



Finding solutions to challenging and complex Cochrane reviews:

the National Institute for Health Research Complex Reviews Support Unit (NIHR CRSU)

Department of Health Disclaimer:

The views and opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health

Outline

- NIHR CRSU
- Supporting complex reviews
- A Cochrane network meta-analysis: early mobilisation after stroke
- An app for network meta-analysis MetaInsight
- Network meta-analysis of complex interventions Component Analysis
- Further complex reviews issues

NIHR CRSU - Complex Reviews Support Unit

About us

The Team Apply for CRSU Support Materials and Guidance Courses and Conferences FAQs Contact us



About us NIHR CRSU: Supporting Successful Delivery of Complex Reviews



The Team



Apply for CRSU Support Submit an application for support to CRSU



Materials and Guidance Materials and links to current guidance

website: www.nihrcrsu.org

twitter: @NIHRCRSU

Our Expertise

- Network meta-analysis
- Diagnostic test accuracy reviews Use of routine data
- Individual participant data meta Non-randomised studies analysis
- Narrative synthesis
- Realist synthesis

- Economic evidence

- Prognostic reviews
- Prevalence reviews

Supporting Cochrane Reviews – Advice and Training

- Reviewers
- Review Groups
- Incentive Awards
- Programme Grants
- Editorial Teams

- Refining review questions
- Consideration of types of data and data structure
- Methodological approaches
- Applications, protocols and reporting

Complex Reviews in Hepato-Biliary Disorders

Priority topics in the diagnosis and management of liver, gallbladder, and biliary tract disorders

Kurinchi Gurusamy and colleagues

- Series of reviews of treatment for different indications
- Complex interventions life-style modification
- Multiple treatment comparisons (network meta-analysis)

Complex Reviews in Oral Health

Detection and diagnosis of dental caries

Richard Macey and colleagues

- Variation in thresholds
- Imperfect reference standards
- Multiple examiners
- Multiple measurements per person



Complex Reviews in COPD

Priority topics:

- Prognostic factors at EoL
- Use of weather forecasting/pollution monitoring
- Care pathways for multi-morbidity
- Prophylactic antibiotics

Rebecca Normansell and colleagues

- Complex questions
- Utility of the review
- Feasibility of quantitative synthesis
- Multiple treatment comparisons (network meta-analysis)





NIHR CRSU - Complex Reviews Support Unit

About us

Contact us

The Team

Apply for CRSU Support

Materials and Guidance

Courses and Conferences

FAQs

Contact us

Project Manager

NIHR Complex Reviews Support Unit (CRSU)

1 Lilybank Gardens

University of Glasgow

Glasgow

Moira Sim

G12 8RZ

Telephone: 0141 330 6826

E-mail: moira.sim@glasgow.ac.uk





Website: <u>www.nihrcrsu.org</u>
> Twitter: @NIHRCRSU

Early mobilisation after stroke: Meta-analysis approaches

Peter Langhorne, Professor of stroke care, **Glasgow University**









National Institute for

Health Research

Scotland



Limited evidence for individual components of stroke unit care





Figure 3: Key components of stroke-unit care

Langhorne et al Lancet Neurol (2012)

Limited evidence for individual components of stroke unit care





Figure 3: Key components of stroke-unit care

Langhorne et al Lancet Neurol (2012)

Lurope

15TL1709_Bernhardt Articles

THELANCET-D-15-01709 50140-6736(15)60690-0 Embargo: April 17, 2015-00:01 (BST) GOLD OA CC BY-NC-ND

ZN This version saved: 12:43, 13- Apr-19

oa

Efficacy and safety of very early mobilisation within 24 h of **₽®∿** stroke onset (AVERT): a randomised controlled trial

The AVERT Trial Collaboration group*

Summary

Background Early mobilisation after stroke is thought to contribute to the effects of stroke-unit care; however, the Published Online intervention is poorly defined and not underpinned by strong evidence. We aimed to compare the effectiveness of April 17, 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ frequent, higher dose, very early mobilisation with usual care after stroke. 50140-6736(15)60690-0

See Online/Comme Methods We did this parallel-group, single-blind, randomised controlled trial at 56 acute stroke units in five countries. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/Pil Patients (aged ≥18 years) with ischaemic or haemorrhagic stroke, first or recurrent, who met physiological criteria were randomly assigned (1:1), via a web-based computer generated block randomisation procedure (block size of six), *Members listed in the append to receive usual stroke-unit care alone or very early mobilisation in addition to usual care. Randomisation was correspondence to: stratified by study site and stroke severity. Patients, outcome assessors, and investigators involved in trial and data Prof Julie Bernhardt, The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and management were masked to treatment allocation. The primary outcome was a favourable outcome 3 months after Mental Health, Austin Campus stroke, defined as a modified Rankin Scale score of 0-2. We did analysis on an intention-to-treat basis. The trial is Heidelberg, VIC 3084, Australia registered with the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry, number ACTRN12606000185561. Julie.bernhardt@florey.edu.au See Online for appendt

Findings Between July 18, 2006, and Oct 16, 2014, we randomly assigned 2104 patients to receive either very early mobilisation (n=1054) or usual care (n=1050); 2083 (99%) patients were included in the 3 month follow-up assessment. 965 (92%) patients were mobilised within 24 h in the very early mobilisation group compared with 623 (59%) patients in the usual care group. Fewer patients in the very early mobilisation group had a favourable outcome than those in the usual care group (n=480 [46%] vs n=525 [50%]; adjusted odds ratio [OR] 0.73, 95% CI 0.59-0.90; p=0.004). 88 (8%) patients died in the very early mobilisation group compared with 72 (7%) patients in the usual care group (OR 1-34, 95% CI 0-93-1-93, p=0-113). 201 (19%) patients in the very early mobilisation group and 208 (20%) of those in the usual care group had a non-fatal serious adverse event, with no reduction in immobility-related complications with very early mobilisation.

Interpretation First mobilisation took place within 24 h for most patients in this trial. The higher dose, very early mobilisation protocol was associated with a reduction in the odds of a favourable outcome at 3 months. Early mobilisation after stroke is recommended in many clinical practice guidelines worldwide, and our findings should affect clinical practice by refining present guidelines; however, clinical recommendations should be informed by future analyses of dose-response associations

Funding National Health and Medical Research Council, Singapore Health, Chest Heart and Stroke Scotland, Northern Ireland Chest Heart and Stroke, UK Stroke Association, National Institute of Health Research.

Copyright © Bernhardt et al. Open Access article distributed under the terms of CC BY-NC-ND

2104 participants recruited

56 centres (5 countries)

2006 - 2014

Complete follow up 2083 (99%)

Australasia



a





Primary outcome:

Dead or disabled (mRS 3-6) at 3 months

Secondary outcomes:

Death by 3 months

Exploratory analyses:

Time to first mobilisation (TTFM)



What is Very Early Mobilisation (VEM)?



- Out of bed mobilisation within 48 hours of stroke
- Aimed to reduce time to first mobilisation (TTFM)
 - with or without an increase in the amount or frequency of mobilisation activities
- Compared with usual care
 - time to first mobilisation commenced later
- Interventions provided by a physiotherapist <u>+</u> nurse team
- Protocol/training provided to staff



Across all the trials in the Cochrane review:

Group	Median (range) TTFM
Very early mobilisation (VEM)	18 (13 - 43) hours
Usual care (UC)	33 (23 - 72) hours
Within trial difference (UC – VEM)	13 (4 - 46) hours

Trials of very early mobilisation

Trial	Aim	Early mobilisation TTFM (hours)	Usual Care TTFM (hours)	I V	Average amount of mobilisation activity
AKEMIS 2012	Earlier	13.1 (8.5-25.6)	33.3 (26.0-39.0)	Not stated	Not stated
AVERT II 2008	Earlier & more	18.1 (12.8-21.5)	30.8 (23.0-39.9)	2 vs 0	167 vs 69 mins mobilisation activity
AVERT III 2015	Earlier & more	18.5 (12.8-22.3)	22.4 (16.5-29.3)	6.5 vs 3	31 vs 10 mins per day of mobilisation activity
Glasgow 2010	Earlier & more	27.3 (26.0-29.0)	32.0 (22.5-47.3)	Not stated	More EM patients (P=0.02) achieved standing or walking
Mangalore 2015	a Earlier & more	18 (16.6-19.8)	30.5 (29.0-35.0)	Not stated	Extra 5-30 mins per day of out of bed activity
Mangalore 2015b	Earlier & more	Same as 2015a	Same as 2015a	Same as 2015a	Same as 2015a
Porto Allegre 2015	Earlier & more	43	72	0.54 vs 0.03	Extra 30 mins per day of out of bed activity
Rome 2016	Earlier	<24	96	Not stated	60 mins per day for first 4 days
SEVEL 2016	Earlier	25.9 (22.5-29.3)	71.5 (68.1-74.9)	Not stated	83.7 vs 56.6 mins per day

Trials of very early mobilisation

Trial	Aim	Early mobilisation TTFM (hours)	Usual Care TTFM (hours)		Average amount of mobilisation activity
AKEMIS 2012	Earlier	13.1 (8.5-25.6)	33.3 (26.0-39.0)	Not stated	Not stated
AVERT II 2008	Earlier & more	18.1 (12.8-21.5)	30.8 (23.0-39.9)	2 vs 0	167 vs 69 mins mobilisation activity
AVERT III 2015	Earlier & more	18.5 (12.8-22.3)	22.4 (16.5-29.3)	6.5 vs 3	31 vs 10 mins per day of mobilisation activity
Glasgow 2010	Earlier & more	27.3 (26.0-29.0)	32.0 (22.5-47.3)	Not stated	More EM patients (P=0.02) achieved standing or walking
Mangalore 2015a	a Earlier & more	18 (16.6-19.8)	30.5 (29.0-35.0)	Not stated	Extra 5-30 mins per day of out of bed activity
Mangalore 2015b	Earlier & more	Same as 2015a	Same as 2015a	Same as 2015a	Same as 2015a
Porto Allegre 2015	Earlier & more	43	72	0.54 vs 0.03	Extra 30 mins per day of out of bed activity
Rome 2016	Earlier	<24	96	Not stated	60 mins per day for first 4 days
SEVEL 2016	Earlier	25.9 (22.5-29.3)	71.5 (68.1-74.9)	Not stated	83.7 vs 56.6 mins per day









Poorer outcome (dead or disabled at 3 months)



Poorer outcome (dead or disabled at 3 months)

Early mobilisation after stroke



- Very early (<24 hours) higher dose out of bed activity protocol <u>reduced</u> the odds of favourable outcome
- 2. Exploratory analysis suggests no TTFM was better than 24 hours
- 3. Guideline advice seems appropriate at present: "Patients with difficulty moving early after stroke who are medically stable should be offered frequent, short daily mobilisations typically beginning between 24 and 48 hours of stroke onset"
- 4. Work in progress!



for analyzing, interrogating and visualizing network meta-analyses

Metalnsight: an interactive web-based tool

Rhiannon K Owen

UNIVERSITY OF

LEICESTER

Acknowledgements: Naomi Bradbury, Nicola Cooper, Alex Sutton

Biostatistics Research Group, Department of Health Sciences



Continuous Data

Continuous Meta-analysis



Rhiannon K Owen, Naomi Bradbury, Nicola Cooper, Alex Sutton



Metalnsight demonstration

If you would like to follow the demo on your own device please scan the QR code or go to:

https://crsu.shinyapps.io/netainsightc/

Biostatistics Research Group, Department of Health Sciences





NIHR CRSU

Complex Reviews Support Unit

Component Network

Meta-Analysis

The Complex Reviews Support Unit (CRSU) is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (project number 14/178/29)

Department of Health Disclaimer:

The views and opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health

Background

 May 2016 - Meta-analysis published in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews identifying better postoperative outcomes (e.g. reduced length of stay in hospital, lower pain, reducing negative emotion) for patients who received any psychological preparation (strategies designed to influence thoughts, feelings or actions) compared to usual care Cochrane



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Psychological preparation and postoperative outcomes for adults undergoing surgery under general anaesthesia (Review)

Powell R. Scott NW, Manyande A, Bruce J, Vögele C, Byrne-Davis LMT, Unsworth M, Osmer C, Johnston M

What is Psychological Preparation?

- Can be considered as the intervention received by patients prior to surgery to help prepare them for surgery and minimise length of stay, pain and negative affect
- Psychological preparation can consist of multiple components:
 - Procedural information (What, when and how events will occur)
 - Sensory information (What it will feel/smell like)
 - Behavioural instruction (Teaching patients actions to perform to enhance the experience)
 - Cognitive intervention (To change how an individual thinks)
 - Relaxation (including hypnosis)
 - Emotion-focused techniques (To help an individual manage their feelings)

What did they do in the Cochrane review?

Wanted to answer the question:

"What is the effect of psychological preparation on postoperative outcomes in adults undergoing elective surgery under general anaesthetic?"



Assumptions in the Cochrane review?

• All intervention arms were assumed to be equally effective irrespective of which treatment components were administered



Assumptions:

- The effect of each component of intervention is the same
- The effect of a single component is the same as a combination of components

Additional Questions for NMA

- Which individual components of psychological preparation before surgery are associated with better outcomes?
- Are components more effective when delivered on their own or in combination?
- Are component effects associated with control group risk? (i.e. Does length of stay in the control group affect length of stay in the intervention group?)
- Are component effects associated with type of surgery?

Modelling Component Effects (1)

Let *d* represent the treatment effect, *b* the baseline treatment and *k* the intervention

Component effects can be accounted for by varying the assumption for

 d_{bk}

Pairwise Meta-Analysis (any intervention vs no intervention)

 $d_{bk} = d$ **Network Meta-Analysis** (each unique combination of interventions treated as a separate 'treatment')

$$d_{bk} = d_{bk}$$

Modelling Component Effects (2)

Component NMA models

• Additive effects: separates out the component effects $d_{bk} = d_P + d_S + d_B + d_C + d_R + d_E$

where d_P is an indicator variable taking the value 1 if P is a component of treatment k and 0 otherwise

• **Pairwise interactions between components**: allows the effect of a combination to be greater/less than the sum of its individual components

$$d_{bk} = d_P + d_S + d_B + d_C + d_R + d_E + d_{PS} + d_{PB} + \dots + d_{RE}$$
Modelling Component Effects (3)

- Study level covariates:
 - Control group risk
 - Type of surgery
- Software
 - All models fitted in WinBUGS
- Comparison of models
 - using the Deviance Information Criteria (DIC) but clinical expertise also sought to ensure appropriate model selected



P = procedural information, S = sensory information, B = behavioural instruction, C = cognitive intervention, R = relaxation techniques, E = emotion-focused intervention

Length of Stay

- 35 trials including four three-arm trials and two four-arm trials
- 18 interventions
- Continuous outcome number of days in hospital
- All trials had a 'no intervention' control arm
- Cochrane review identified any intervention reduces length of stay by 0.52 days (95% CrI: -0.82, -0.22)

Length of Stay Forest Plot

MD (95% CI) Intervention Author Cunado Barrio 1999 P -6.00 (-9.95, -2.05) Beaupre 2004 В -0.60 (-1.46, 0.26) В Bergin 2014 -0.20 (-0.43, 0.03) Bitterli 2011 В 0.00 (-1.19, 1.19) -1.82 (-3.38, -0.26) Chaudhri 2005 В В D'Lima 1996 0.12 (-0.65, 0.88) Hulzebos 2006a В -1.99 (-5.41, 1.43) Oosting 2012 В -0.30 (-1.48, 0.88) R Ashton 1997 1.80 (-0.86, 4.46) R -0.80 (-3.04, 1.44) Leserman 1989 Levin 1987 R -0.81 (-2.69, 1.07) P+S -0.08 (-1.18, 1.03) Daltrov 1998 Doering 2000 P+S 0.30 (-0.87, 1.47) P+B Crowe 2003 -3.95 (-7.57, -0.33) P+B -0.09 (-1.02, 0.84) Fortin 1976 P+B -3.00 (-4.99, -1.01) McGregor 2004 P+B 0.92 (-0.12, 1.96) Shuldham 2002 P+B Zieren 2007 0.00 (-0.78, 0.78) Lam 2001 -1.00 (-1.80, -0.20) S+B Watt-Watson 2000 B+C 0.46 (-0.22, 1.13) Watt-Watson 2004 B+C 0.20 (-0.72, 1.12) Rajendran 1998 -6.20 (-9.42, -2.98) B+R Lindeman 1973 P+S+B 0.05 (-0.83, 0.93) Zhang 2012 P+S+B -2.10 (-2.92, -1.28) Mahler 1995 P+S+C -1.00 (-2.16, 0.15) Schmitt 1973 P+B+E 0.00 (-1.65, 1.65) P+C+R Furze 2009 -0.67 (-1.76, 0.42) Lin 2005 S+B+E -0.01 (-3.22, 3.20) Giraudet 2003 P+S+B+E 0.20 (-0.76, 1.16) Mahler 1998 P+S+B/P+S+C -0.96 (-1.11, -0.80) Ridgeway 1982 C/P+S 1.50 (-0.09, 3.10) Ziemer 1982 S/S+B+C+R -0.96 (-2.34, 0.42) Felton 1976 C/P+S+B -2.03 (-3.59, -0.46) -0.98 (-1.74, -0.23) Wilson 1981 R/P+S/P+S+R Langer 1975 C/P+S/P+S+C -1.24 (-2.98, 0.50) NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis -9.95 0 9.95

Length of Stay – Additive Effects

Intervention	Mean (95% Credible Interval)
Control group risk (centered on mean of 9 days)	-0.098 (-0.157, -0.043)
Procedural information (P)	-0.308 (-1.022, 0.360)
Sensory information (S)	-0.313 (-1.004, 0.439)
Behavioural instruction (B)	-0.561 (-1.047, -0.111)
Cognitive intervention (C)	-0.444 (-1.106, 0.214)
Relaxation techniques (R)	-0.368 (-1.154, 0.412)
Emotion-focused techniques (E)	1.296 (-0.028, 2.700)

For every one day increase in control group length of stay, the length of stay in the intervention arm is reduced by 0.1 days

Effect of type of surgery on length of stay



Most effective component dependent on type of surgery

Simultaneous assessment across outcomes



Summary

- For all three outcomes the additive effects model was most appropriate
 - No important pairwise interactions between components were identified for any outcome
- Reduction in length of stay and negative emotion were associated with control group risk
- Procedural information, sensory information and behavioural instruction may reduce length of stay but this depends on type of surgery
- Relaxation effective at reducing pain
- No single component identified as most effective across all three outcomes

Limitations

- We only assessed pairwise interactions and the model may have been underpowered to identify pairwise interactions as there were few studies evaluating each component
 - There may be interactions between three or more components but too few studies to evaluate
- We assumed consistency between the direct and the indirect evidence but were unable to test this assumption
- Between-study heterogeneity only partly explained by inclusion of control group risk as a covariate
 - Is an additive scale inappropriate?
 - Could a ratio scale be better?

Conclusions

- Component network meta-analysis added value to an existing metaanalysis
 - Allowed us to answer more clinically relevant questions regarding effectiveness of individual components
- Component network meta-analysis could be more widely used in systematic reviews involving complex interventions
- This approach could be utilised when considering cost-effectiveness more intensive interventions may be justified on cost-effectiveness grounds for certain types of surgery

Ongoing Projects in Component NMA

- Cochrane Tobacco Addiction
 - Behavioural interventions for smoking cessation
- Cochrane Dementia & Cognitive Improvement
 - Non-pharmacological interventions for preventing delirium in hospitalised non-ICU patients

References

Freeman SC, Scott NW, Powell R, Johnston M, Sutton AJ, Cooper NJ. Component network meta-analysis identifies the most effective components of psychological preparation for adults undergoing surgery under general anesthesia. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2018 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.02.012</u>

Powell R, Scott NW, Manyande A, et al. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 26 MAY 2016 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008646.pub2 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD008646.pub2/full#CD008646

Welton NJ, Caldwell DM, Adamopoulos E, Vedhara K. Mixed treatment comparison meta-analysis of complex interventions: psychological interventions in coronary heart disease. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009:169 (9);1158-1165



NIHR CRSU

Complex Reviews Support Unit

Further Topics

The Complex Reviews Support Unit (CRSU) is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (project number 14/178/29)

Department of Health Disclaimer:

The views and opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health

OUTLINE

- ✓ Network meta-analysis
- Diagnostic Test Accuracy Reviews
 - DTA-MA App https://crsu.shinyapps.io/dta_ma/
- Individual patient data
- Prognostic Reviews
- Sequential analysis
- Using evidence synthesis to help inform the next study
- Non-statistical synthesis of qualitative data
- How can the CRSU help your group

Diagnostic Test Accuracy Meta-analysis



Diagnostic Test Accuracy Meta-Analysis



Tuesday 18th September 11:00 – 11:10 Carrick 3, OS43 **'An interactive web application to aid diagnostic test accuracy metaanalysis'**

https://crsu.shinyapps.io/dta_ma/

Suzanne Freeman, Clareece Kerby, Nicola Cooper, Alex Sutton

For feedback/questions about this app please contact suzanne.freeman@leicester.ac.uk App powered by Rshiny with statistical analyses performed using the package Ime4: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Ime4

Individual Patient Data (IPD)

- Desirable
- Can greatly improve power and reliability of patient level covariate (e.g. subgroup) analyses
- IPD models for pairwise meta-analysis and NMA possible
- IPD diagnostic test models evolving
- Often not possible to obtain IPD from all relevant studies
 - Methods to use IPD where available and summary data otherwise exist

Prognostic Reviews

- First Cochrane pilots / exemplar reviews underway
- Under developed area
- Seek guidance from Cochrane Prognostic Review Methods Group in the first instance
- CRSU has some, but not extensive, experience

Trial Sequential Analysis (TSA)

- TSA used to adjust meta-analysis for multiple looks at the data when a M-A is updated
 - Similar to interim analysis in a single clinical trial
 - Crudely, the effect will be to make p-values less "significant"



Using evidence synthesis to help inform the next study

- Related to TSA is the issue of how a meta-analysis should inform the design of future studies
 - Including comparators & sample size
- Would you be comfortable with a £1 million trial going ahead that had 0 chance of changing the conclusion of an existing meta-analysis?
 - When there is some heterogeneity this is very possible(!)
- Should Cochrane reviews place more emphasis here and play an active role in Evidence Based Research?
 "Inform the future as well as summarise the past"

Narrative synthesis of qualitative data

- Textually describing the overall effect noting variations in study characteristics, implementation, etc.
- Synthesis involved bringing data together at some level
 more than simply summarising one study at a time
- Used when high level of heterogeneity contra-indicate meta-analysis
 - Not just when statistical heterogeneity other sources include study design, conceptual (intervention, outcome, context, population)
- Identifies patterns and explanations for variation in effects
 - E.g. what works for who, in what circumstances
- Workshops on this over the last couple of days by Hilary Thomson and others.

How can CRSU help your group

Archie

Cochrane Community Cochrane Library D Feedback LOG OUT

izer	r Resources Search	_				Quick Search:			_
Т	Tools Favourites Help					Dementia and	Cognitive I	Ter Improver	men
		à +	ll 🗆	Title 🔺	Â	Contact Person	Rev No	• •	S
Ē	In 11C-PIB-PET for the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease dementia and other dementias in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) (DTA 17)	/	. .	Active 182					
Ē	🗄 🙀 18F PET with florbetaben for the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease dementia and other dementias in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI)			11C-PIB-PET for the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's	di 🕁	Zhang, Shuo	DTA 17	• •	С
	🗄 👩 18F PET with florbetapir for the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease dementia and other dementias in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI)			18F PET with florbetaben for the early diagnosis of A	AI: 🚠	Martínez, Gabriel		•	
E	🗑 🚺 18F PET with flutemetamol for the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease dementia and other dementias in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI)	- 1		18F PET with florbetapir for the early diagnosis of Al	izi 📩	Martínez, Gabriel		•	
	6 18F-FDG PET for the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease dementia and other dementias in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) (DTA 23)			18F PET with flutemetamol for the early diagnosis of 18F-FDG PET for the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's		Martínez, Gabriel Sachpekidis, Christ	DTA 00	•	2
	🗄 🚯 AD-8 for diagnosis of dementia across a variety of healthcare settings			Acetyl-I-carnitine for dementia	•	Hudson, Sheila A			$\frac{1}{2}$
				Acupuncture for vascular dementia	X	Peng, Weina	100		2
E	G Acupuncture for vascular dementia		ΗH	AD-8 for diagnosis of dementia across a variety of h	iei 🐥	Quinn, Terry J		ě (С
	Aerobic exercise to improve cognitive function in older people without known cognitive impairment (128)		Π	Aerobic exercise to improve cognitive function in old	iei 🔬	Young, Jeremy	128	• (С
	B 🚯 Almitrine-Raubasine combination for dementia			Almitrine-Raubasine combination for dementia	*	Yang, Weimin		• (С
	Alpha lippic acid for dementia (139)			Alpha lipoic acid for dementia		Klugman, Anthony	139	•	_
	∴			Antidepressants for agitation and psychosis in deme	n 🚊	Seitz, Dallas P Dudas, Robert	24		2
	∴ C Antidepressants for tighting depression in dementia (24)			Antidepression in dementia	ar 🕹	Quinn, Terry J	24		5
	C Antihypertensive withdrawal for the prevention of cognitive decline	- 1		Antipsychotics for treatment of delirium in hospitalise		Burry, Lisa			ň
			H	Antithrombotic therapy to prevent cognitive decline in		Kwan, Joseph SK			ŏ
			Π	Aromatherapy for dementia	÷	Gray, Amy	56	• 0	Ś
	M Antithrombotic therapy to prevent cognitive decline in people with small vessel disease on neuroimaging but without dementia A statement of the s			Art therapy for people with dementia	*	Deshmukh, Sunita		• •	С
	In Aromatherapy for dementia (56)			Aspirin and anti-inflammatory drugs for the prevention	m 🕁	Jordan, Fionnuala		• •	С
	Art therapy for people with dementia			Aspirin for vascular dementia	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\sim}$	Rands, Gianetta	33	•	
	In the spirin and anti-inflammatory drugs for the prevention of dementia			Aspirin, steroidal and non-steroidal anti-inflammator		Jaturapatporn, Dari Van der Roest, Her			
	Aspirin for vascular dementia (33)			Assistive technology for memory support in demena Atypical antipsychotics for agitation and psychosis in		Köpke, Sascha		-	
	Aspirin, steroidal and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease (119)			Benzodiazepines for delirium		Shang, Hong Cai	5	-	õ
	Assistive technology for memory support in dementia		H	Benzodiazepines for treatment of delirium in non-ICI	υÂ	Shang, Hong Cai		i c	õ
	Applical antipsychotics for agitation and psychosis in Alzheimer's disease		IП	Cannabinoids for the treatment of Alzhemer's demen	nt 🚠	Krishnan, Sarada	115	• (C
	G Benzodiazepines for delirium (5)			Cannabinoids for the treatment of dementia	\$	Puljak, Livia			С
B				Carbohydrates for improving the cognitive performan	uc 🐺	e ei, eneen i eng	117	• •	С
Ę	E G CSF tau and the CSF tau/ABeta ratio for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease dementia and other dementias in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) (DTA 19)			Case management approaches to home support for Cerebrolysin for vascular dementia	P 🔆	Reilly, Siobhan He, Li			\sim
Ę	🗄 🕼 Cannabinoids for the treatment of Alzhemer's dementia and vascular dementia (115)			Cholinesterase inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease	X	Birks, Jacqueline S			2
Ē	🗄 🕼 Cannabinoids for the treatment of dementia			Cholinesterase inhibitors for delirium	X	Overshott, Ross	102	ě c	С
B	🗄 🙍 Carbohydrates for improving the cognitive performance of independent-living older adults with normal cognition or mild cognitive impairment (117)			Cholinesterase inhibitors for dementia with Lewy bo	di 🐥	Wild, Rebecca		ě	
Ē	🗄 🕼 Case management approaches to home support for people with dementia			Cholinesterase inhibitors for dementia with Lewy bo		Martínez, Gabriel		• •	С
E	🕂 🙀 Cerebrolysin for vascular dementia			Cholinesterase inhibitors for mild cognitive impairme	100	Russ, Tom C		• (С
B	🕂 🙀 Cholinesterase inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease			Cholinesterase inhibitors for Parkinson's disease de		Maidment, Ian		•	_
Đ	🕂 🙍 Cholinesterase inhibitors for Parkinson's disease dementia			Cholinesterase inhibitors for rarer dementias associated Cholinesterase inhibitors for the treatment of delirium	at 🙀	Dong, Bi Rong Zhang, Zongwang			С
F	🗄 🙀 Cholinesterase inhibitors for delirium (102)			Clinical judgement by primary care physicians for the		Creavin, Sam T		-	2
F	Cholinesterase inhibitors for dementia with Lewy bodies			Cognitive reframing for carers of people with demen		Graff, Maud JL	105	•	1
Ē	🗄 👩 Cholinesterase inhibitors for dementia with Lewy bodies, Parkinson's disease dementia and cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease		H	Cognitive stimulation to improve cognitive functionin		Woods, Bob		ě (С
	Cholinesterase inhibitors for mild cognitive impairment			Cognitive training and cognitive rehabilitation for old	ler 🐥	Bahar-Fuchs, Alex	118	• •	С
E	Cholinesterase inhibitors for rarer dementias associated with neurological conditions			Cognitive training for older people and people with n	nil 🕁	Eschen, Anne		• •	<u> </u>
	Cholinesterase inhibitors for the treatment of delirium in non-ICU settings			Cognitive training for people with mild to moderate d		Bahar-Fuchs, Alex		-	C
	Clinical judgement by primary care physicians for the diagnosis of all-cause dementia or cognitive impairment in symptomatic people			Cognitive training interventions for dementia and mil		Orgeta, Vasiliki Gates, Nicola J		-	0
	Cognitive reframing for carers of people with dementia (105)			Computerised cognitive training for maintaining cognitive training cognitive training cognitive training for maintaining cognitive training for maintaining cognitive training co		Gates, Nicola J		-	5
	Cognitive stimulation to improve cognitive functioning in people with dementia Cognitive stimulation to improve cognitive functioning in people with dementia			Computerised cognitive training for preventing deme	en 炎	Gates, Nicola J			õ
	Cognitive training and cognitive rehabilitation for older adults with mild to moderate dementia (118)		H	CSF tau and the CSF tau/ABeta ratio for the diagnost	si: 🔆	Ritchie, Craig	DTA 19		õ
				Cytidinediphosphocholine (CDP-choline) for cognitiv		Fioravanti, Mario		• •	Ó
	Cognitive training for order people and people with mild cognitive impairment Cognitive training for people with mild to moderate dementia			Dance movement therapy for dementia	*	Karkou, Vicky		•	
			□	D-cycloserine for Alzheimer's disease	$\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$	Jones, Roy	4	•	
- 6	🗄 🙍 Cognitive training interventions for dementia and mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson's Disease			Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) supplementation fi	or 🛧	Malouf, Reem	9	•	~



How can CRSU help your group



Studies needing updates

Donepezil for vascular dementia

Galantamine for vascular dementia

Rivastigmine for vascular dementia

Non-pharmacological (multi-component) interventions for managing delirium

IQCODE for detection of dementia

AD-8 for detection for dementia

Exercise interventions in dementia

How can CRSU help your group



Studies needing updates	Can CRSU help
Donepezil for vascular dementia	NMA of cholinesterase inhibitors in
Galantamine for vascular dementia	vascular dementia
Rivastigmine for vascular dementia	
Non-pharmacological (multi-component) interventions for managing delirium	Component NMA of non-pharm interventions
IQCODE for detection of dementia (x3)	Overview of informant tools for detection
AD-8 for detection for dementia	of dementia Comparative DTA
Exercise interventions in dementia	Implications for research – what size should the next study be

NIHR CRSU - Complex Reviews Support Unit

About us

The Team

FAQs

Contact us

Apply for CRSU Support

Materials and Guidance

Courses and Conferences

Contact us

Moira Sim

Project Manager

NIHR Complex Reviews Support Unit (CRSU)

1 Lilybank Gardens

University of Glasgow

Glasgow

G12 8RZ

Telephone: 0141 330 6826

E-mail: moira.sim@glasgow.ac.uk



Website: <u>www.nihrcrsu.org</u> <
> Twitter: @NIHRCRSU