

☑ Subscribe

7







2 days ago, 50 tweets, 16 min read <u>Mead on Twitter</u>

□Bookmark

☑ Save as PDF

#immaterial event is just about to begin in Kelvin Hall Lecture Theatre!





The aim of these <u>#immaterial</u> events is to explore how AR/VR/XR technologies and media intersect with research and practice embedded in material culture - <u>@RachelOpitz</u>

on what makes a place - we encounter one another in public, shared, social space - social encounters are a performance



the material character of the place conditions the performance of social encounters in it - so what happens when we introduce AR/VR/XR into the dynamic



- on what makes a place creating 3D world's allows one to recontextualise a place, can overlay reality and take advantage of what we have
- making meaning in VR don't want it to be instantly gettable if you view the city from the top of a building, while you feel you 'get' the place, in actuality it distances you from the city
- making place is not just what happens there, but also what happened there

Next focus - is VR focusing too much on the individual experience rather than shared?

- able to create an immersive experience with a single projector and dome to create a group experience, but the drive for VR is toward a consumer product
- focusing on the visual is exclusionary create medieval soundscapes for an embodied experience thinking multisensory, outside of the digital (cathedrals and stained glass an analogue AR), creating an opportunity for the unexpected, way to create an immersive exp
- shared experiences and performance part of the experience of travel is graffiti, inserting yourself into previous groups of travelers digitally lack of permanence and mark-making
- corporate abandonment plays a big part in the ephemeral nature and impermanence in engaging with the digital Geocities! Have to be honest about it, it isn't permanent
- like the idea of adding and making your mark in a digital space, allowing users to change the 'place', avoid imposing a master perspective/story
- an app isn't necessary for creating an experience created a guided walking tour for Dundee with a Gothic

Follow Us on Twitter!

)19	Thread by @3Dgroovanstones: " event is just about to begin in Kelvin Hall Lecture Theatre! The aim of these events is to explore how AR/VR/XR tech []" #immaterial end, told to make their mark with chalk on a wall
	Set enough narrative points, but allow users to fill in with their own story
	mixed media installation by GSA where digital features from West Calder traveled to other areas - when it returned to W. Calder, members of community brought in related content from their own personal experience
	VR needs to be challenging - there is a problem with ease of the commodification of a place and providing a simple narrative in historical places - AR would suit providing competing narratives
	We want to untailor information to challenge current narratives
	Should the goal then be co-production in AR?
	Agency very important - always concern for data privacy - but it can unlock people's creativity - when we engage with the 'other' we bring ourselves to that, requires users input/feedback from start to the end
	agrees strongly with the idea of co-production; not even restricted to AR/VR or digital - community groups can create content - obsession with accuracy by heritage bodies; process of production with community more important
	not just a problem in heritage, but also academia - potentially paradigm shift-inducing, community engaged projects give academics license to work more playfully, opens up new spaces to work in - need to be ethical, and be straightforward about how we change things
	as a maker - go on collective journey with others, AR/VR allows us to take these risks
	Our role as makers/practicioners/academics - what practices can we put into place to make this happen?
	you end up in a bubble of people thinking the same way - be aware of that, pull back, engage with different people and practice - self reflection required, but make cool things with cool people in the broadest sense
	Need to be open to collaborate in interesting ways - these are happening already - confusing at first, esp with terminology, need to spend time together at different workplaces to understand their different processes
	what might work in terms of process - when people engage with place, it's usually through something unpredictable - a found object or encounter - difficulty here is that they need to make choice to engage by downloadingnot replicable
	often struck by how previous practices are replicated, but using new technology.
	Location based soundscape, meant to be an experience, fundamental to project was that they were creating a tool for users to adapt - use your music to associate with certain places. Didn't happen, but what mattered would

- have been the output, not the tool itself.
- Don't want to let tech dictate practice, but need to take opportunity to rethink our practice because we have these new supports.
- Don't give people enough credit for what they can process; project in Swansea pulling out master narrative and giving people credit to be able to handle the messiness of the history behind the place.

Opening out to the broader room for comment - @GCBeale while we don't want to repeat practices from the past, one of the things tech offers is being able to engage with the practices of others

immersive technologies offer opportunity for collaboration, everyone brings their own perspective, like

- Not something one person can do on their own, almost had to be collaborative can ask, can it be done? Don't get the same experience when writing an article
- Immersive experiences inspire interdisciplinary so does place, things we gather around, so the intersection of the two has potential
- working on York Gaol with game designers asking 'why' helped make the result more potent for people more emotional response
- mentions photorealism not necessary, simplicity often most effective
- objects are meaningless without the people making these connections with people in the past; art is a good way of evoking emotion. Grasp the moment with technology and engage with it; don't want to reproduce siloing of domains, not just archaeology or nature

From audience: Things are only meaningful with our own projection on them - can be a million things without excluding one; heritage has to be pragmatic; huge potential. Viewers can choose perspective. Technology allows us to visit places we can't go

- using AR to go into location that is invisible or unable to access; not just literal reinstatement, AR is liminal can be real or fantastic landscapes
- not able to reproduce experience of going to inaccessible place, need to focus on providing a complementary experience Staffa a good example
- AR liberates from factual history leads to questions about ethics, but can create focalised or revisionist perspective

At what point do we make stuff up, and when do we point it out? @maltron3D Make sure there is a diverse range of stories being told so that the audience can make their own choice

#immaterial offers more freedom for reconstructions and visualisations than the material

Final thoughts! <u>@maltron3D</u> there's always a horrible side to VR/AR, so need to be aware and combat it; positive side is the collaboration that it inspires

- all seem to agree on the capabilities that excite us the absurd, messiness, enable open ended and unpredictability of AR, liminal, serendipity, encourage possible encounters in experiences of place, collaboration and co-production
- collaborations offer opportunity to break down divisions; digital places in of themselves, there is ugliness, so we need to mediate that; interesting adventures for research with a bit of caution

And that's the end of the <u>#immaterial</u> event! The recording will be available through the website shortly. The next event will be in Dundee on 13 May!

mentions



Like this thread? Get email undates or save it to PDFI

