	 Too generic Difficult to see if a particular good practice is applicable to a specific programme Opt-in 		
Targeted, timely support tailored to specific assessment practices Subject-specific		Potential to develop transferable skills outside the curriculum	Challenge to engage staff and students with activities that are not immediately relevant to studies		
Examples, language, skills aligned to discipline and profession (e.g. evidence based practice for healthcare students)		Anonymity / Distance from Academic staff Can encourage engagement	Reactive Limited opportunities to develop staff and service		
Longitudinal Embedding within programmes allows year-on-year continuous development and contact		 Better use of resources Can potentially support wider body of students when staffing levels low Any others? 	RemedialService can be viewed as remedial and stigmatising by both staff and studentsLack of integration		
Collaborative Closer links with staff and greater potential for staff engagement			Difficulty maintaining coordination with academic schools/departments		
Inclusive Normalises learning development as part of the curriculum and promotes learning to learn with HE			Any others?		
Cross-specialism Staff with different roles can learn from each other and collaborate in project work and research					

Devolved	l support	Central support			
ros	Cons	Pros	Cons		
olistic aff have awareness of the wider context f the programme and student population	Potential silo behaviour Difficult to see the bigger picture; missing opportunities for collaboration	Cross-pollination of ideas Broader perspective allows for dissemination of good practice	 Too generic Difficult to see if a particular good practice is applicable to a specific programme 		
nked to curriculum argeted, timely support tailored to pecific assessment practices ubject-specific	Any others?	Graduate attributes enhancement Potential to develop transferable skills outside the curriculum	Opt-in Challenge to engage staff and students with activities that are not immediately relevant to studies		
camples, language, skills aligned to scipline and profession (e.g. evidence ased practice for healthcare students)		Anonymity / Distance from Academic staff Can encourage engagement	Reactive Limited opportunities to develop staff and service		
ongitudinal mbedding within programmes allows ear-on-year continuous development nd contact		Better use of resources Can potentially support wider body of students when staffing levels low	Remedial Service can be viewed as remedial and stigmatising by both staff and students		
ollaborative oser links with staff and greater otential for staff engagement		Any others?	Lack of integration Difficulty maintaining coordination with academic schools/departments		
ormalises learning development as part f the curriculum and promotes learning o learn with HE			Any others?		
ross-specialism aff with different roles can learn from ach other and collaborate in project ork and research					

- 62% female (82% within School of Health & Life Sciences)
- 35% 21 or over (45% within School of Health & Life Sciences)
- 37% live in areas classified in bottom two quintiles of the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD)





It is entirely possible for central provision to be embedded, enhancement-based, tailored and longitudinal. Equally, devolved models can be remedial, reactive and generic.

But, we believe the opportunity to nurture the advantages of embedding and avoid the drawbacks of 'bolt-on' are greatest with a School-based approach.

Learning Development Centre



ICT Skills Tutor

Academic Development Tutors



Admin











ADT lead in each of the following: International students, Articulating students, Students with Disability, Pre-entry and transition support, Research and scholarly activities

What Works? Conceptual Model of Student Engagement







Beyond HE

Note taking in lectures and active	Becoming an	Conventions of	Written	Exam	Reflective	Critical	Literature	Research writing and
participation in classes	independent learner	academic writing	assessments	skills	writing	appraisal	reviews	structuring dissertation

	Following restructuring at a modern university, learning support has been embedded within Learning Development Centres (LDCs) in each School. LDCs are
,	staffed by Academic Development Tutors (ADTs) and ICT Skills Tutors and are designed to provide tailored, enhancement-led support to learners throughout
	the student life cycle, from initial transitions and learning to learn in HE skills through to graduate attributes and postgraduate research and writing skills.

Word Processing IT Induction—logging on, Word Presentations Analysing Data— Information Professional requirements Web 2.0 tools for Poster **E-Portfolios** email, accessing VLE Excel, SPSS for Dissertations Searching and systems - Cleanliness **Blended Learning** Processing preparation Champions, ICT Baseline and presentation

References

Pre-entry

Beard, C., Clegg, S. & Smith, K. (2007) 'Acknowledging the affective in higher education', British Educational Research Journal, Vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 235-252. Christie, H., Tett, L. Cree, V., Hounsell, J. & McCune, V. (2008) 'A real rollercoaster of confidence and emotions': learning to be a university student', Studies in Higher Education, Vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 567-581. Lea, M. (2004) 'Academic literacies: a pedagogy for course design', Studies in Higher Education, Vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 739-756. Wingate, U. (2006) 'Doing away with 'study skills'', Teaching in Higher Education, Vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 457-469